

The Anterior

# BHER SHAH

A critical study based on original sources

KALIKARANJAN QANUNGO, M.A..
Professor, Ramjas College, Delhi

CALCUTTA
KAR. MAJUMDER & Co.
1921

Published by
N. R. MAJUMDER, B.A.,
KAR, MAJUMDER & CO,
1, Cornwallis Street, Calcutta

Printer S C MAJUMDAR SRI GOURANGA PRESS 71/1, Mirzapur Street, Calcutta

# Respectfully dedicated

10

PR. JADUNATH SARKAR. M.A., 1.E.S., Je Matin Architect in the domain of Indian History

BY

his humble apprentice KALIKARANJAN QANUNGO

### **CONTENTS**

CHAPTER I						
Boyhood.	Education	and	App	renticeship	in	
Admini	stration					
CHAPTER II						
In the Scho	ol of Adv	versity	(1519-	1529 A.D.)		2
CHAPTER III						
Sher Khan,	Deputy G	overno	r of Bi	har (1529-15	533)	6
CHAPTER IV						
The Battle	of Surajga	rh, Ma	rch (3	) 1534 A.D.	•	9
Chapter V						
The Gujrat	Campaign	n of H	lumay	מט		130
CHAPTER VI						
Retreat of F	Humayun a	and the	· Victo	ory of Chau	nsa	180
CHAPTER VII						
Final Victo	ry over H	lumayı	ın			209
CHAPTER VIII						
The Conque	est of Mal	wa				243
CHAPTER IX						
Intrigues of	Maldev					26
CHAPTER X						
The Conqu	est of Rai	isın (15	(43)			280
Chapter XI						
Campaigns	in Rajp	utana	and	Bundelkha	nd.	
Death	•					316
Chapter XII						
Sher Shah's	Institutio	ns				347
Chapter XIII						
Character	•			***		407
Bibliography	***			• • •		427
Index				•••		449

#### **FOREWORD**

Sher Shah is one of the world's worthies to whom History has not done justice. The reason is that only ten years after his death not only was his dynasty swept away but the rule of India departed altogether from the very race to which he belonged, and an alien race occupied the throne for centuries, who pursued the memory of their Pathan predecessors with unforgiving vindictiveness. It is from the writings of the chroniclers of these enemies that Sher Shah's history has to be reconstructed.

And yet our extant materials are not meagre nor always one-sided. But the study of all the Persian MS, authorities on the subject is a difficult preliminary which historians have hitherto avoided, first because the MSS, are many and not to be found all in one place, and secondly because there are different recensions of some of the more important Persian works on Sher Shah which require much expenditure of time in their collation and the critical examination of their relative evidential value.

Hitherto the world has been content to take Sher Shah as portrayed in the few translated extracts given in Elliot and Dowson Vol. iv. or, worse still, in Ferishta as translated by Lt.-Col. Briggs. After Raverty's damaging criticism, Briggs' Ferishta has rightly lost all title to credence. But none has hitherto suspected, what a critical comparison of Elliot's version with the original texts has proved to me, that even Elliot is neither faithful nor complete as regards the sources on Sher Shah translated by him.

My task, therefore, has been to seek all the sources on Sher Shah—primary, secondary and third-rate, without any omission, to study them in the original Persian texts (and not in English translations, however well-reputed), and then to reconstruct the life-story of Sher Shah on a fresh, original, and as I fondly hope, exhaustive basis, which only the discovery of hitherto unknown contemporary records will render incomplete.

The result of this original study of Sher Shah has been to place his character in a new light, to fix his fame (which had hitherto been rather a vague and general halo of greatness) on the basis of concrete individual and well-ascertained facts, and to correct innumerable mistakes about his life and times into which my predecessors writing general histories had naturally fallen. A specialized study like this has no excuse for not sifting the evidence in every case and arriving at the truth, hence the mass of details into which the stern need of accuracy has forced me. I have also been compelled to give the reasons and

evidence for my conclusions, because nobody would accept a new and unknown writer's bare assertion against the older and more famous historians who hold the ground. It is to be hoped that, as the result of these labours. Sher Shah will at last come by his own, and the world will have a biography worthy of the greatest administrative and military genius among the Afghans.

I have much pleasure in acknowledging the heavy debt of my gratitude to my illustrious predecessors in the field, especially to Sir Henry Elliot. Blochmann, Jarrett, Dr. Dorn and Mr. and Mrs. H. Beveridge, whose works I have often laid under contribution.

What a son owes to his father, I owe to my master Prof. Jadunath Sarkar, M.A., I.E.S. He placed at my disposal all the materials of this history collected in his splendid library, rich in rare Persian MSS., books of reference, learned journals, maps and district gazetteers,—in short everything that a researcher may possibly require. He picked me up from the lot of raw university graduates, and trained me in the art of historical research at a considerable sacrifice of his own work. This book was practically complete in MS. at the end of my eighteen months' residence in his house. He has read it in MS. and in proof. In short Sher Shah is his veritable grand-

child, receiving his most zealous care at every stage of its growth.

I remember with a deep sense of gratitude that it was my revered Professor Dr. A. Suhrawardy, M.A., Bar-at-Law, who first impressed me with the greatness of Sher Shah in a learned discourse in his class. His attractive personality drew me towards Islamic studies and inspired me with scholarly ambition. To my saintly uncle Prof. Bibhutibhusan Dutt, M.Sc., P.R.S., who gave a happy turn to my career by rescuing me from law, I owe a debt sacred and unrepayable. He came forward with a generous offer of monetary help at a critical moment when I was about to give up literary pursuits under the stress of pecuniary embarrassment.

I must, before concluding, acknowledge with thankfulness the immense services of Babu Brajendranath Banerji, the well-known author of many scholarly historical works in Bengali, without whose untiring zeal and care in reading the proofs and expediting the book through the press, it would have been impossible for me to bring out this volume within so short a space of time. He has also obliged me by pointing out several slips and omissions in the course of reading the proofs.

## SHER SHAH

#### CHAPTER I

HIS BOYHOOD, EDUCATION AND APPRENTICESHIP IN ADMINISTRATION.

The original name of Sher Shah was Farid; his rather's name was Hassan; and they belonged to the Sur section (qabilah) of the Mati clan (taifa) (Makhzan-i-Afaghana, f. 204b.)

Hassan's father, Ibrahim, was an obscure Afghan of Roh, with no pretensions to the blood of the Sultans of Ghor. Neither Ibrahim, nor any one else of the Sur tribe—indeed no one of the whole Afghan race,—ever prided himself in such an inconvenient distinction of non-Afghan, though royal, descent, as Ferishta wrongly says:—'Inha khud ra az Salatin-i-Ghor me-danand', that is, "they knew themselves to be descended from the Sultans of Ghor" (Persian text, p. 220).

To keep conformity with this false notion, Elphinstone says, "Both he and his son Hassan were married into noble families of their own nation". (History of India, 6th edn., p. 444.)

No Persian historian of standard authority, not

even Ferishta, the author whom Elphinstone generally follows, anywhere makes such a statement. Mian Ibrahim traded in horses; behind the sneer of Abul-Fazl—"Ibrahim was a horse-dealer; nor had he any distinction among the crowd of tradesmen" (Akbarnama, i. 326), lies concealed a historical truth which is confirmed by Khulasatu-t-Tawarikh: "Ibrahim jadd-i-Farid iani Sher Shah Saudagarie-aspan me-kard". (Pers. text, edited by M. Zafge Hassan, p. 315.)

His ancestral home stood on the rugged hill- are of Sargari\*, a detached ridge of the Takht-i-Sulairan mountains, on the southern bank of the upper course of the Gumal river. Owing to its proximity to the Gumal Pass, which is one of the oldest and most frequented trade-routes between southern Afghanistan and the Indus valley, Ibrahim had excellent advantages for his profession. But as luck would have it, he did not prosper in trade. During the latter part of Sultan Bahlol Lodi's reign (1451-1488 A.D.) Ibrahim, then in the evening of his life, with his young son Hassan, started for Hindustan in search of employment as a soldier. He came to Bajwarah (in the Jalandhar Doab), where Muhabat Khan Sur of the Daud Shah Khail—the eldest branch of the

<sup>\*</sup>Surgurgai, long. 69°2", lat. 33°5", near Ab i-istada, in Afghanistan.

tribe of Sur—held a jagir. Having resided there for some time, he entered the service of Jamal Khan Sarangkhani at Hissar-Firoza (in the Delhi District).

Farid, the first child of Hassan Sur, was born in this auspicious 'City of Victory', Hissar-Firoza. founded by the good king Firoz Tughlag. (Makhzani-Afaghana, 1. 204b.) The exact date of his birth is not given by any historian. Abbas Sarwani (Elliot, iv. 308) says that he was born during the lifetime of Sultan Bahlol, who died in 1488 A.D. The year 1486 A.D.\* may probably have been the date. Ibrahim's family settled finally at Narnol. where he got several villages in jagir to maintain 40 horsemen. Ibrahim died shortly after, and Hissan was confirmed in his father's jagir. Hassan's inily seems to have resided for a pretty long time at Narnol, which Abul-Fazl calls "Ibrahim's native country." Our MS. Makhzan-i-Afaghana (f. 276b.) writes after Hassan's name, "Sakin Qasba-i-Narnol," to designate the place of residence of his family.

Farid grew up amidst the indifference and unkindness of his father and the persecution of his stepmother. Hassan had eight sons by four wives; Farid and Nizam were born of his eldest wife, an

<sup>\*</sup> This hypothetical date, assumed in the absence of any means to arrive at precise accuracy, will be used in calculating the age of Sher Shah in the following reconstruction of his history.

Afghan lady; the rest were born of slave-girls raised to the status of wives. He was passionately attached to his youngest wife, a slave-girl, who bore him two sons, Sulaiman and Ahmad. These sons were not illegitimate, as Briggs (Vol. ii) makes them out without the warranty of his original, which runs as follows, "Digar az batan-i-kanizan bawajud amda budand", that is, 'the others were born of the womb of female slaves'.

Farid's mother, like the saintly Jija Bai, mother of Shivaji, was forsaken by her heartless husband, possibly owing to the loss of her physical charms. Abbas Sarwani says, "ba-madar-i-Farid o Nizam ilaqah-i-muhabbat o ikhtisas o muwaddat na-dasht," that is, "He did not keep any amiable connection with the mother of Farid and Nizam and showed her no kindness." (Abbas Sarwani, MS. p. 16=Elliot iv. 310.) "Because of this, he showed little affection and kindness to these two brothers in comparison with his other sons." (Makhzan-i-Afaghana, f. 204b.) Fellow-sufferers in their unhappy boyhood, these two brothers became firmly attached to each other and they grew up into ideal brothers.

Hassan, notwithstanding his weakness and shortcomings in private life, was a capable man of business. His ability won his master's favour and raised him to dignity. When Jamal Khan was

transferred to the eastern provinces, he conferred Sasaram and Khawaspur in the neighbourhood of Rohtas, upon Hassan, to maintain five hundred troopers. So his family was removed from Narnol to Sasaram. But there was no change in the lot of Farid with the rise of his father in dignity. "When he reached the age of discretion. Farid felt himself aggrieved by his father's unkindness". (Makhzan, 204 b.) Abbas Sarwani adds, "Angry words passed between the father and son (over family matters.) At the time of distributing jagir, he showed little favour to Farid's Inother and did not give her such jagir as she wished. Farid, annoyed with his father, went to Jaunpur to the presence of Jamal Khan," (Abbias Sarwani, p. 16\*). Probably at the age of 15, the age of discretion (1501 A. D.), Farid went to Jaunpur.

When Mian Hassan came to know that Farid had gone to Jaunpur, he wrote to Jamal Khan that Farid, having been displeased with him for nothing (na, haq), had gone to his auspicious presence, and that he should kindly send him back as it was his

<sup>\*</sup>Elliot's MS. differs, see Vol iv p 311. Nizamuddin (Pers. text. 233) Ferishta (Pers text. p. 221) and our MS Makhzan do not say anything about the distribution of jagir. The above reading of Abbas is more probable Farid on his own account had no a share of jagir; but his mother was entitled to madadina's for the support of herself and her sons. It was a custom in

wish to instruct him in religious and polite learning and in Court etiquette. Farid was pressed hard by Jamal Khan to return home. He firmly refused to do so and said, "If my father wants me back to instruct me in learning, launpur is certainly a better place than Sasaram: I will study here." The Khan was convinced by the boy's logic and put no more pressure upon him. Farid assiduously applied hunself to the study of letters at launpur. He learnt Arabic and read the Kufia (a book on Arabic Grammar) with the commentary of Qazi Shihabuddin. He committed to memory the Gulistan, Bustan and Sikandar-nama. Subsequently, during the days of his Sultanate, whenever any masters (Mukhadim) came to ask for madad-i-ma'ash, he questioned them from the Hashia-i-Hindia. He always loved to read books on the virtues of ancient kings. Historians are unanimous in their testimony to Farid a literary acquirements. This literary education diverted him from the usual path of the military career by which men of genius from a humble situation usually rise 

those days to allot certain portions of a jagir for the maintenance of different members of a fief-holder's family. Farid resented his father's injustice towards his mother, so he ran away from his inhospitation roof. Dorn (History of the Afghans, p. 81) says that the flight wa due to Hassan's partiality to Sulaiman; but this is opposed to all authorities, his MS, was a faulty one. Sulaiman had not been be when Farid fled to Jaunpur.

to sovereignty, such as Shivaji, Haidar Ali and Ranjit Singh, all unlettered warriors. We do not find a second man in the history of India, who without being a soldier in early life became the founder of an empire.

Briggs' statement (ii. 99)-"He quitted his father's roof and enlisted as a common soldier in the service of Jamal Khan,"—is wholly wrong. The original of Ferishta runs thus: - Farid az khidmat-ividar ranjidah ba-malazimat-i-Jamal Khan, etc., that is, Farid having been displeased with his father went to the presence of lamal Khan, and Hassan wrote to lamal Khan etc.' We are not told by Abbas arwani, how long Farid lived at Jaunpur and what Ather business he attended to in order to maintain himself. As for the duration of his stay at Jaunpur, Abbas vaguely says "some years." Baad az chand al chun Mian Hassan dar khidmat-i-Jamal Khan mad etc., that is, 'After some years when Mian Assan came to Jamal Khan' etc., Farid left Jaunpur for the parganas. (Abbas Sarwani, p. 18 = Elliot, iv. 311).

Nizamuddin Ferishta and our MS. Makhzan ay "After two or three years". The latter is posed to reason and probability. We find that yill had acquired first-hand knowledge of revenue fairs, the distress of the cultivators, the oppression

of the Muslim soldiery and the corruption of the Hindu revenue-collectors in the parganas, even before he took up the management of his father's parganas. (See MS. pp. 20-29 = Elliot, iv. 313-316.) It was the reputation of Farid's ability (highly spoken of by all his kinsmen and relatives at Jaunpur) which wore out the dislike of his father and not any spontaneous overflow of paternal affection. How was it possible for a boy, allowed to run wild in early boyhood, to acquire all these high qualifications and sound practical experience within the brief space of two or three years? Farid's extensive study and experience in worldly affairs conclusively show that he lived at Jaunpur pretty long. Abbas Sarwani's "some years" is probably not less than a period of ten years. Farid, we may safely conclude, lived at Jaunpur from 1501 to 1511 A. D. up to his twentyfifth year. We are not enlightened by any historian as to any other occupation of Farid besides study, previous to his return to Sasaram, to take charge of his father's parganas. Probably the literary education of Farid and the peculiar bent of his genius attracted him to peaceful but profitable employment in the revenue department. His father's patron lamal Khan, impressed by his keen intelligence. probably drafted him to the civil service, for which capable men were exceedingly rare among the Turks

and Afghans. At Jaunpur Farid, by dint of his own unaided effort, had developed into an able and promising young man. He was loved by all with whom he came in contact, and his kinsmen living at launpur became well inclined towards him. When Hassan came to Jaunpur on a visit to Jamal Khan, his friends and relatives scolded him for putting away so able a son to please a slave-girl. "They remarked that Farid Khan, young as he was, gave promise of future greatness; that he bore marks of excellence on his forehead, and that in all the tribe of Sur there was none who possessed learning, talent, wisdom and prudence like him; and that he qualified himself so well that if Hassan would entrust him with the charge of a pargana, he was sure to discharge the duty excellently well, and perfectly perform his duties." (Abbas Sarwani, p. 18 = Elliot, iv. 311.) With the advance of years, Hassan's infatuation for his beautiful slave-girl seemed to have diminished and her hold upon him become a little relaxed: so he consented to take back Farid into his favour and promised to them to make over the charge of his parganas to his son.

Farid at first declined to take up the charge, as he knew the vacillating character of his father and his dotage on Sulaiman's mother; but he at last gave his consent at the importunity of his well-wishers.

His kinsmen took Farid to his father's presence,

who was very glad to see his son. A happy reconciliation took place; and Hassan kept his son for some months with him. When he wanted Farid to depart for his parganas the young scholar and future reformer gave full expression to the noble ideas that he had learnt from books, and of the experience he had gathered from worldly affairs, in an address to his father asking for full powers and a free hand in the administration of the parganas. The following is a brief summary of the speech put into the mouth of Farid by Abbas Sarwani:-"lustice alone is the mainstay of Government and source of prosperity to the governed. Injustice is the most pernicious of things; it saps the foundation of Government and brings ruin upon the realm. I know that some of your relatives who hold lands in the parganas and some of your mugaddams who collect rent there practise zulm upon the rayats. 1 shall at first dissuade them by good advice, slowly and politely; but if they disregard my warning, I shall make such an example of them by heavy punishment that others would take warning from them. If you do not give me full powers and abstain from interfering with the arrangements which I shall make for the prosperity of the parganas, I shall not be able to discharge my duty." The old blunt soldier was very much pleased to hear the energetic and learned words of his wiser son and gladly gave nim full powers, saying "I will give you power both o sanction and cancel the soldiers' jagirs." (Abbas Sarwani, pp. 60-65 = Elliot, iv. 312.)

Thus armed with the necessary powers, the roung deputy, at the age of 25, about 1511 A.D. when Sultan Sikandar Lodi was on the throne of Delhi, started for the head-quarters of his parganas.

The geographical boundary and area of Hassan bur's jagir, consisting of the Sasaram and Khawaspur parganas, cannot be exactly defined. They ituated within the present district of Shahabad; but n Rennell's map (1775 A. D. ) they fall within the imits of the Rohtas district, which probably coincided with the Sarkar of Rohtas spoken of by Abul-Fazl. t was a frontier march on the southern side of Bihar. To the south lay the outskirts of the Rohtas hills, hen inhabited by non-Aryan semi-independent ocoples. Further south, were the possessions of the ndependent Hindu Rajah of Rohtas: on the east was he Sone river. To the west was situated pargana Chaund\*,—called laund by Abul-Fazl and Nizamaddin, and mistaken for launpur by Ferishta, which belonged to Muhammad Khan Sur, the future

<sup>\*</sup>Rennell writes Sant instead of Chaund or Javind, but there is no mistake about their identity

enemy of Farid. On the north, it was probably bounded by a line from Hariharganj on the eastern bank of the Sone (opposite Daudnagar) along Rennell's boundary line of the Rohtas district up to some 15 miles west. It comprises roughly the Baraong, Sasaram and Tilothu thanas of the Shahabad district. (Martin's Eastern India, i. 390, based upon the survey of 1807-1814 A. D.)

The hilly portions on the south, a belt of territory from Tilothu westward along the outskirts of the Rohtas hills, were inhabited by the Cheroes and the Savars (Shabara of early Sanskrit writers, a pig-eating and liquor-drinking filthy non-Aryan race) who were not without some amount of civilization as the archaeological remains ascribed to the Cheroes in the Shahabad district show. During the disorganization that followed the early Muslim conquest, these non-Aryan tribes\* encroached upon the plains below

<sup>\*</sup>The Cheroes were the most civilized and powerful among them. "They were a Dravidian people said to have branched off from the Rajbhant tribe, which once held sway over the country stretching from Gorakhpur to Bundelkhand and which has left many remains of their former power. The growing pressure of Rajput expansion in the Ganges valley dislodged the Cheroes from Shahabad district." (History of Aurangzib, iii 36, by Prof. J. N. Sarkar.)

Martin says that there was a tradition current among the Bhojpur Rajputs in the early decades of the 19th century that their ancestors were expelled from their home Bhojpur by the Cheroes and it was a Muhammadan king who encouraged them to fight with the Cheroes

and drove away the Hindu occupants, the Paramara Raiputs from whom the Bhoipuris claim their descent, and were in possession of the southern part of the pargana. The northern part was inhabited by Hindu peasantry and middle class. The respectable portion of the Hindu inhabitants consisted of Raiputs. while the lower classes were Ahirs and such other people as are to be found there now-a-days. face of the country was covered with dense jungles, which sheltered robbers and disobedient zamindars. The character of the people of Shahabad early in the 19th century, as given by Martin, enables us to form a fairly correct notion of the nature and habits of their ancestors three centuries before. Martin savs that the people of this district were unruly and always inclined to depredations. Predatory habits were prominent among the low caste of Ahirs: many tribes among the gentry were violent in their disposition and inclined to use force against their neighbours. The people of most ranks had a bear-like incivility: for instance, the lower classes would endeavour to make a stranger go on a wrong road, or refuse to put him on the way he asked for. Three centuries

and reoccupy their ancestral abode. The king was no other than Sher Shah, as we shall see later on. Martin says that in the early decades of the 19th century there were remnants of the Chero and Savara population in the Shahabad district.

before, it is most certain that the inhabitants of Hassan Sur's lagir were thrice as wicked. Farid had to cope with the then-prevailing abuses of the administration. The condition of a military jagir in those days was rendered specially miserable by the violence of the soldiers, who, accustomed to the license of the camp, treated cruelly and observed no faith with the Hindu cultivators who tilled their lands. Any enormity of crime on their part was connived at by the jagirdar, who had neither the power nor the inclination to protect the infidel serfs against the Muslims. That the peasants were entitled to get anything in the form of security of their lives and property, in return for the rents they paid to the State or the jagirdar, occurred to very few persons in those days. The peasantry were a veritable flock of sheep without a shepherd, left to the mercy of wolfish soldiers.

Another class of oppressors of the peasantry were the corrupt and wicked Hindu tax-gatherers, the muqaddams and patwaries, who stood between the jagirdar and the actual cultivators, and who cheated both by their cunning. The jagirdar, kept in ignorance of the area under cultivation and the produce of his lands, assessed revenue upon each village in the gross. The muqaddam added an exorbitant amount to it under various fictitious items, unintelligible to

the ignorant peasantry and unknown to the superior lord. The peasantry were a miserable lot of helpless beings born to toil and suffer; they were completely at the mercy of the muqaddam and the soldiers. They had no protection either of the jagirdar or of the king. The more spirited among them took to plundering and preyed upon their own species; the rest bore their sufferings patiently, or sought relief by deserting their unhappy homes and fields for the protection of some rebel zamindar in the neighbourhood. A small portion of the country was under cultivation, the greater part being covered with dense jungles.

When Farid arrived in the parganas of his father, he found them in a condition not unlike this. He set about working to change completely the existing condition and introduce far-reaching changes and wise regulations. Early in the 16th century Farid conceived a theory of economics well worthy of admiration even in the present century. Like the French Physiocrats, Farid looked upon agriculture as the only source of wealth, and upon the labour of the peasantry as the most productive kind of human effort. He, therefore, found that without improving the lot of the peasantry it was not possible to make the condition of the parganas more flourishing. His theory finds expression in his sayings, such as "The cultivators are the source of property" (Elliot, iv. 314); "I

know that cultivation depends upon the humble peasants for if they be ill off, they will produce nothing, but if prosperous they will produce much." (Elliot, iv. 313.) His deep sense of duty towards the peasants is happily revealed when he says, "Paiwastah az ahwal-i-inshan khabar-dar khwaham bud, ta kase bar inshan zulm o ta'addi nakunad, o agar hakim rezah ra'ayt ra az mutamarridan nigah natawanand dasht mahsul giriftan az inshan zulm o haef bashad," that is, "I shall always watch over their condition so that no one may oppress or injure them, for if a ruler cannot protect the humble peasantry from the lawless it is tyranny to exact revenue from them." (Abbas Sarwani, p. 29 = Elliot, iv. 314.)

He proceeded to carry his theory into practice and improve the condition of his parganas by extending his protection to the peasants against the violence of the soldiers and the extortion of the muqaddams. Abbas Sarwani says that when Farid arrived at the parganas, he summoned the soilders, muqaddams, patwaries and cultivators to meet him. Thus having gathered the oppressors and the oppressed together, he in a suitable address to each of the three classes explained to them his intention and admonished them about their future conduct. He, first of all, turned to the most powerful offenders, the soldiery, and

said:-"Be it known to you that Mian Hassan has given me the powers of appointing and dismissing you. I shall exert myself in the improvement of the cultivation and population of the parganas. Therein are concerned your interest as well as my good name. Therefore, no one should put any hindrance upon agriculture by committing Turk-like tyranny and cruelty upon the cultivators. Whatever agreements you have made with the peasantry at the time of sowing, you must not revoke at the time of collection, (an che garar mahsul giriftan ba-wagt-i-zara' at ba-ratat dada bashad aj an qarar be-waqt-i-giriftan mahsul dar na-guzrad o az gaul o ahad ke ba-raiat karda-bashad bar na-gardad. . . . . ) Let it be known to the soldiers and amils that the oppression and cruelty they have committed before this are forgiven. But if it reaches my ears that any one has taken even blade of grass forcibly from the rayats. shall inflict such punishment upon him that others would take a lesson from it. I shall not allow any one to transgress my orders. I shall inflict heavier punishment upon my guilty relatives and soldiers so that others may take a warning from it, and the rayats, thus relieved of oppression, may confidently sow the fields and produce better crops. I shall not take away anything from the soldiers: whatever increased return the fields will yield shall remain the soldiers' due. My wish is that, as the effect of my administration, all persons both high and low, may prosper, no trace of oppression or violence may remain, [because] if a little favour is shown to the rayats, the ruler benefits by it." (Abbas MS. pp. 26-27; not translated in Elliot, iv. 313.)

Next he turned to the peasants and told them to make their choice as to whether they should pay rent in cash or kind. He meant to make a settlement direct with the rayat\* and not through the muqaddams. Abbas says (Abbas Sarwani MS. p. 28), "Some of the cultivators agreed to zarib (i.e., fixing of rent by measuring the area under cultivation; a money commutation is here meant). Others agreed to an actual division of crops. Farid took written kabuliyats signed by the rayats and fixed the rate of payment for measuring, the remuneration of the taxgatherers and the daily food allowance of the taxgatherers." (Abbas MS. p. 29.)

By this arrangement the *muqaddams* were badly hit in the belly for ever. Their regime of tyranny

<sup>\*</sup> The MS. used by Elliot for translation seems to have read otherwise. Therein (see Elliot, iv. 313) we find that the settlement was made with the headmen and kabuliyat was taken from them; which is opposed to the very spirit of Fand's reformation. Our MS. clearly says "ba-dastakhat kabuliyat az ra'at nawisanidah girift."

came to an end. A direct connection between the rayats and the jagirdars having been established, both became free from dependence upon them. Furthermore, they were threatened thus: "I know the oppressions and exactions towards the cultivators of king his reason I have which you have been guilty. fixed the payments for mea thhelment and the taxgatherers' fees . . . . . . . . E zarknown to you that will take accounts in mili presence." show "teniency instructed them to cultivators at the time of measurement and no mercy at the time of collection. Again, he said to the peasantry, "Whatever you wish to bring to my notice, come personally to me. I won't allow any one to tyrannise over you." He admonished them to be loval subjects and dismissed them with the words, "Be engaged heart and soul in sowing and reaping." \*

Having put the internal affairs of the parganas on a satisfactory basis, he turned his attention to the task of subjugating the disobedient headmen and rebel zamindars. But the majority of the soldiers of the parganas were away with Mian Hassan; so his father's officers advised him to wait for his father's

<sup>\*</sup> We find in Elliot, iv. 314, "He dismissed them (the cultivators) with honorary dresses to carry on cultivation!" Elliot's MS. must have been a strange one.

return. Farid refused to delay, and with wonderful resourcefulness set about equipping a force. But he had neither men at his service, nor horses in his stable, nor saddles to put on the horses.

He ordered him find out how many Afghans and kinsmen with jagir were staying in the two parganas. He call to them to his presence and urged them to help him it the enterprise, saying "I shall bear the expenses of your food and clothing till Mian Hassan returns. Whatever booty, cash, goods and gold, falls into your hands is yours; I shall never claim a share of it. I shall procure jagirs for those of you who will show (remarkable) bravery. I myself shall provide you with horses to ride on." (Abbas Sarwani p. 30=Elliot, iv. 314.)

The prospect of loot whetted their zeal for such a service. They gladly consented to his proposals. He then asked a loan of horses from his tenants, who gladly brought them to him. Farid knew well how to win the affection of his adherents by little courtesies. He pleased those who agreed to serve him with gifts of cloths etc., and gave them a small sum of ready money with the words "This is for

<sup>\*</sup> Elliot writes, "He ordered his father's nobles to saddle two hundred horses." This is incorrect, as they had no horses to saddle These saddles were prepared for the loan-horses.

your toilet expenses", ('in wajeh sabun-i-shuma ast' Abbas, p. 31).

There were two classes of disobedient persons to be punished. One class was composed of disobedient mugaddams who, taking advantage of the absence of the jagirdar, had withheld payment. They were not habitual rebels like the zamindars, who were practically independent chiefs, living in jungle fastnesses and defying the authority of the Muslim iagirdars. They would pay nothing except with the sword at their throats. Whatever they occasionally paid to powerful masters was like tribute from feudatory chiefs of uncertain fidelity. masters, if they obeyed any, had no access to their possessions, which they ruled with absolute authority. When everything was ready, Farid mounted his men and suddenly swooped down upon the villages of disobedient mugaddams. The cattle, goods, wives and children of the villagers were seized. He gave away all the booty as promised before, to his soldiers, but kept the families of the villagers in his own custody so that their persons might not be violated by the soldiers. The mugaddams made their submission, paid the arrears of revenue, and gave security for their future good behaviour.

But more serious preparations were necessary to subdue the rebel zamindars. He took his measures

with excellent forethought and method. He supplemented his small regular cavalry, by the local militia drawn from his Hindu peasantry. "He issued orders to his rayats that every man who possessed a horse should come riding upon it; and those who did not possess horses should come on foot. He took half of the men with him and left the other half to carry on the work of cultivation and for the protection of their homes." (Abbas, p. 34; Elliot differs a little.)

With this extemporized army he proceeded cautiously towards the villages of the rebel zamindars. At the distance of a kos he threw up earthen redoubts (qila-i-kham)\* and encamped within. He ordered his foot-soldiers to cut down the jungles around the villages. The cavalry were ordered to patrol round the villages, kill every male, and capture the women and children, drive in the cattle, and to destroy the crops. A complete blockade was thus established. When the jungles were cleared he advanced the camp nearer to the village and surrounded his position in the same manner with earthen redoubts. Deprived of the shelter of the jungles, the

<sup>\*</sup> Herein is to be found the origin of his custom of always surrounding his camp with earthen redoubts, which he observed throughout life.

zamindars became helpless. They offered submission and agreed to pay a heavy ransom. But Farid was not a man whom the allurement of immediate gain could dissuade from his grim resolution. He wanted nothing short of the complete destruction of these miscreants. He stormed their fastnesses. killed all the rebels and sold their wives and children into slavery. He repeopled the devastated villages by bringing men from all parts of the country to settle "When the other rebels heard of the death. imprisonment and ruin of these, they listened to wisdom, repented of their contumacy and abstained from theft and robbery" (Elliot, iv. 317). Farid's attitude towards these zamindars was not of unnecessary cruelty, but one of legitimate executive severity, considering the character of these people. They were a permanent menace to the peace and safety of the parganas. They plundered the submissive peasantry and harboured the defaulting rayats who had fled away from the parganas of the neighbouring Muslim jagirdars. Even in the latter half of the 17th century the descendants of these Chero zamindars, who had retired to Palamau afterwards "were hereditary cattle-lifters and they made life and property insecure on their borders." (History of Aurangzib, iii. 37.)

The selling of the wives and children of enemies

into slavery was a common incident of mediaeval warfare in the east. Forcible seizure of the property and persons of disobedient muqaddams and cultivators was the only means of recovering the arrears of revenue, resorted to down to the early days of British rule. Even the humane Abul-Fazl speaks with approbation of such measures in Ain 2 (Ain-i-Akbari, Jarrett, Vol. II., p. 40), where Akbar's faujdars are instructed to blockade the rebel villagers and "inflict loss upon their persons and property in every possible way."

No historian says how long Farid managed his father's parganas. Internal evidence from Abbas Sarwani's book shows that he was deprived of his office on the eye of the outbreak of the Lohani rebellion against Ibrahim Lodi, in the eastern provinces under Daria Khan Lohani, which occurred about 1521 A.D. So Farid governed his father's parganas for seven or eight years from 1511 to 1518 or 1519. During these years, Farid's youthful martial vigour found a fruitful outlet in extending the boundaries of his father's jagir, which being a frontier march had ample scope for expansion, especially toward the south. In civil affairs he went on experimenting on the efficacy of his new revenue regulations in removing the misery of the peasantry and the corruption of the mugaddams, till he was satisfied 11

with the soundness of his system, which was destined to be extended afterwards all over Hindustan. He was indefatigable in dispensing swift and relentless justice to all equally. Abbas Sarwani says, "If any soldier or peasant had a complaint, Farid would examine it in person and carefully investigate the cause, nor did be ever give way to carelessness or sloth." (Abbas, p. 38=Elliot, iv. 317.)

As for the success of his administration, the same author says, "In a very short time both parganas became prosperous and the soldiery and peasantry were alike contented........The fame of Farid's wisdom was noised abroad over the country ["kingdom" in Elliot is wrong; there was as yet no kingdom of Bihar] of Bihar......He gained a reputation among men and pleased all his friends and others a except a few enemies such as Sulaiman's mother."
(Abbas, pp. 39-40=Elliot, iv. 317.)

The news of the growing reputation of Farid pricked like a thorn the malicious heart of his step-mother.

"After some time, Mian Hassan came to his home.......The dislike which he formerly entertained was dispelled and he distinguished both brothers, Farid and Nizam, with all kinds of favours." "I am now old" he said "nor can I bear the labour and trouble and thought of governing the

parganas and the soldiery. While I live, do you manage them."

But Sulaiman's mother pressed her husband to remove Farid and give the charge of the parganas to her son who had come of age, and thereby redeem his pledge to her, made several years before, at the time of appointing Farid the Sikkdar of his parganas. The mother and son fabricated various false charges against Farid and always vexed Hassan's ears with complaints against him. One day Hassan gave them an angry rebuff by saying," Except you two, there is not a person among my friends, soldiers, and rayats who complains of Farid." But Hassan had to pay dearly for it.\* Sulaiman's mother discontinued complaining to Hassan, but from that day seldom held any intercourse with him.

The situation became intolerable to Hassan, who one day asked her the cause of her grief. "Sulaiman's mother, in a choked up voice, with copious tears and frequent sighs, reminded him of his early pledge and threatened him with her determination to commit suicide, if he did not remove Farid from the charge of the parganas and invest her son Sulaiman with authority therein. Mian Hassan, who was entangled in the noose of her love, swore a solemn oath to her and appeared her." (Abbas, p. 42=Elliot, 318.)

<sup>\*</sup> See Elliot, IV. 318-20 for details.

"After this Mian Hassan sought to discover some fault in Farid and to remove him and employed himself in examining his actions." (Abbas, p.43 = Elliot, iv. 319); but he could find no loop-hole anywhere. When Farid discovered that Mian Hassan had promised to Sulaiman's mother to remove him, he at once threw up the management of the parganas. Both brothers left their father's home for the second time and again went into a sort of exile to far-away Agra in search of daily bread, (about 1519 A.D.)

In spite of its unhappy beginning and unhappy end, this was the most important stage of Farid's life. At Sasaram he was unconsciously serving his period of apprenticeship for administering the Empire of Hindustan. The indefatigable worker, far-sighted revenue reformer and cautious general clearly reveals himself during this period. Above all, the zabardast sikkdar of pargana Sasaram, in whose dread the ferocious Pathan soldier lived amicably with his humble Hindu peasant without looking askance at him, was the preliminary role played by the mighty Emperor "SHER SHAH from dread of whom the tiger and the lamb drank water together\*" when he was seated upon the throne of Delhi.

<sup>\*</sup> First line of Sher Shah's chronogram (Makhzan-i-Afaghana f 259 b.)

## CHAPTER II

IN THE SCHOOL OF ADVERSITY (1519-1529 A.D.)

The envy of Sulaiman's mother drove Farid away from his father's house a second time in 1519 A.D. He left Sasaram amidst the regrets of all his kinsmen and the sorrowful despair of the people of the parganas; and started for Agra in search of employment. Agra had become the capital of the Empire since Sultan Sikandar's time. Sultan Ibrahim, who ascended the throne of his father in 1517 A. D., also resided there. On his way he became the guest of the Sarwanis at Cawnpur, where he secured two companions. Shaikh Ismail Sur and his brother-in-law Habib Khan Kakar, who were destined to become famous in Sher Shah's reign under the titles of Shujaat Khan and Sarmast Khan respectively. At Agra he chose Daulat Khan, a powerful noble of Sultan Ibrahim, as his patron. By his services he won his master's favour through whom he tried without success to secure a grant of his father's parganas in his own name while his father was yet alive. However, soon after, Mian Hassan died, and Daulat Khan procured the imperial farman in Farid's name. (Makhzan, f. 204b says in the name of both the brothers.) He returned to Sasaram (1520 A.D.) amidst the rejoicings of all his relatives and soldiery. Sulaiman, unable to offer any opposition, fled to Muhammad Khan Sur of the Daud Shah Khail, the chieftain of the tribe of Sur, and governor of the pargana of Chaund\*, who commanded 1500 horsemen. "He encouraged Sulaiman in his opposition to Farid, and posed as the protector of his interest against Farid's encroachment. Muhammad Khan made offers of arbitration to Farid, who wrote back respectfully to him, "I send my brother Nizam to bring him (Sulaiman) to me, and I will give him such a jagir as will satisfy him; but let him put aside the desire of sharing in the government of my pargana: for while I live, he shall never obtain this: as it has been said 'one scabbard cannot contain two swords'.'' But Sulaiman wanted his share in complete independence of Farid: so, a compromise was not effected, and Muhammad Khan meditated recovering Sulaiman's share by force of arms.

About this time (1521 A.D.) serious complications arose in the eastern provinces of the Lodi Empire. Sultan Ibrahim, who played the Pharoah

<sup>\*</sup> Jaund of Abul Fazl – Written Sant in Rennell's map distance from Sasaram is 43 miles west. The pargona Jaund (Chaund it may be read with two more dots) is in the Sarkar of Rolitas. (Ain : Akbari, ii. 147.)

over a race of fierce democrats like the Afghans, by making his nobles, (who had been used to taking their seats in the presence of Sultan Bahlol and Sikandar), stand before him, with their hands crossed over their breasts,\* alienated his nobles still further by treacherously murdering some of them. Dariya Khan Lohani, the Governor of Bihar, suspected Ibrahim of a design upon his life, while on his way to Agra after a victory over the Sarwani rebels at Lucknow. So, he without going to Agra, fled back to his province and raised the standard of rebellion in concert with Nasir Khan Lohani of Ghazipur and Ma'aruf Farmuli of Oudh. The rebels combined under the leadership of Dariya Khan, and the country from Bihar to Jaunpur fell off from Ibrahim's authority. Dariya Khan died shortly after and was succeeded by his more ambitious son Bahar Khan (wrongly called Bahadur Khan by some historians). He virtually set himself up as an independent sovereign, though he abstained from coining money and reading the khutba in his own name till after the death of Ibrahim at Panipat, (20th April, 1526 A.D.) Farid saw that the mere farman of the powerless Emperor Ibrahim would not deter Muhammad Khan from attacking him unless he had some other powerful

<sup>\*</sup> Ferishta, Persian text, p. 188.

men near at hand to protect him. So he went to Bahar Khan and entered his service (1522 A.D.). He "employed himself day and night in his business, nor did he rest one moment from it, and from this good service he gained Bahar Khan's favour; so that he had access to him in public and private and became one of his most intimate friends. In consequence of his excellent arrangements he became celebrated throughout the country of Bihar".\* One day he went out hunting with Bahar Khan, and a tiger having appeared, Farid slew it. Bahar Khan, on account of this gallant encounter, gave him the title of Sher Khan or Tiger Lord.

"Some time afterwards Sultan Muhammad, [Bahar Khan would be the more correct designation, as these events took place before the first battle of Panipat, after which Bahar Khan took that title], finding Farid a man of skill, experience and quick understanding, nominated him the deputy (Vakil) and also the tutor (Ataliq) of his minor son Jalal Khan, who was of tender years." (Makhzan, p. 9: supported by Abbas also, see Elliot, IV. 336.

of revenue affairs and enjoyed a good reputation for administrative ability. His "excellent arrangements," which made his name famous throughout the country of Bihar, were undoubtedly the same beneficent regulations that had been introduced by him in his father's jagir, for the protection of the peasantry, prevention of the corruption of mugaddams and the vigorous suppression of military license by dealing out swift and terrible justice to the wrongdoers. His reforming activity found an excellent and larger scope, in the service of Bahar Khan, and his unique system had a natural and happy expansion all over the country of Bihar. Abbas Sarwani says, "He continued to discharge the duties of the naib to Jalal Khan, and after a long time he returned to his jagir with the permission of Sultan Muhammad." It is not difficult to fix the approximate time of Sher Khan's departure. The leave was not certainly granted before the first battle of Panipat, (April 1526 A.D.), after which battle our Persian authorities wrongly make Sher Khan enter the service of Bahar Khan. Babur's Memoirs, translated by D wridge 523, says, "A+ ant ti

of Dariya Khan Nuhani, their Padshah under the style of Sultan Muhammad". This shows that after the assumption of kingly title Sultan Muhammad advanced as far as Qanauj\* to watch the movements of the Mughals, in May, 1526 A.D. He remained in that quarter, probably till the beginning of the rainy season (middle of lune) and, thinking that the Mughals would not make any hostile move in that unfavourable season, returned to his capital, Bihar town. It is not improbable that Sher Khan, who was with his master, took leave of him on his way to Bihar town, at Buxar or some such neighbouring place to visit his jagir (in the first or second week of 'ulv, 1526), promising to rejoin him shortly after at he end of the rainy season (October). "He delayed for some time. On account of his delaying, Sultan Muhammad expressed his displeasure and made complaints about him in public, and said "Sher Khan promised to return very shortly, but he has remained a long time away". Those were days of confusion, no man put entire confidence in another." (Abbas, 62 = Elliot, iv. 326). No cause has been assigned by any historian for this delay on Sher Khan's part and the extreme anviety of Sultan Muhammad for him. Our MS. Makhzan says.

<sup>\*</sup> At the head of confederate army of rebellious Afghan chiefs.

"On account of some urgent affairs, Sher Khan overstayed his promised time and Sultan Muhammad always talked of him." From the altered political situation of the last six months (from July to December) of 1526, we are inclined to suspect that behind these urgent affairs, Sher Khan entertained a motive not very friendly to Sultan Muhammad. lust after the battle of Panipat the ambitious Afghan chiefs, unsuccessful at home against rivals of their own race, resorted to Babur in the hope of overcoming their domestic enemies with the help of the Mughals and gaining high positions for themselves. Bayazid Farmuli and Mahmud Khan Nuhani were types of this class; the former had a quarrel with Ma'aruf Farmuli of Oudh who had killed Bavazid's elder brother Shaikh Mustafa: the latter was a rival of Nasir Khan Nuhani who held Ghazipur. Probably encouraged by these desertions from the ranks of the eastern amirs, the Mughals were emboldened to undertake a campaign in the east in the height of the rains. Humayun was appointed to the command of this expedition. He began the campaign in August, 1526, and within five months overran the whole country from Qanauj up to Kharid (in the Ballia district) on the northern bank of the Ganges; Ma'aruf Farmuli and Nasir Khan Nuhani, the main supporters of Sultan Muhammad, were driven away from their homes and Bayazid and Mahmud Khan were left Governors of Oudh and Ghazipur respectively by the victorious son of Babur. At Jaunpur Sultan Junaid Barlas, with a body of veteran Mughal troops, was posted as the Governor to defend the dependent Afghan chiefs from the attack of their enemies and to watch over the general interests of the Mughals.\*

We do not know whether Sher Khan had been thinking at heart of this easy road to fortune shown by Bayazid and others. At least Sultan Muhammad had very good reasons for suspecting such a move on the part of his powerful subordinate, who might reduce him to the same straits into which his main adherents Ma'aruf and Nasir Khan were thrown. Anyhow Sher Khan remained probably for six months from July to December at Sasaram. His absence from October to December—the season for campaigning-was specially galling to the Lohani king and raised suspicion about the fidelity of Sher Khan in his heart. There may have been another and more honourable motive of Sher Khan's absence. Abbas Sarwani probably gives us a hint of the current belief of those days, when he makes Muhammad Khan Sir

<sup>\*</sup> Humayun returned from his campaign to his father and waited on him on January, 6th 1527 A.D. at Char-bagh in the suburb of Agra; so Junaid Barlas was possibly left at Jaunpur by the middle of December, 1526.

say, "He sees some probability of the advent of Sultan Mahmud (Lodi) son of Sultan Sikandar to whom many of the nobles and Afghans have given in their adhesion". But it is not probable that the eastern rebels in any way favoured the advent of a Lodi claimant.\* But it appears improbable to us. that a cautious politician like Sher Khan ever thought of taking a step which would make both the Mughals and Sultan Muhammad his enemies. It is more likely that, impressed by the complete success of Mughal arms and the prospect of princely fortune there, he thought of entering the Mughal service and making himself a leader of independent means equal in status with any other Afghan chief. But there is no positive proof of his faithlessness to Sultan Muhammad Lohani, or friendly attitude to the Mughals.

The Lohani king expressed his displeasure at Sher Khan's delay; Muhammad Khan Sur, the arch-

<sup>\*</sup>After the battle of Panipat Mahmud Lodi, son of Sultan Sikandar, appeared as the claimant to the allegiance of the whole Afghan people and tried to rouse his people against the Mughals Babur speaks (Memoirs translated by Mrs Beveridge p. 562) of him "Though he possessed neither district nor pargana, 10,000 horse had gathered in the hope of his attaining supremacy." In March, 1527, he fought with the Afghans in the memorable battle of Kanwa, under Rana Sanga with whom he fled to Mewar after the defeat.

enemy of Sher Khan, took this opportunity of alienating his mind further by artful representation of Sher Khan's evil nature and faithlessness. He suggested that the best remedy would be to deprive him of Sasaram and Khawaspur Tanda and bestow those parganas upon Hassan Sur's worthy son, Sulaiman, who had taken refuge with him from Sher Khan's injustice and violence. Sultan Muhammad refused to confiscate the parganas of Sher Khan, but out of deference to the position of his powerful noble, commissioned him to arbitrate between Sulaiman and Sher Khan upon their respective claims to their father's parganas. Muhammad Khan Sur having got this long-sought-for opportunity arrived in his pargana, and to keep the appearance of arbitration. sent his trusty servant Shadi Ghulam to Sher Khan with the selfsame demands previously rejected by Sher Khan in 1522 A.D. Sher Khan replied; "Do you Shadi, tell the honoured Khan (Muhammad Khan Sur) that this is not the country of Roh that I should share equally with my brothers. The country of Hindustan is completely at the disposal of the king, nor has any one else any share in it, nor is there any regard to the elder and younger or to kindred, Sikandar Lodi thus decided: - 'If any noble dies. whatever money or other effects he may leave, should be divided among his heirs according to the law of inheritance; but his office and his jagirs and his military retinue, let him confer on whichever of his sons he thinks most able, and in these no one else has a right to share nor is any remedy open to him. Whatever goods and money my father left, in which all had a share by inheritance, Sulaiman appropriated them and sought your protection. . . . . . Sultan lbrahim granted to me these two paganas in which no brother can claim any share.

But I said to my brothers, 'The jagir which you enjoyed in my father's lifetime I will continue, nay, increase to you; but no one can participate in my office.' It does not become you to say, 'Give up Tanda and Malhu (our MS. has Balhu) to Sulaiman'. I will not willingly yield them. If the powerful Khan takes them by force and gives them to Sulaiman, it is in his power to do so. I have not another word to say''. (Abbas; pp. 55, 56=Elliot p. 327).

When Shadi came back and reported the speech to his master, he flew into a rage and ordered Shadi to march with all the troops of the Khan's establishment against Sher Khan's parganas and put Sulaiman and Ahmad in forcible possession of them. "When Sher Khan heard this news of the coming of Sulaiman and Shadi he wrote to Malik Sukha, his slave, who was in charge of Khawaspur Tanda to show no

remissness\* in resistance and defence. When Shadi and Sulaiman appeared before Khawaspur, Malik Sukha came out and offered battle in which he was slain. The troops of Sher Khan having sustained a defeat, retreated in disorder to Sasaram." (Nizamuddin, Persian Text, p. 225.)

Sher Khan finding it impossible to rally his forces, called his full brother (Nizam) and other well-wishers together, to ask their opinion on the present situation. Some persons advised him to go to Sultan Muhammad: but he was too sagacious a man to seek the indirect support of the Lohani king who was really at the back of his intriguing kinsmen, who would not have otherwise dared to attack him. Besides, his intention was not, at the sacrifice of his dignity and interest, to enter into a compromise which Sultan Muhammad would at best effect for him. His brother Nizam suggested the course of going to Sultan Junaid Barlas and entering the Mughal service which

<sup>\*</sup>The Persian texts of Ferishta (p. 222) and Nizamuddin are literally idential with only the addition of the word Ahmad after Sulaiman in Ferishti. Briggs (Vol. II. p. 105) gives a most misleading and imaginary version of it. He puts "But on the first alarm he wrote to Malik Sooka (the father of Khawas Khan) his deputy in Khawaspur Landa to harass the enemy and by all means to avoid an action till be joined him in person. Malik Sooka however desirous of distinguishing himself give his enemies battle." This has not the slightest connection with the original

would perhaps afford an opportunity not only of vengeance on Muhammad Khan Sur but even of driving him out of Chaund. (Abbas, p. 67 = Elliot, iv. 328, our MS. here wrongly reads Jaunpur.)

Sher Khan agreed to this and went to Benares\* from which place he sent his agent to bring promise of safety from Junaid Barlas at Jaunpur. The Mughal governor, having given his assurances, Sher Khan went to Jaunpur, made large presents to him, and enlisted himself in Mughal service. (About the middle of January, 1527 A. D.)

Abbas Sarwani (MS. p. 130 = Elliot, iv. p. 356) says that Churaman, the naib of the Rajah of Rohtas, showed kindness to the family of Mian Nizam and procured for them shelter in the fort of Rohtas. But he does not mention on what occasion Mian Nizam was constrained to take shelter in Rohtas.

<sup>\*</sup>The name of the place is our suggestion. Abbas Sarwani shows awful ignorance of geography here as well as elsewhere, by saying "Patna". Patna is only fourteen miles north of Bihar, the capital of Sultan Muhammad, whose unfriendliness was the cause of Sher's misfortune. The distance between Patna and Benares by land is 155½ miles. (See India of Aurangzib, p. 110 by Prof. J. N. Sarkar for various stages and distances.) Rennell says '186 miles', and the distance from Benares to Jaunpur is 40 miles. Benares is the nearest place on the northern bank of the Ganges, both from Sasaram and Jaunpur and is therefore most likely. Nizamuddin has the good sense to omit the name of the place.

We think that when Sher Khan decided to go to Jaunpur, Mian Nizam with his family and dependants fled to the hills of Rohtas to save the families from the vengeance of his triumphant step-brother, (in the middle of January, 1527). He had to live in a sort of exile for 18 months (up to June, 1528, when Sher Khan regained his parganas), and possibly these 18 months he passed in the fort of Rohtas as a refugee.

Sher Khan entered the service of Babur as a client of Junaid Barlas, not earlier than December,\* 1526, as Sultan Junaid Barlas was left in charge of the eastern provinces not before that date. (See Babur's Memoirs, translated by Mrs. Beveridge, p. 544). He was given charge of the parganas in the neighbourhood of Buxar and Chaunsa, when Babur encamped there, probably in June, 1528, during his unrecorded campaign of 934 H., of which many pages are missing from his Memoirs, (See ibid foot-note, i. 652). The story told by Abbas Sarwani and unsuspectingly repeated by later

historians that the Mughal governor of Jaunpur, lent him, shortly after, a Mughal army with whose help he not only recovered his own parganas but also acquired some others from his enemies, appears to be absolutely baseless and improbable.

Though Mughal service afforded Sher Khan protection against his Afghan enemies, there was no immediate prospect of regaining his parganas, as the Mughals were at this time hotly pressed in the west by the Raiputs and a host of other enemies. All available Mughal troops were concentrated near Fathpur Sikri by Babur, who was in the grip of a life and death struggle with the mighty Rana Sanga of Mewar. February and March 1527 were the most critical months of Babur's life; the Mughal authority was seriously shaken everywhere; Babur seems to have been given up for lost by his Hindustani supporters. There were perfidy, revolt and desertion on all sides. (For the perilous situation of Babur before the battle of Kanwa, March, 17, 1527, see Babur's Memoirs, p. 557). Though the victory of Kanwa saved the situation in the west, Mughal ascendancy in the eastern provinces became more precarious by the rebellion of Shaikh Bayazid, a Mughal partisan, entrusted with the governorship of Oudh. So it is not possible that Sultan Junaid Barlas could spare Mughals to fight for Sher Khan in \is domestic quarrels, at such a critical period.

However, granting that a force was sent by the Governor of Jaunpur what were its chances of success? Before June, 1528, no Mughal army ventured to cross over to the southern bank from Chunar to Patna, which was the stronghold of the Lohani king Sultan Muhammad. How could Sher Khan overthrow Muhammad Khan Sur so easily, when his supporter the Lohani king was yet alive? Why should Muhammad Khan Sur fly to the hills of Rohtas\*, and not to the Lohani capital for shelter? The truth seems to be that Sher Khan remained two or three months with Sultan Junaid Barlas at Jaunpur, waiting for an opportunity to be introduced to the emperor by his master. After the victory of Kanwa (March, 1527 A.D.) Sultan Junaid may have gone to Agra for some business and Sher Khan possibly accompanied him. There he was presented to Babur, and recommended by Sultan Junaid Barlas to Mir Khalifa, Sultan lunaid's elder brother, acting as Babur's minister, (Abbas, p. 70-Elliot, iv. 329). Since then he passed into the service of Babur and remained in the Mughal army, waiting in the eager

<sup>\*</sup>All Persian writers in Juding Abbas are unanimous in saving that Muhammad Khan Sur fled to the hills of Rohtas when Sher Khan with Mughal auxiliaries attacked him

expectation of another Mughal campaign in the eastern provinces, which alone would give him an opportunity to recover his heritage and wreak vengeance upon his enemies.

Sher Khan had been in Babur's camp for about 15 months at most from April\* 1527 to June, 1528, when he got back his parganas as a result of Babur's eastern campaign of 934 A. H. His life during this period was marked by no remarkable incidents, at least we have no reliable historical information of them. Abbas and other writers, viewing the earlier days of Sher Shah in the dazzling light of his achievements in after life, have recorded and passed as genuine history a number of curious anecdotes, full of predictions, unconscious prophecies, etc., illustrative of the biographers' favourite theme:—
"The child is father of the man."

One of these is the following story which Abbas is said to have heard from his own uncle, Shaikh Muhammad, whose age was nearly eighty years; "I, (Shaikh Muhammad) was at the battle of Chanderi, with the force of the victorious Emperor Babur, the second Faridun, and in attendance on the Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail, (who brought the Emperor Babur from Kabul), and Shaikh Ibrahim

<sup>\*</sup> Supposing he was introduced to Babur 3 months after his flight to Jaunpur (January, 1527).

Sarwani said to me. 'Come to Sher Khan's quarters, and hear his impossible boastings, which all men are laughing at.' And accordingly we rode over to Sher Khan's guarters. In the course of conversation, Sharkh Ibrahim said: 'It is impossible that the empire should again fall into the hands of the Afghans, and the Mughals be expelled from this country.' Sher Khan replied: 'Shaikh Muhammad, be you witness now between Shaikh Ibrahim and myself, that if luck and fortune favour me. I will very shortly expel the Mughals from Hind, for the Mughals are not superior (to the Afghans) in battle or in single combat; (shamshu zadan, lit., sword-wielding) but the Afghans have let slip the Empire of Hind from their hards on account of their internal dissensions. Since I have been amongst the Mughals and know their mode of fighting (rawish-i-jang), I see in battle they have no order or discipline, (sabat o karar, lit... firmness and perserverance) and that their king\* from pride of birth and station does not personally superint and the government but leaves all the affairs and I siness of the State to his nobles and ministers, in whose savings and doings he puts perfect confidence. These grandees act on corrupt motives in every case. ' 'he ' he that of a soldier's or a cultivator's or a

<sup>\*</sup> Elli t's translation contains 'Kings' and as such uses all prinouns the plural number

rebellious zamindar's. Whoever has money, whether loyal or disloyal can get his business settled as he likes by paying for it; but if a man has no money, although he may have displayed his loyalty on a hundred occasions, or be a veteran soldier, he will never gain his end. From this lust of gold they make no distinction between friend and foe; and if fortune extends a hand to me, the Shaikh will soon see and hear how I shall bring the Afghans under my control and never permit them again to become divided'." (Abbas, pp. 71-73 = Elliot, iv. 330-331).

The above story cannot be believed, on the following grounds:—

(1) Shaikh Muhammad, the informant of Abbas, says that at the siege of Chanderi, he was in attendance upon the Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail\* who brought the Emperor Babur from Kabul. This Khani-Khanan whom Babur calls Daulat Khan Yusuf Khail died just two years before the siege of Chanderi

<sup>\*</sup> This was the title of Daulat Khan Lodi, the Afghan traitor whom Babur describes as Daulat Khan Yusuf Khail (see Babur's Memoirs, p. 428). Our MS. Abbas omits the words "who brought the Emperor from Kabul". But from passages elsewhere it becomes evident that Abbas meant no other person than Daulat Khan Lodi, by Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail. "Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail who was Governor of the Punjab, etc. has sent his son Dilwar Khan to fetch Babur..." (See Abbas in Elliot, iv. 324). This passage leaves no room for doubt that Abbas means no other person than Daulat Khan Lodi, by "Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail."

(December, 1527 A.D.). Babur's Memoirs (Mrs. Beveridge's translation, p. 461) says: "Daulat Khan'died when Kitta Beg reached Sultanpur with the prisoners" of whom Daulat Khan was one. The entry is made on the 10th January, 1526 A.D.; so the Khan-i-Khanan must have died about the beginning of January, 1526. This very fact is sufficient to discredit the whole as an old soldier's gossip. Bu'there are greater absurdities in Shaikh Muhammad's story.

the Mughals from India was opposed to the reticer nature of Sher Khan. We might have believed in had the remark been made elsewhere than in Babur camp and had Sher Khan been at that time a boy of tender age with a fiery imagination like Ibn Ali Amir who is said to have passed sleepless nights, while you a mere school boy, seriously thinking whom he would make the chief Qazi of Cordova, when he would be come the ruler of Spain. But Sher Khan was at the time a mature man of forty, having already passed through several vicissitudes of fortune. He knew too well the tale-bearing habit of the Afghans to have confided such dangerous secrets to them when he

<sup>\*</sup> The famous Almamzor, the great minister of the Omayyie Khalif Hisham II. of Spain. For the story see Dozy's History c Spain.

vas a homeless wanderer, looking up to these very lughals to rescue him from misery. Nor was he nown to have been a jovial merry-maker, to pour is heart over the cup in the careless hours of feast and revelry.

(3) A shrewd observer like Sher Khan\* could ot have formed such hasty and wrong ideas as that The Mughals have no order and discipline, no firmess and perseverance etc. after the victories of anipat and Kanwa, while the military arrangements Babur marked him out as the greatest general his age. On what battle-field did Sher Khan id occasion to notice the inferiority of the ughals (to the Afghans), their unsteadiness d lack of discipline? If Sher Khan had been pressed with anything in the Mughal camp it is rather with the superior organization and uipment of Babur's army, and its invincibiin regular battle. Its influence is found in the ategy of Sher Khan, and his adoption of the ighal system in the organization of the army. As the idea of driving the Mughals from India. it very doubtful whether even in 1539 after the tory of Chaunsa, Sher Khan indulged in such a

<sup>\*</sup> If Sher Khan meant "single combat" and "sword-playing" by word 'battle', then the Afghans were of course superior, and his ark may have some truth.

vision. It was not any pursuit of a definite aim that brought about the expulsion of the Mughals by Sher Khan; rather it was the result of several accidents.

(4) "Their king from pride of birth...... they make no distinction between friend and foe." This is a piece of anachronism pure and simple put by Abbas Sarwani into the mouth of Sher Khan to give vent to his own aggrieved feelings. Here the dramatist—for the book of Abbas is more a drama than a sober history,—prominently reveals himself behind the mask of the historian. Those who know anything of the hard-working Emperor Babur and his vigilance and close attention to details, would at once pronounce Abbas's remarks as wrong. The abuses complained of by Abbas might creep into a settled government enjoying peace for a long time, but they are quite impossible in the state of things which prevailed in Hindustan between the first battle of Panipat and the siege of Chanderi. The key to the above passage lies in the following events of Abbas Sarwani's own life:—"In the reign of Emperor Akbar, I (Abbas) enjoyed these (assignments of lands to my ancestors) until the two.... fourth Ilahi year (corresponding to 987 A.H.). when the Emperor ordered that I should be advanced to the rank of a Commander of Five Hundred and brought to his presence. But Qazi Ali did not give

a true account of myself or of my ancestors, but spoke ill of us and said Shaikh Abdu-n-Nabi had given 2,000 bighas of land to two Afghans!" In short my bad fortune so ordained it that my share of assignments (madad-i-ma'ash) was resumed." (Abbas, pp. 202-203=Elliot, iv. 389-390). further learn that his feelings were so much wounded that he resolved to return to the country of his fathers. Later on he was employed under one Mir Sayyid Hamid on a monthly salary of 200 Rupees; but he lost it after the death of Hamid and remained in grief and distress. (See Abbas in Elliot, iv. 390). Here were ample grounds for complaint about the 'corrupt motives of the Mughal grandees'. The state of affairs revealed through Sher Khan's mouth was really the contemporary state of things in Akbar's Court as seen through the coloured spectacles of the discontented Abbas Sarwani and his brother historian, Mulla Abdul Qadir of Badaon: [for similar complaints, see Badaoni in Elliot, v. 521.\*1

Shorn of all legends and prophecies the bare fact of Sher Khan's going to Chanderi with the army of Babur is possibly true, as Babur had taken all available troops there; for the objective of his campaign in 934 A.H. was the conquest of Malwa and Mewar. Babur writes. "We had come to Chanderi meaning, after taking it, to move against Raising (Raisin), Bhilsan, and Sarangpur.....(then to move on Rana Sanga in Chitor." (Babur's Memoirs, p. 598). Had the course of affairs taken this turn a prolonged period of exile and misery would have been the lot of Sher Khan. But fortune smiled upon him. Scarcely had Chanderi fallen when news reached Babur that Biban, Bayazid and Ma'aruf had risen in revolt and advanced as far as Qanauj. This forced a change of campaign and Babur marched against the rebels in the beginning of February, 1528 A.D. through Kalpi (See ibid, p. 598). He gave a vigorous chase to the rebels, driving them before him eastward. On April 2nd 1528, we find Babur shooting games on the bank of the Saru (*Ibid*, p. 602). Hitherto there is no mention of Sher Khan in the Memoirs of Babur.

which, many of the unfortunates perished from the effect of the hot air in that immense crowd. Although the king (Akbar) knew all these particulars yet such was his regard for the Sadr, that he could not be persuaded to interfere with his proceedings." (Tarikh-i-Badaoni in Elliot, v. 521).

But it can be inferred that he had been in his army, though without playing a noticeable part. But soon afterwards Babur crossed over to the southern bank of the Ganges and there carried on operations. There is a break of narrative in the Memoirs between 2nd April and 18th September, 1528, (Ibid, p. 603, translator's note). Mrs. Beveridge says, "Much can be gleaned however as to Babur's occupations during 51/2 months of the lacuna from his chronicle of 935 A.H. which makes several references to last ucar and also allows several inferences to be drawn. From this source it becomes known that the Afghan campaign, the record of which was broken by the gap, was carried on and in its course Babur was at Jaunpur (f. 365), Chaunsa (f. 365b) and Baksara (f. 

The above passage unmistakably shows that this campaign was carried on by Babur not only in Oudh but in South Bihar also. Sher Khan must have accompanied Babur in this campaign and rendered valuable service by his knowledge of the country and local influence when Babur's army was at Chaunsa and Buxar. It is unfortunate that this very portion of the most authentic history, which undoubtedly contained valuable reference to him, is irrecoverably lost. That Sher Khan recovered his parganas and acquired several others as the result of

Babur's campaign of 934 A.H. is proved beyonch doubt by the following incidental mention of it in Babur's Memoirs:—"While\* we were in these parts" news came again and again that Sultan Mahmud (Lodi) had collected 10,000 Afghans.....that he himself with Fath Khan Sarwani was on his way along the river for Chunar: that Sher Khan Sur whom I had? favoured last year with the gift of several parganasile and had left in charge of this neighbourhood, had joined these Afghans, who thereupon had made him and a few other amirs cross the water (the Ganges) we find an unmistakable allusion to the fact that in the previous year, 934 A.H., Babur had been in South Bihar and had at that time rewarded Sher Khan with several parganas. Mrs. Beveridge in a foot-note to the above passage adds, "Babur's favours to him (Sher Khan), his son Humayun's future conqueror, will have been done during the Eastern campaign in 934 A.H., of which so much record is missing." (Babur's Memoirs, p. 652, foot-note 1). This leaves us in no doubt that Sher Khan recovered his parganas with the help of the Mughal army when Babur was encamped at Chaunsa. Sultan Muhammad Lohani was probably dead at this time, which left the country, south of

<sup>\*</sup> The entry is made on the 28th February. 1529

means ought to collect, for aid and assistance, soldiers and retainers so as to preserve their own possessions and even gain fresh parganas.....Let us therefore put away our former envy and hatred.....and This may be the means of our gathering a force of kinsmen, and so of attaining high station and dignity. <sup>3</sup>On receiving Sher Khan's letter Muhammad Khan <sup>2</sup>came down from the hills and again took possession of his own pargana.....and he and Sher Khan forcarave each other, and Muhammad Khan thus came Binder obligations to Sher Khan."—(Abbas, er<sub>3p.</sub> 69-70=Elliot. iv. 328-329). Sher Khan's Holitical sagacity and magnanimity as shown in these Alansactions cannot be too highly praised. His letter miflects the mentality of the Afghans during those dis-Burbed years. [After the fall of the Lodis various prifghan tribes, such as the Farmulis (not genuine ab.fghans according to Niamatuallah, see Dorn 57, terr k il) and the Lohanis, were the chief competitors Ka: ascendancy]. Bayazid Farmuli and Ma'aruf Barmuli two bitter enemies became allies and the dis rmulis became supreme in Oudh and Bahraich. he Lohanis had established themselves in the astern part of South Bihar. Sher Khan's intention a revealed in his letter to Muhammad Khan seems Thave been to organize a strong Sur power in the rn extremity of South Bihar, and he laid it. foundation by showing politic magnanimity to his bitter enemy Muhammad Khan Sur.

A new complication soon arose in Bihar. Mahmud Lodi, (son of Sultan Sikandar), who had fought in the battle of Kanwa\* on the side of Rana Sanga and fled to Mewar after the disastrous defeat was invited by the eastern rebels such as Biban Lodi Bayazid Farmuli. Ma'aruf and otherst to take their lead as soon as Babur turned his back upon them Indeed it seems rather to have been a confederacy of the Lodi and Farmuli tribes to seize the rich heritag of the minor Lohani king Jalaluddin. But Abb. Sarwani and all the Persian historians betray igno ance of the fact that this Sultan Mahmud Lodi seize the kingdom of Bihar from Jalal Khan Lohani in 9 A.H. about September, 1528, and not from Sh Khan, as they put it, after the battle of Suraigarh! his second battle with the Bengal army and acquisition of the fortress of Chunar by marriage. T fact is that for some time, \*\* that is, from March 17

<sup>\*</sup> March 17th 1527

<sup>†</sup> Here we do not find the name of any Lohani chief an the supporters of the Lodi pretender

<sup>‡</sup> This battle was fought between Sher and Ibrahim Khan general of Mahmud Shah within a year of his succession waccording to R D Banerji who has tried to fix correct date examination of coinage etc. took place in 939 AH

<sup>\*\*</sup> Abbas Sarwani (p. 108) savs "Sultan Mahmud (then Mahmud Lodi) remained for a season in that neighbourhood afterwards came towards Patna"

527, up to August, 1528, Mahmud Lodi remained n Raiputana. He joined the eastern rebels about September, 1528. We feel ourselves justified in using only that much of Abbas Sarwani's account of he relation between Mahmud Lodi and Sher Khan. which is consistent with Babur's Memoirs. That author combines two adventures of Mahmud Lodi nto one, the first in 935 A.H. and the other in 937 A.H., in which he seized the throne of lalal Khan.\* Ialal, with his mother Dudu, fled across to Hajipur and sought the protection of a Bengal army of Nusrat Shah. Abbas Sarwani and other writers make Sher Than fly from the Mughal camp and again join pultan Muhammad Lohani. As we have seen aleady, the story of his flight is baseless and his joing Sultan Muhammad Lohani a second time is also iseless. After Babur had left him in charge of the rganas in the neighbourhood of Chaunsa, he joined t Sultan Muhammad Lohani, † (as Abbas Sarwani,

<sup>\*</sup>Babur hears the news on January 13th, 1529 "Iskander's son ihmud Lodi had taken Bihar town. This news decided for getting e army to horse." (Babur's Memoirs, translated by Mrs. Beveridge, 639). So this event may have happened about October. 1528 A.D. † Elphinstone is entitled to the credit of removing the huge error by using Erskinc's translation of Babur's Memoirs. He says. "Next at (1529 A.D.) Mahmud Lodi took Bihar and Sher Khan either m necessity, or an inclination to the cause of his nation joined Lodi standard." (History of India, 6th edn., p. 445.) [We by differ from him about the date. He took it more probably sher, 1528.]

Ferishta. Makhzan-i-Afaghana, zamuddin, rikh-i-Daudi MS. say), but Sultan Mahmud Lodi. / Abbas Sarwani says, \* "When Sultan Mahmud di came with these nobles into Bihar, Sher an found it impossible to offer any resistance. ......He was therefore necessitated to present iself before Sultan Mahmud Lodi." Here we that Sher Khan joined him only out of sheer sity and for self-preservation. Though he ated his enemies and collected soldiers around e med ated no treachery and hostility towards ed to evade marching with the armyan Mahinud against the Mughals. This will be om the following lines of Abbas Sarwani, who Ather-eccipt of this order (to join Sultan onbre nediately) Sher Khan wrote back in reply ticeld come as soon as he could complete Baments about the force. When the nobles o king came to know the contents of Sher , tter, they represented that Sher Khan was , racy with the Mughals and would not come Kking. Deceit and (fox-like) stratagem are oleit. profession.) No trust should be put r's is and sayings, but he must be compelled .

💪 Azam Humayun Sarwa

Khan is in his jagir. Let us march towards direction and follow him wherever he goes... They proceeded by regular marches to Sasaram. Khan hearing that Sultan Mahmud had come all his followers and would compel him to join was very much vexed, and said to his friends. plan I had devised has not succeeded...... army (of Sultan Mahmud) would do no good the nobles who are in it are not at unity among the selves......But I can no longer excuse myself, ' go along with the army....." Sher Khan wir the suspicion from the mind of Sultan Mahmu his army, by entertaining them with mag hospitality. After a few days he marched with Mahmud for the attack upon Mugh! (Abbas pp. 110-112 = Elliot, iv. 348-49 in-events happened towards the end of 15. Feb. 28th\* Babur hears. . . . that , Mahmud Lodil with Fath Khan Sarwanit' way along the river for Chunar; that Sher l

<sup>\*</sup>On February 28th, Babur hears that he (Sultan Metached Shaikh Bayazid and Biban with a mass of Sarwar (Gorakhpur)

the original name of Azam Humayun Sarwani, the Second by Abbas Sarwani. This title descended ndard. (History of Inc. mud who is called his damd from him about the date. He took Filliot, iv. 347) v.

joined these Afghans who thereupon had made and a few other amirs cross the water; that rean Jalaluddin's man in Benares had not been able really and that place and had fled and got away." four's Memoirs translated by Mrs. Beveridge, 1952).

Here we find the account of the progress of stan Mahmud and the various dispositions of his Jes. Sher Khan was perhaps made to cross the rages at the Chaunsa ferry, over to Ghazipur; alently he advanced upstream upon Benares on the thern bank almost in a parallel line with Sultan ahmud who was advancing towards Chunar on southern bank. Babur was at this time at Katra. The capture of Benares from Sultan Jalaluddin argit, a descendant of the old Sharqi dynasty of inpur, (appointed by Babur in charge of Benares the campaign of 934 A.H.) was the only military

<sup>\*</sup>Mrs. Beveridge (Babur's Memoirs 651, foot-note 5) wrongly parks, "He (Jalaluddin Sharqi) was one of the three rivals for the parks. Afghans all three." It is the latest remaining the control of the Pathan hobby of European writers. The old Sharquasty was Turkish in its origin. The founder Malik Sarwar was Turkish slave. The spirit of their sway was Turkish It was the froachment of the Afghans under Bahlul Lodi, that brought a first of this dynasty. He was not a claimant for suprement

exploit of Sher Khan in this campaign. Su Mahmud did not fight a single pitched battle. Babur. He fled eastward at the approach of Mughal army. On March 5th Sher Khan him recrossed the Ganges from Benares. Babur w. (5th March, 1529), "The Afghans who had cross the river from Benares had turned back in disorder; that two of their boats had sunk crossing......" (Ibid, p. 654).

The Lohanis, who had reason to resent the o throw of their power and the expulsion of the mi son of Sultan Muhammad Lohani by Sul Mahmud Lodi with the aid of his Lodi tribesm' e.g., Mian Biban Sahu Khail and the Farmulis un Bayazid and Ma'aruf, were the earliest deserters w made submission to the Mughals along with the Su who were also unwilling partners in the quarrel w Babur. When Babur was encamped "a kuroh bel Ghazipur, on March 31st, (Mahmud Khan Loha a staunch adherent of the Mughals, waited on him this same day), "Dutiful letters (of submission) car from Bihar Khan Bihari or Sultan Muhamme Lohani's son [alal Khan (Nuhani), from Nasir Kh. Nuhani's son. Farid Khan, from Sher Khan Su Alaul Khan Sur also, and from other of We when, 1528 ] Filliot, iv 347) vobably

## BABUR CRUSHES THE LODIS

an-i-A, tive p

This submission to Babur made by Sher Kted dud his unwilling hostility to the Mughals. ached ined a faithful vassal till his acquisition of typer rial fortress of Chunar in 937 A.H. whiterity ght him into conflict with Humayun in 938 A.H. Sultan Mahmud was chased eastwards till he all protection with Nusrat Shah. (Stewart, Historycynngal, p. 74).

Jalal Khan, who had taken refuge with the army engal in fear of Sultan Mahmud, came with his amirs and waited on Babur (May, 16th 1529,\* A.H.) The Mughal Emperor gave the greater on of his father's possessions back to him on tion of his paying one crore of Rupees as tribute. Babur's *Memoirs*, p. 676). Thus the normal of peace was restored in South Bihar which been a part of the Mughal Empire of Delhi. Jalal a got back his father's possessions and Sher Khan Mughal vassal after 7 years (1522-1529) of hard gle entered into the peaceful possession of his r's jagir.

### CHAPTER III

# SHER KHAN, DEPUTY GOVERNOR OF BIHAR. (1529-1533)

The death of Bahar Khan Lohani, foll almost immediately by the usurpation of S'r. Mahmud, caused great confusion in the affail the kingdom of Bihar. The restored king lalal was a mere boy; his mother Dudu\*, who lookec o his interests, was no doubt a sensible woman;  $b_{i,j}^{mi}$ disorganized state of the kingdom needed the s hand and steady intellect of a man to set it in o? Sher Khan, who had already shown remark administrative ability during the lifetime of husband, was called back by her to service and made her deputy, probably 3 or 4 months-it ma less-after her son's restoration, that is, a September or October, 1529 A.D. "Dudu matters of importance for her own supervision and co-operation with Sher Khan discharged import duties. (A few days after, the mother of Jalal K Alaul Khan Sur also, and from other Krivernment

ihar fell upon Sher Khan." (Makhzan-1-Afai 5. p. 14). Sher Khan's administrative poli overnor of Bihar, which policy originated during an agement of his father's jagir and reached its Ittion afterwards when he became Emperor, of absolute centralization of all authority in ln's hand. He had begun working along this fiBihar, eight years before, when he was a se n Sultan Muhammad Lohani. This policy, v ca its very nature anti-feudal, naturally caused wicure amongst the aristocratic sections of or nis who held large fiefs. Absolute power, v Majed by Sultan Muhammad or Dudu, the nanded the natural allegiance of their tribesr Th not so offensive and irritating to then; ; She afterwards when that power was b Jai"sed by Sher Khan, whom they had looked i in 'e of their servants. Like the great Richel regenerator of France and a great apostle of t sm, Sher Khan became the object of aristoci it in his new office. But Sher Khan sat t to them. He formed a strong party of his own hinterpoise, in the kingdom to save the situati invas highly popular with the peasantry and to cquired a great influence among the rank, - the Lohanis themselves. The History of ns (MS. translated by Dorn, p. 93). sa

"Sher Khan during a space of four years\* elevated nimself to a state of complete independence an gained the attachment of the greater part of the army by the bounties and honours lavished upon them; so much so that within the frontiers of Bihar no one dared disobey his orders." Besides this, he kept a ng body-guard of his own, which might be utilizis the nucleus of an army if need be. Tarikh-idi (MS. p. 170) says, "He had in his servicε alr Afghan cavalry, and he made this rule that 400 Me men fully armed should always be present at the ar and the other four hundred go out on raids; none came the other went away." But these hicavalry seemed to have formed only the permanent body-guard of Sher Khan; his actual armed strength was much greater. [About this time, besides ruling the kingdom of Bihar as Deputy, he was in ardent pursuit of personal ambition.) Two most notable incidents were the acquisition of Chunar an his alliance with Makhdum-i-Alam, the Governor ca Hajipur on behalf Nusrat Shah, King of Bengal.

The Acquisition of Chunar (1530 A.D.†) son The fort of Chunar, situated about 28 miles The Benares, was held by one Taj Khan Sarang K Taj

<sup>\*</sup>From 935 A. H. to 939 A. H. when Jalal Khan fled to Mhim, tah It is a very happy coincidence with correct historical exchan † Sher Khan acquired the fort three or four months

In behalf of Sultan Ibrahim Lodi. Taj Khan was affered to retain possession of the fort, on his submission to Babur during his unrecorded campaign of 134 A.H.\* (as the result of which Sher Khan himself ecovered his parganas). During Babur's campaign of 935 A.H. (February—June 1529) Taj Khan playda a very loyal part as a partisan, and the Emperor himself visited the fort of Chunar on March 23, 1529. This visit seems to have excited the greed of the

Babur's death (22nd December, 1530 A. D.) during the confusion aused by the Emperor's illness Babur removed the tactful and rigilant Sultan Junaid Barlas from Jaunpur in 1529 A. D. and gave it to his favourite sen in law Muhammad Zaman Mirza, who was a corrupt and negligent man. Besides he was too busy with making preparations to supplant Humayum on the throne of Delhi to notice he aggression of the Afghan chiefs.

\* Mrs. Beveridge is not perhaps right in remarking (Babur's Aemoirs, 656, foot-note 3), that at the end of that campaign labur returned to Jauppur from Sayvidpur, via the Gumti. There re unmistakable indirect evidences in Babur's Memoirs (p. 652) at he visited Benares in 934 A. H. where he left Sultan Jalaluddin hargi, who in 935 fled before the Afghans, as has been said before. is very likely that he advanced a little higher up the Ganges to erawe or at least make a demonstration against the fortress of ar. Babur probably received its submission in that year. The suct of Tay Khan in 935 A. H. was not that of a half-willing who had just submitted, but of a devoted adherent, and no date of Taj Khan's submission is possible.....Humayun in H. (August—December, 1526) did not cross over to the soutl the Ganges and in 933 A. H. the Mughals did not carr; emilitary operation in those quarters. We think, Babur returned Sur, by the land route via Benares.

hEmperor, for we find that scarcely two months after the determined to replace Taj Khan by Sultan Junai Barlas, as the commander of that important fortres thand in June 1529, he sent Malik Sharq "to get Taj Mkhan out of Chunar" (Babur's Memoirs, p. 683 But his object was not realized as he had to depart astily from these parts in hot pursuit of Biban ar Sayazid. So Taj Khan remained in possession dhe fort till his death.

The story of the acquisition of Chunar by Sh Khan as given by Abbas Sarwani is this:-"T Khan was altogether a slave to his love for his w Lad Malika, who was a woman of great sagacity a <sup>2</sup>wisdom. He had made three Turkoman brothe <sup>t</sup>Mir Ahmad, Mir Dad, and Mir Ishaq, his lieutenan £.....who promised and swore to Lad Malika tl gthey would not oppose her but be faithful to h <sup>1t</sup>Lad Malika had no sons: but Taj Khan had seve isons by other wives. He did not give a fitting ma lienance to his sons, and from fear of Lad Malika other sons could not eat their food at case. Althou 7the sons complained to him about their circumstanc It was of no avail. One night Taj Khan's eldest larave her a blow with a sword (Abbas MS. 103). -mult and noise having reached the ears of om han he ran at his son with a sword to kill er ho, finding no means of escape, struck Tai I

with his sword, and killed him. The sons c Khan were on bad terms with the greater part soldiers who adhered to Lad Malika. disposed [our MS. uses no such adjective] pe joined Taj Khan's sons who always quarrelled the treasure [Elliot's version adds "among t selves." But this is not correct and opposed to whole tenor of the story. The quarrel was with Malika over the treasure]. Sher Khan, who we the neighbourhood, carried on a secret negotia with the three Turkoman brothers, who unanimo agreed that Lad Malika being a woman would no able to hold the fortress, and therefore it would be their advantage to surrender the fort to Sher K who would come under obligation to them. T made proposals of her marriage with Sher Kh dilating upon the advantages of such a match, a induced her to give her consent. Mir Dad was s back to bring in Sher Khan qually and to ti possession of the fort before the sons of Tai Kl should be aware of their designs."

Mir Dad came hastily with the grey-beard bridegroom of forty-four, and the nuptial ceremo was immediately gone through (1530). By the happy matrimonial venture Sher Khan not only a quired an impregnable fortress but also made princely fortune; Lad Malika gave him a prese

Emrnsisting of 150 pieces of exceedingly value the wels, seven maunds of pearls and 150 maunds 1Ba,old and many other articles and ornament tl<sub>an</sub>Abbas MS. pp. 102-107 = Elliot, 343-346).

nK Such is the story told by Abbas Sarwani a EBut lieved by Nizamuddin, Ferishta and the author ng as akhzan-i-Afaghana. But the amount of truth is 3z seems to be very small.

 $d^i$ l The first part of the story, namely, the dotage A Taj Khan Sarang Khani on Lad Malika and his de That the hands of his eldest son, is unworthy Thalence. Abbas admits that the sons of Taj Kl Lad but young (Elliot, iv. 344); Babur says, " <sup>1</sup>isdc<sub>1</sub> Sarang Khani came from Chunar to this grou pir Papur) with his two young sons and waited '...' (March 21st, 1529). (See Babur's Memoirs, (ler). What could induce Taj Khan to introduce ner Emperor these sons whom he, as Abbas sa "did not send even sufficient daily food?" It is much to believe that one of these young boys wit. 16 months\* from this date grew up into a despera who killed his father with one blow of his sab The rest of the story makes us suspect a quite contr.

<sup>\*</sup> Gulbadan (Mrs. Beveridge's trans. 113). Jauhar (MS. p. 6), Abul-Fazl (Akbarnama, i. 288) are unanimous in saying that first siege of Chunar and the submission of Sher Khan to Huma

state of affairs. The fear of the match-makers an the bride lest "the sons of Taj Khan should becom aware of their designs" is inconsistent with the worth lessness and weakness of the sons of Tai Khan. fortress is least likely to be betrayed by the stronge party within it. The whole story is unskilfully go up with the object of convincing us that Sher Khar, obtained Chunar by legitimate means, from its virtual mistress Lad Malika. The character of the sons of Taj Khan has been blackened in order to alienate from them the sympathy of the reader, who mights otherwise condemn the whole action as an unworthy plot to deprive the legitimate heirs of their rightful heritage. In fact the secret message of Sher Khan to Mir Ahmad and the suspicious secrecy of the whole affair confirm the contrary view that the party of Lad Malika was weaker and that the Turkoman brothers were traitors who, having been heavily bribed, admitted Sher Khan into the fort.

The death of Babur was the signal for the outbreak of a formidable Afghan rebellion in the eastern

took place in the first year (i.e., 1531 A. D.) of his reign. So Sher Khan must have come to its possession at least a year before, which is confirmed by the fact that Sher Khan was in possession of Chunar when he joined the Afghan rebellion in the eastern provinces which broke out immediately after the death of Babur, (Dec., 26th 1530.) Sher Khan may have acquired it in Oct., 1530, and Taj Khan's death probably took place 3 months earlier in July, 1530 A. D.

Provinces. Biban and Bayazid\* were its moving Lodi, who had taken refuge in Bengal in 1529 A.D. Humayun marched from Agra in June 1531 to crush the rebels. "His Majesty (Humayun) after successie we marches reached Dauroh! on the bank of the kiver Mati (the Gumti), when the above-mentioned frebels with a large army, came towards that place. After several days a great battle took place; the rebels were defeated...Biban, Bayazid and Ibrahim Khan Lodis and all the chiefs and refractory (lit. stiffnecked) ones were slain." (Jauhar, MS. pp. 5-6). It cannot be definitely ascertained what part Sher Khan played in the Afghan rebellion of 1531. Abbas| says that Sultan Mahmud Lodi forced Sher

<sup>\*</sup>Gulbadan says:—"Six months after the death of His Majesty Firdaus Makani Biban and Bayazid advanced from the direction of Gaur." (Mrs. Beveridge's translation, p. 112).

<sup>†</sup> For Nusrat Shah's help to Sultan Mahmud Lodi in this insurrection, see Stewart's History of Bongal, p. 75.

<sup>‡</sup> Daurah of Jauhar is probably Deruh in modern maps; its position is longitude, 82°20" latitude 26-30". It is situated on the Gumti, about 48 miles higher up (North) of Jaunpur.

<sup>\$</sup> This is the same person as Ibrahim Khan Sahu Khail of Abbas. For a fuller, but less reliable account of this battle, see Abbas in Elliot, iv. 349-350.

<sup>||</sup> A cursory glance at Abbas Sarwani's narrative of the adventure of Sultan Mahmud Lodi (see Elliot, iv. 346 350) will convince any one that Abbas has most lamentably confused the two adventures,—one separated from the other by a lapse of three years,—of

Khan to join him and march towards Jaunpur' Lucknow, that Sher Khan opened treacherous ne tiations with Humayun through Hindu Beg. that at the critical moment of this battle he drew his forces, which caused the defeat of Mahmud. (See Abbas in Elliot, iv. 349). the rebel chiefs could in 1531 force Sher Khk then lord of Chunar and virtual master of the v tract of country south of the Ganges from Chur to Bihar, to join them against his will, is almost absurdity. Jauhar says that Humayun, afterest battle of Dauroh marched against Chunar and I sieged it for four months. (MS. p. 6). Gulbadan's book we find, "He (Humayun) defeat them (Biban and Bayazid) and then went to Chunae (Chunar), took it and thence returned to Agra. (Mrs. Beveridge's translation, p. 112). But they d not mertion the name of Sher Khan with the rebe chiefs. It is curious to notice that Nizamuddin Itex p. 194 = Elliot, v. 189), Ferishta (text, p. 213 Badaoni (Ranking's translation, p. 451), in the chapters on Humayun's reign, do not bring the nan of Sher Khan in connection with the rebellion or th pitched battle in which Mahmud Lodi was defeate

Sultan Malimud and combined them in one improbable whole T element of truth in his story has been utilized in its proper place (Chapter II.)

Fner Biban and Bayazid were killed. But the same We horities in their chapters on Sher Shah have reolloduced in brief the tale of adventure of Mahmud  $ootnotesize Y_{
m odi}$  from the battle of Kanwa (March, 1527) to the - attle of Dauroh (July, 1531) in one unbroken narrative with the mention of Sher Khan's alleged treabhery in the battle, evidently from Abbas Sarwani's polak. This very inconsistency takes away the force of their statements and reveals its untruth. There Fre not, in fact, so many independent authorities for - . reachery of Sher Khan but only one, - Abbas-"hose words have been echoed by all.\* Thus this ' slogist of Sher Shah has unintentionally done the 5 eatest harm to his hero's character and reputation. ir his may be due to a current tradition among the · fghans imputing the failure of their last great national attempt to treachery, as every nation loves to raise the cry, "We are betrayed," when great disasters happen through other causes. That he was not with the army which was defeated by Humayun at Dauroh (the battle of Lucknow of Abbas)-on the Gumti, is beyond doubt.\ Sher Khan hated to play a subordinate role in any affair and most of all to be second to a fellow Afghan. And it was sure that

<sup>\*</sup> Elphinstone seems to have rejected this false allegation against Sher Khan after due deliberation. He altogether omits this in his brief notice of Sher Shah's career. (See History of India, p. 443).

though he was the lord of Chunar and practical ru. of South Bihar, he could not as yet claim equality military fame and popular esteem with Biban and Bayazid, the heroes of a hundred fights against ? Mughals. Rather than play a subordinate part, probably did not play any part at all. There we also political reasons for Sher Khan's not joinir those leaders. As we have seen before, the Sur and Lohanis were unwilling members of the Afghar. confederacy of 935 A. H. which was chiefly dominated by the Farmulis and Lodis, and they were the earliest deserters from it. Like every o<sub>30 r</sub> Atghan, Sher Khan too had not, at il is period,3-11 conception of national interests and national free He was keenly alive to his own interests and wasters are the man to act in a manner prejudicial to perset a interests by incurring the displeasure of the Mughals. At heart he probably desired the overthrow of the ascendency of the Lodi and Farmuli tribes and their veteran leaders Biban and Bayazid, whose power and military fame in 937 overshadowed his rising fortune and were sure to prove serious obstacles afterwards. On the field of Dauroh fortune cleared the path of her favourite through his great rival Humayun.

Sher Khan's acquisition of Chunar was sufficient provocation to Humayun, though the former did not

#### SHER SHAH

v.hn hands with the avowed rebels. He probably load the design of securing Humayun's confirmation of his illegal gain as the price of his neutrality. He ittley have kept the Mughal Emperor in humour by re pfessions of loyalty and his willingness to surrender erhunar, while at the same time he made preparations obr defending it against him. After the victory over tultan Mahmud Lodi, Humayun sent Hindu Beg to Sher Khan demanding the surrender of the fort, but the latter ultimately refused to give it up (see cabbas in Elliot, iv. 351). Thereupon Humayun oglistched against Chunar (Nov. 1531, A.D.), "Sher atestin, leaving Jalal Khan (his second son) and s maher Jalal Khan the son of Jalu, in Chunar, went thans his family and followers to the hills of Bahar onalnda. The army of Humayun besieged Chunar, and daily fighting ensued in which the two lalal Khans displayed gallantry beyond description and from their gallantry gained great renown." (Abbas MS. p. 116 = Elliot, iv. 350).\*

Jauhar says that Humayun marched from Dauroh to Chunar. "When the victorious army

<sup>\*</sup> Abbas wrongly says that the flight of Muhammad Zaman Mirza from the fort of Biana and the threatening attitude of Bahadur Shah Gujrati forced Humayun to return to Agra from Chunar. (See Elliot, iv. 350-351. Nizamuddin (text. p 229) and other writers copy the mistake.) The first siege of Chunar took place not later than

reached Chunar, Jalal Khan, son of Sher Khan several other nobles were within the fortress; the was besieged for four months. When Sher K saw that the fort would fall to-day or to-morrow made his submission and sent his own son C Khan\* to the presence of His Majesty and secupeace. His Majesty then order C. B. ch town Agra, the seat of sovereignty. (Persian N Chapter I, p. 6).

Gulbadan says that Maham Begam, Humayt mother, gave a great feast in commemoration of victory and safe return of her son from Chunar; th was illumination and great festivity lasting for sevidays. She gave special robes of honour to 7,000 p sons† (Gulbadan's Humayun-Nama, pp. 113-1

February 1532, whereas the flight of Muhammad Zaman Mirzat the expedition against Bahadur Shah occurred about Oct. and February, 1535 A. D. respectively. vide Abul-Fazl, Aknama, 1, 293.

<sup>\*</sup> With Isa Khan who was in place of Sher Khan's W (Nizamuddin, p 229).

<sup>†</sup> Mrs. Beveridge in the foot-note adds "The feast here cred to Maham Begam may be that of the first anniversary of Humayi accession." But this cannot be true. It was not "Accession Fea Nizamuddin (Persian text, p. 194) uses no such word nor gives date for it. December, 19th 1531 is a mere guess. On p 112 of the same book she adds another confusing foot-note Humayun's capture of Chunar, "Taken from Sher Shah late 1532 (939 H)". We do not know upon what authority shaloc such a statement. The expedition of Humayun to sum on the

eed it was a fit occasion for such a celebration. ver were the eastern provinces rendered so subsive to the throne of Delhi after the death of tan Sikandar (1517 A.D.) as now. The innitable Afghan leaders, Biban and Bayazid, were led, the country on the northern bank of the inges from the Gumti to the Gandak (boundary the kingdom of Bengal) was as tranquil as er. On the southern bank of the Ganges the etensions of Sher Khan were subdued, and he was rced to yield obedience and send his son to the sperial service. But the serpent was scotched not lled; and this foreboded future trouble. While amayun was reposing in the bed of fancied surity, it recovered from the shock and gathered taih strength. The seed of lifelong enmity was na'i between the two men.

Though Sher Khan was compelled to submit to Emperor, yet the brilliant defence and ultimate

February 1532 to January 1533, after which he visited Gwalior from (1) Shaban 939 H. (February, 1533). (This date is given by Shah Guji, Elliot, iv. 124).

Elliot, iv. 350°

the mistake.)

Tellion undoubtedly took place in the first month of 938 H., i.e., 6 Anths after Babur's death. The siege of Chunar which closely towed the suppression of the revolt cannot be placed late in H. Besides Gulbadan says. "When His Majesty returned from campaign against Biban and Bayazid of which the siege of unar was an incident—he was in Agra for about a year." This extly coincides with our calculation. Humayun remained at Agra February 1532 to January 1533, after which he visited Gwalior

-reta

ut III.SHER GROWS IN WEALTH AND POWE. retention of the fort gave his achievement an victory over the Mughals. The acquisition of fort of Chunar was indeed a fortunate event in S' Khan's life, it was the starting-point of his career aggression. After the battle of Dauroh, remnant of Afghan chiefs of old standing did indeed join Sher Knan; they repaired to the Co of Bahadur Shah Gujrati. The Lodi faction hopelessly scattered: some of the poorer Afgh, took service with Sher Khan, while others follov their chiefs to Gujrat. Sometimes after Bibi F, Malika, the wife of Shaikh Mustafa Farmuli, e<sup>the</sup> brother of the famous Afghan hero Bayazid, place herself under the protection of Sher Khan. Bibi is said to have inherited 300 maunds of gold besides jewellery from her father, Mian Muhammad clase her Abbas in Elliot, iv. 352-354).
Governor of is paried of

Governor of is period of deputy Governorship the king of Spinking, Sher Khan entered into by Nusrat Shaking, Sher Khan entered into and extend the radship with Makhdum-i-Alam northern bank c (opposite Patna) on the part of northern bank c (opposite Patna) on the part of 935 A H. (March de was the general appointed vinces, Babur found A.D. to conquer Tirhoc vinces, Babur found A.D. to conquer Tirhoc vinces, Babur found A.D.

posted ir, twenty-four places on the Gandak here raising many defences against the Mughals ou.'s Memoirs, translated by Mrs. Beveridge, 664). His troops suffered some reverses at the ds of Babur in that campaign; but he continued hold the charge of the frontier districts from that e. i.e., from the middle of 1529. Sher Khan too ared the service of the Lohani king towards the , of that year. These two ambitious men soon rred into a close bond of friendship. Though it , rst threatened him with utter ruin, it may be said lave brought him eventually the throne of Bengal'. short sketch of the history of the then reigning yyid dynasty of Bengal and the political outlethis kingdom of Bengal at the time of Nusrat S ath (in 939 A.H.) are necessary to enable led mprehend the full significance and motive of 'i) liance.

Alauddin Husain Shah (1493-1519) rescringal from the misery of Abyssinian slave (H of isrule and ushered in the most glorious ediaeval history of the province. The on the ingal reached its greatest extent une on the is energetic king. Westward he Rennell's time is energetic king. Westward he Rennell's time fminions as far as the borders of Shah un bank and to the town (Elliot, iv. 350)

southern bank. At the latter place a treaty ta concluded with Sultan Sikandar Lodi, by war Husain Shah agreed to abstain from attack on 1 country of Bihar." (Dorn's History of the Afgha p. 59: not in our MS.). In 1519 he was succeed by his equally ambitious, though less energetic more brilliant son Nusrat Shah who had rebelled South Bengal during the lifetime of his fath Under his rule, the territory of Bengal suffered diminution in extent. In 1528 and 1529, generals pushed his western frontier on the north bank of the Ganges as far as the Ghogra, a occupied Kharid in the Ballia district. But rever ent the hands of Babur in 1529 reduced it to its origi limit, the Gandak river. A treaty of peace put end to the hostilities between Babur and Nus Shah, when the Emperor was encamped "at a villa named Kundih in the Nirhun pargana of Kharid the north side of the Saru\*" (Babur's Memo pp. 674, 676, 677) on May 19th, 1529.

In 937 A.H., after the death of Babur, he manother attempt to extend his territories westwat He helped Sultan Mahmud Lodi, who had tal

<sup>(1774).</sup> Its situation is 37 miles below Patna just on sout bank of the Ganges. It is 22 miles n. n. east of Bihar town (Renamap). It is now a sub-divisional rammuc and ascended the than May, 1533. The campaign took place probably in June-July, 1

hge with him, against Babur in 1529 A.D. to equip buy, and possibly instigated the Afghan rebellion 637 A.H. under Biban and Bayazid. He showed a imprehensive grasp of the political situation and insiderable diplomatic skill by sending an abassador to Bahadur Shah of Gujrat to concert easures for simultaneous aggressions, in the eastern and western frontiers of the Mughal Empire, after the eath of Babur.

Bahadur Shah was busy in conquering Malwa 1 937 H.; the possibility of a diversion created from he eastern provinces, to draw away Humayun owards that frontier, was welcome to him. The imbassador was granted an interview and a khilat at Mandu by that monarch. Though Nusrat Shah was indulging in visions of foreign conquests, at rome he could not or did not care to put down his younger brother Mahmud, who was in a state of rebellion and began to issue coins as early as 933 A.H. It was apparent to all that he would make an attempt to seize the throne after the death of the reigning king. I So there was the unmistakable forecast of a serious civil war in Bengal. | Makhdumpi-Alam foresaw such a conflict, and began to torepare himself for that emergency. His friendship Elliot, iv. 32 ter dictated by a policy to the mistake.)

two ambitious servants easily came to an understaing with each other, and they combined for mu help in furthering their personal designs. Nu Shah died in 939 A.H., probably about Deceml 1532. He was succeeded by his son Alauddin F Shah,\* who after a reign of four months murdered by Mahmud Shah about May, 1533.

Mahmud Shah ascended the throne: Makhdum-i-Alam refused allegiance to him. latter made preparations to contest the throne ur the pretence of exacting vengeance for the murde his late master's son. (Stewart's History of Ben. p. 76). That Sher Khan was the main supporte Makhdum-i-Alam was apparent to all. Mahn Shah immediately ordered Outb Khan, the Gover of Mungir to conquer the country of Bihar and up Makhdum-i-Alam (Tabakat-i-Akbari, p. 226). O Khan invaded Bihar; Sher Khan tried to bring ab an amicable settlement. But it was of no av Qutb Khan pushed on. Sher Khan's situation peculiarly embarrassing. At home the Lohani not I aloof; they wished for nothing less than total everthrow of Sher Khan, as there was no ot

<sup>\*</sup> Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Old Series. Vol. 1872, Pt. 1,p.352). A stone inscription bearing the name of Alau Firuz Shah dated Ramzan, 1st 939 A.H. (March, 27, 1533) has I found. So it is pretty certain that Mahmud Shah ascended the th in May, 1533. The campaign took place probably in June-July,

chance of their escape from the dictatorship of an overpowerful servant. Sher Khan collected his own followers and such of the Lohanis as were well disposed towards him, and went out to meet the enemy. This was the first time that Sher Khan was called upon to oppose a regular army in the field; his previous struggle with Humayun was little more than the defence of a fortress. "Sher Khan and his Afghans determined not to meet this powerful and numerous army (of Qutb Khan) in regular order of battle in the open field. They always harassed and made raids by which they caused extreme difficulty to the army of Qutb Khan. In whatever direction the army of Qutb Khan would advance, they found the horsemen of Sher Khan always watchful (standing on the alert muhtariz). One day Sher Khan showed himself, and keeping at a distance, marched In this manner several days passed (without fighting). Outb Khan became convinced that Sher Khan was powerless to meet him in fight, so it was better to expel him from the country of Bihar. marched in the track of Sher Khan with confidence. If Sher Khan would retreat one stage, he would advance another." (Tarikh-i-Daudi, MS. p. 172). One day Sher Khan arranged his troops secretly, and fell upon the army of Outb Khan. A sharp action

took place in which Sher Khan's old companions Shaikh Ismail Sur and Hamid Khan Kakar showed the most conspicuous bravery. Hamid Khan shot down Qutb Khan with an arrow, upon which the Bengalis fled in haste, leaving their artillery, elephants and treasure in the hands of the Afghans. Sher Khan conferred the titles of Shujaat Khan and Sarmast Khan upon Shaikh Ismail and Hamid Khan; and rewarded all his soldiers with proper stores of spoils. The brilliant success of his arms in the first pitched battle with a regular army fired the ambition of Sher Khan, who wanted to push his advantage by giving more effective aid to his ally Makhdum-i-Alam.

Abbas says that after the overthrow of Qutb Khan the king of Bengal sent another army to attack Makhdum-i-Alam. Sher Khan was prevented by the hostility of the Lohanis from assisting in person Makhdum-i-Alam but he sent Mian Hassu Khan to his assistance . . . . . . . Makhdum was killed in battle; but Mian Hassu returned alive." (Abbas. MS. p. 79=Elliot, iv. 334). This opposition was the earnest and serious struggle of the Lohani chiefs to get rid of Sher Khan. The ambition of Sher Khan and the interests of the Lobanis ran counter. Sher Khan possibly wanted to push his advantages from the victory over Qutb Khan by actively and openly taking the side of Makhdum-i-Alam. The Lohanis were justified in preventing Sher Khan from taking such a course, because whereas his success would in no way benefit the kingdom of Bihar, his failure was sure to bring troubles upon it. Besides the successes of Sher Khan served only to throw them into the shade. (So, it is no wonder that "The Lohanis", as Abbas says, "did not feel happy at this increase of his power (owing to his triumph over Qutb Khan); enmity sprang up between Sher Khan and the Lohanis', (MS. Abbas, p. 78).

The Lohani chiefs, who resented their own exclusion from the supreme authority in the State by Sher Khan, went almost mad with vexation at this unexpected triumph of the grasping deputy. Their prospect of deliverance vanished with the defeat of the army of Qutb Khan, and thus despair was coupled with envy in their hearts. lalal Khan, too, who had nearly reached the years of discretion, naturally longed to free himself from the tutelage of Sher Khan. But the power of Sher Khan was too firmly rooted to be easily shaken. So they conspired to murder Sher Khan and thus get rid of him. The plan was this: - "lalal Khan should pretend that he has received a bruise. Sher Khan who comes every day to the presence of Jalal Khan will certainly go inside the palace to enquire after him, leaving his

retinue outside. When he will leave the presence of Ialal Khan, he will be put to death, enclosed between the two gates of the inner court of the palace". (Abbas). Some of the Lohanis, who kept friendship and amity with Sher Khan but were taken into their confidence by the plotters, disclosed (the plot) to Sher Khan and warned him to be on his guard against their design. Sher Khan himself was too wary a fox not to scent the snare beforehand. "As he was a wise man he said nothing openly about the matter, but secretly took measures for his own safety. The newly acquired lands, treasure and goods that came into his hand he expended in enlisting fresh retainers: to these new men he gave jagirs to their satisfaction."\* He did not stop with this; he had already sown the seeds of dissension among the Lohanis. Makhzan-i-Afaghana (Dorn's History of the Afghans, p. 95) says, ". . . . . . Sher Khan girded himself with the belt of attempting to assuage the Lohanis and won them to his side singly and in numbers. But he went still further; by condescension and flattery, he attached to himself the friends and relatives of those whom he had already gained." When he perceived that he had collected such a large

<sup>\*</sup> Abbas Sarwani says "to the Lohanis he gave no new jagirs". Perhaps he means thereby only that section of the Lohanis which was hostile to him

number of new soldiers together that the Lohan could not injure him or prevail against him in battl he disclosed (the fact of) the enmity of the Lohan to Jalal Khan and wrote, "You know well that th king of Bengal has the design to send an arm (against you); l, who am your well-wisher, think ! to entertain fresh men with the money and land newly acquired; so that when the enemy, that is, th king of Bengal, sees our large force, he may abando his designs on the kingdom. On this account the Lohanis are dissatisfied with me, and complain a me. and are plotting to do me injury; . . . . . . If you believe me loyal, uphold what I have in a loyalty done and dissuade the Lohanis\* from hostilit to me, nor listen to what they say . . . . For myse I know the Lohanis are plotting my death . . . . Excuse me from coming inside the palace, or if it b indispensable that I should go within, permit me t enter it with a strong guard."

The pupil of Sher Khan had learnt the tutor' dissimulation without acquiring any one of his nobl attributes and tried to put the master off his guard b fair but deceitful words, "Come to me in any manne

<sup>\*</sup> Nizamuddin and Makhzan-i-Afaghana always say "your nobles" which is more appropriate. In fact as we have said before, it wa with the Lohani nobles only—not with the rank and file of th tribe, that Sher Khan had a quarrel.

that may reassure you . . . . . . . I will agree to whatever you do." But the Lohanis and Sher Khan distrusted each other, and there sprang up two parties. As enmity had arisen amongst them, a considerable number of Lohanis bound themselves by oaths Sher Khan. All these intrigues and armed preparations were going on during the rainy season (July-September) of 1533 A.D. As the result of the tussle between the two parties, there was a dead-lock in the affairs of the unhappy kingdom. But this state of affairs could not continue after the rains. The king of Bengal was equipping a terrible armament to teach the Afghans to respect the might of Bengal. The revenue for the autumn kist had to be realized from the parganas; so some means had to be devised for the smooth working of the administration. Khan like a true leader of a free people flattered his Lohani partisans by calling them together to advise him on the future course of action to be adopted.

First of all he removed the doubt and hesitation of his supporters by his protestation of loyalty; "I cannot but choose to serve Jalal Khan loyally; his father and mother have shown me kindness......"

Sher Khan then suggested to them a scheme for the division of duties between the parties, one party taking the charge of the internal administration and the collection of revenue, and the other taking the number of new soldiers together that the Lohanis could not injure him or prevail against him in battle, he disclosed (the fact of) the enmity of the Lohanis to Jalal Khan and wrote, "You know well that the king of Bengal has the design to send an army (against you): I, who am your well-wisher, think fit to entertain fresh men with the money and lands newly acquired; so that when the enemy, that is, the king of Bengal, sees our large force, he may abandon his designs on the kingdom. On this account the Lohanis are dissatisfied with me, and complain of me, and are plotting to do me injury; . . . . . . If you believe me loyal, uphold what I have in all loyalty done and dissuade the Lohanis\* from hostility to me, nor listen to what they say . . . . For myself I know the Lohanis are plotting my death . . . . . Excuse me from coming inside the palace, or if it be indispensable that I should go within, permit me to enter it with a strong guard."

The pupil of Sher Khan had learnt the tutor's dissimulation without acquiring any one of his noble attributes and tried to put the master off his guard by fair but deceitful words, "Come to me in any manner

<sup>\*</sup> Nizamuddin and Makhzan-i-Afaghana always say "your nobles", which is more appropriate. In fact as we have said before, it was with the Lohani nobles only—not with the rank and file of the tribe, that Sher Khan had a quarrel.

that may reassure you . . . . . . . I will agree to whatever you do." But the Lohanis and Sher Khan distrusted each other, and there sprang up two parties. As enmity had arisen amongst them, a considerable number of Lohanis bound themselves by oaths to Sher Khan. All these intrigues and armed preparations were going on during the rainy season (July-September) of 1533 A.D. As the result of the tussle between the two parties, there was a dead-lock in the affairs of the unhappy kingdom. But this state of affairs could not continue after the rains. The king of Bengal was equipping a terrible armament to teach the Afghans to respect the might of Bengal. The revenue for the autumn kist had to be realized from the parganas; so some means had to be devised for the smooth working of the administration. Khan like a true leader of a free people flattered his Lohani partisans by calling them together to advise him on the future course of action to be adopted.

First of all he removed the doubt and hesitation of his supporters by his protestation of loyalty; "I cannot but choose to serve Jalal Khan loyally; his father and mother have shown me kindness......"

Sher Khan then suggested to them a scheme for the division of duties between the parties, one party taking the charge of the internal administration and the collection of revenue, and the other taking the task of opposing the army of Bengal, and he offered the choice between these to Jalal Khan in a petition to him. But his Lohani allies were so hostile to their kinsmen that they would scarcely think of a compromise. They said, "You have now a large force with you; there is no necessity for retaining men who are seditious and ill-disposed. Say simply to Jalal Khan that he ought to send them away and give their jagirs to other soldiers." Sher Khan replied, "My object is my own safety. Out of regard for one's own life it is not good to confirm the hostility of one's own enemies.\*"

After a short deliberation, Sher Khan with the approval of all present wrote to Jalal Khan, "You have two important affairs in hand; one to oppose your enemy the king of Bengal; the other to preserve the kingdom from internal enemies and to collect revenue from the rayats. Your army is split into two parties. It is not possible to keep both parties together in one place. Therefore, whichever of the two it pleases you to keep with yourself you should keep and send the other to their jagirs......"

<sup>\*</sup> The speeches and replies of Sher Khan and his Lohani partisans, though worded by Abbas, throw a happy light on Sher Khan's tact as a leader, his moderation, and his steadying influence on the impetuosity of his over-zealous partisans, his statesman-like spirit of compromise and his diplomatic skill in imposing his own will upon his party under the guise of obeying their instructions. Abbas has brought out forcibly and faithfully one phase of Sher Shah's character.

When lalal received this representation, he said to Sher Khan's vakil, "Tell Sher Khan that he has right on his side......this sedition must be repressed by degrees." But he sent for the Lohanis who sought to kill Sher Khan, and showing them the letter of Sher Khan, asked their advice as to what should be done. The Lohanis said that Sher Khan had gathered a large army and was in union with the Mughals to whom he had been sending large tributes from him (i.e., Jalal Khan)\* (Abbas MS. pp. 76-91 = Elliot, iv. 333-338). They further said that the only expedient now was to offer this country (Bihar) as a present to the king of Bengal and to join his standard and then receive it back again (as a fief). Further, that in order to obtain the object of their wishes by a stratagem, he ought to signify to Sher Khan that he was charged with the collection of the revenue, whilst they themselves would carry on the war. After that when their entry into this (the Bengal) army would be effected and the necessary arrangements with the latter made, they would unite with them as auxiliaries and thus annihilate Sher Khan: the final result of which would be the reoccupation of Bihar" (Dorn, History of the Afghans, pp. 96-97). The advice of the Lohanis

<sup>\*</sup> Because Sultan Junaid Batlas was the Governor of Jaunpur, to whom Sher Khan might have sent presents.

#### SHER SHAH

d to him, "Do you remain to oppose the Mughals\* d also to administer the affairs of the kingdom; I ll go to attack the king of Bengal." Jalal Khan en dismissed him after the bestowal of a robe of nour and a horse. When Sher Khan departed for parganas, the boy-king with his Lohani nobles der the pretence of making war upon Mahmud ah, proceeded towards the frontier of the kingdom Bengal. But as soon as the frontier was reached lal Khan fled away to the king of Bengal to seek protection of the latter (about December, 1533). ith this incident the period of Sher Shah's Deputy overnorship of the Lohani kingdom ends.

Such is the account left to us by Abbas Sarwani the transactions of Sher Khan, during this period. e have no other independent authority to check his rsion, particularly of the plot of the Lohanis, Sher nan's measures to counteract this plot, his motive these affairs, and especially his attitude toward lal Khan. His position as the deputy of Jalal han was, to a great extent, like that of Nana arnavis, the guardian-minister of the young Peshwa adhav Narayan Rao, with the exception that Sher han had not absolute control over the person of

<sup>\*</sup>The struggle between Sher Khan and Humayun for Chunar ok place in 1532 A. D. These things occurred in 1533 A. D.

is royal ward. | alal Khan enjoyed great liberty, nd he might have grown an able young man. Sher Than did not rear him up in that unnatural seclusion n which Nana Farnavis brought up Madhav Rao, vho was kept almost like a State-prisoner. Nor did he, ike his great prototype Almanzor, the minister of the Jmayvid Khalif Hisham II. of Cordova, set himself systematically to stifle his faculties. However, the nature of the ambition of these three was the same, viz., to wield supreme authority in the State, and rule practically in the name of their nominal masters, but without any idea of open usurpation of the title, i.e., enjoying the substance of kingship themselves, but leaving the shadow to the legitimate heirs. Though Sher Khan gathered an army and made other preparations for war, yet it is absolutely certain that he had no idea of violently usurping Ialal Khan's kingdom. He undoubtedly gave the best advice to him, by suggesting a division of civil and military duties between the two hostile parties and leaving complete freedom of action to Jalal Khan. It is not certain how far he was sincere in offering his resignation if his enemies were not removed from the Court. But there can be no doubt that he put the case in such a way that Ialal Khan could not and durst not accept it. Even if he had done so temporarily, he would have been forced to call Sher Khan back as

pleased Jalal Khan, who having sent for Sher Khan said to him, "Do you remain to oppose the Mughals\* and also to administer the affairs of the kingdom; I will go to attack the king of Bengal." Jalal Khan then dismissed him after the bestowal of a robe of honour and a horse. When Sher Khan departed for the parganas, the boy-king with his Lohani nobles under the pretence of making war upon Mahmud Shah, proceeded towards the frontier of the kingdom of Bengal. But as soon as the frontier was reached Jalal Khan fled away to the king of Bengal to seek the protection of the latter (about December, 1533). With this incident the period of Sher Shah's Deputy Governorship of the Lohani kingdom ends.

Such is the account left to us by Abbas Sarwani of the transactions of Sher Khan, during this period. We have no other independent authority to check his version, particularly of the plot of the Lohanis, Sher Khan's measures to counteract this plot, his motive in these affairs, and especially his attitude toward Jalal Khan. His position as the deputy of Jalal Khan was, to a great extent, like that of Nana Farnavis, the guardian-minister of the young Peshwa Madhav Narayan Rao, with the exception that Sher Khan had not absolute control over the person of

<sup>\*</sup> The struggle between Sher Khan and Humayun for Chunar took place in 1532 A. D. These things occurred in 1533 A. D.

his royal ward. | lalal Khan enjoyed great liberty, and he might have grown an able young man. Sher Khan did not rear him up in that unnatural seclusion in which Nana Farnavis brought up Madhav Rao, who was kept almost like a State-prisoner. Nor did he, like his great prototype Almanzor, the minister of the Umayyid Khalif Hisham II. of Cordova, set himself systematically to stifle his faculties. However, the nature of the ambition of these three was the same. viz., to wield supreme authority in the State, and rule practically in the name of their nominal masters, but without any idea of open usurpation of the title, i.e., enjoying the substance of kingship themselves, but leaving the shadow to the legitimate heirs. Though Sher Khan gathered an army and made other preparations for war, yet it is absolutely certain that he had no idea of violently usurping lalal Khan's kingdom. He undoubtedly gave the best advice to him, by suggesting a division of civil and military duties between the two hostile parties and leaving complete freedom of action to Jalal Khan. It is not certain how far he was sincere in offering his resignation if his enemies were not removed from the Court. But there can be no doubt that he put the case in such a way that Jalal Khan could not and durst not accept it. Even if he had done so temporarily, he would have been forced to call Sher Khan back as Sultan Nasiruddin was forced to reinstate his minister Balban. Sher Khan's motive was selfish no doubt; because he had no wish to part with his power which he loved ardently, and he was not really willing to restore to the lawful master his trust of the administration like an honest and conscientious man. Herein the conduct of Sher Khan undoubtedly falls far short of the ideal and as such is open to censure. But it is an injustice to judge the conduct of a politician of an unscrupulous age by the lofty ideal of an Epaminondas and a George Washington who are rare among mortals.

The attempt of Jalal Khan to recover his legitimate power by removing the overpowerful Deputy was quite natural. By plotting Sher Khan's death he did but resort to the commonest expedient for removing such servants, followed by kings from time immemorial, and it would have succeeded but for the unusual circumspection of Sher Khan, and treachery among the Lohanis. By keeping the military affairs in his own hands he showed considerable wisdom. Prof. Dowson (Elliot, iv) derides whole counsel" (of the Lohani nobles; namely flight to Bengal) as "worthy of the children who suggested and assented to it." But it appears to us that it was the only course open to Jalal Khan in that situation, and that he acted most wisely in adopting

## CHAPTER IV

HE BATTLE OF SURAJGARH, MARCH (?) 1534 A.D.

After the flight of Jalal Khan Lohani and his bles (September 1533) to the Court of Mahmud ah of Bengal, Sher Khan applied himself most enuously to recruit his army. Abbas says, Sher han began to strengthen himself and enlist more en. Wherever there were any Afghans he sent or them and gave them whatever pay they asked for. laving collected a large force, and made every prearation, he placed the country of Bihar in his rear nd proceeded against the army of the king of lengal. When he came face to face with the enemy e threw up earthen redoubts."\* (Abbas MS. p. 92 = Elliot, iv. 339).

The king of Bengal had appointed Ibrahim Chan, the son of Qutb Khan (who had been slain in the previous war), the general of his

<sup>\*</sup> This has probably given rise to the error committed by Stewart, now an obsolete authority, who writes, "He (Sher Khan) was obliged to shut himself up in the fort of Bihar the fortifications of which were emposed of mud. The Bengal army advanced and surrounded the at... (History of Bengal, p. 77) No Persian historian records this. The walls of the Bihar fort were not of mud but of stone and brick. (See Ain-i-Akbari, translated by Jarr \*, Vol. ii., p. 153.)

army and sent him as an auxiliary of Jalal Khan Lohani (Tabakat-i-Akbari, p. 227), against Sher Khan. Ibrahim Khan's army was not only superior in number but was formidable on account of the large number of elephants and a park of artillery, infantry and horses. "Sher Khan, keeping under the shelter of his entrenchments, skirmished every day, and in spite of all their endeavours the army of Ibrahim Khan could not inflict any injury on his forces on account of the earthen embankments. The Afghans behaved with great gallantry, and the army of Ibrahim, who attempted to come near the fortifications, had to return unsuccessful and disappointed every time." (Abbas, MS., p. 93 = Elliot, iv. 339).

This campaign of Sher Khan was destined to end in one of the most decisive battles of the medieval history of Indial. It was a turning-point in the career of Sher Shah, and is a forgotten, though sad, episode of the history of Bengal. Abbas Sarwani (MS. pp. 92-100) gives us a pretty detailed account of it, but his omission to name or inability to fix the place takes away much of our interest in it. The same account is briefly repeated by Nizamuddin, Ferishta and the authors of Makhzan-i-Afaghana and Tarikhi-Daudi (MS. pp. 172-173). Several facts and internal evidences help us to find out the place with

tolerable accuracy. As the object of the expedition sent out from Bengal was the conquest of the kingdom of Bihar and restoration of Ialal Khan Lohani to its throne, the army of Bengal must have advanced upstream along the southern bank of the Ganges to strike direct at Bihar town, situated on this side of the river. The great military road from Bengal to Bihar in medieval India is represented by the part of the Grand Trunk Road which runs close to the bank of the Ganges; in many cases parallel to the Loop Line of the E. I. Ry.,—from Sikrigali to Chunar. Abbas Sarwani's words, "placing the country of Bihar in his rear," suggest that Sher Khan took his position either just on the frontier of the kingdom of Bengal or a little further into its territories. At the time of the accession of Mahmud Shah the territories of Bengal extended as far as the town of Barh, 22 miles north-west of Bihar town, fixed by the treaty between Husain Shah and Sultan Sikandar Lodi. After his victory over Qutb Khan Sar-ilashkar (Commander) of Mungir (Stewart's History of Bengal, p. 77) in the previous year, Sher Khan deprived Bengal of some of its territories, and the ratier of Bengal seemed to have been pushed back to Me Kiul river and the Kharagpur Hills.

So the site of this battle must have been somewhere on the banks of the Kiul river, east of

Bihar town. 1 Abul-Fazl says, "He (Sher Khan) fought a battle at Suraigarh which is [was?] the boundary between the territories of the ruler of Bengal and won a victory.\*" (Akbarnama, Eng. trans. by H. Beveridge, Vol. i, p. 328). The fact that Sher Khan held up a numerically superior force furnished with elephants and artillery for a great length of time, and that he could neither be passed by nor forced to fight a pitched battle, suggests that he had caught Ibrahim Khan at a strategic point. Hemmed in between the Ganges on the north and the Kharagpur hills on the south, the narrow plain of Suraigarh.—about 5 miles in breadth at the time of Rennell.—(now it is a little wider owing to the shifting of the Ganges northward)—was indeed the most suitable place for making such a stand. Without crossing the Kiul, an army advancing westward could not recover its freedom of movement. Owing to its strategic situation, it has been the scene of many a decisive battle; Sultan Adeli, the last of the Surs.

<sup>\*</sup>This makes our conjecture an unimpeachable fact. The victory can be no other than that over the army of Ibrahim Khan, for as we have seen, in the previous year Qutb Khan had advanced into the territory of the Lohani kingdom with the object of expelling Sher Khan from Bihar, (meaning the town of Bihar)

<sup>†</sup> Sultan Adeli went towards Bihar from Chunar, and from the opposite direction Sultan Bahadur (of Bengal) reached Mungir. From Patna Sultan Adeli got his army in readiness and marched towards

lost his crown at Surajgarh where the first Surs had won it.

The position of the armies of Sher Khan and Ibrahim Khan was such that surprise and sudden attack were impossible on both sides. The right flank of the Bengal army was protected by the Ganges, the left by the Kharagpur Hills, and the rear completely closed by the fort of Mungir. Sher Khan also could not be surprised unless the enemy were bold enough to make a wide detour round the Kharagpur hills. Ibrahim Khan had no other way except forcing the fortified position of Sher Khan, which required an overwhelming numerical superiority; so he wrote to his sovereign asking for reinforcements\*.

Mungir. The two parties met on the Nullah which is about one kos from Surajgarh, and is about 12 kos from Mungir towards Patna (i.e., west of Mungir).

This Nullah is the Kiul which at the present time flows immediately past Surajgarh, but flowed at a distance of 7 miles at the time of Rennell, (1775) and one kos (two miles) in the reign of Jahangir (1605-1627) when Tarikh-t-Daudi was written.

The translation of the above passage given in Elliot, iv. 508 is not correct.

<sup>\*</sup> Ferishta writes (Persian original, p. 223). Sher Khan dar qilake az gil sakhtabud mutahassin shudah harroz jame ra bajang mi-firistaad o zad khord mi-kard." (Translation:—Sher Khan keeping himself under the shelter of fortifications which he constructed of earth, sent his men every day for battle and did fighting." But Briggs

When Sher Khan heard that Ibrahim Khan had sent for reinforcements, he grew alarmed. For if he were compelled to give up his present position, and the Bengal army, keeping touch with an auxiliary fleet-for which Ibrahim now probably sent to Mahmud Shah, to land troops stealthily behind Sher Khan's position higher up the Ganges—were to march upstream, it was perhaps beyond the power of any cavalry leader to check its progress. There was no other way except crushing it before the arrival of reinforcements by fighting a pitched battle.\ Sher Khan was conscious of his own disadvantages in attacking the formidable array of the Bengal army in the open field. It would have been folly to fling his cavalry into the artillery fire of the enemy and worse than that upon the pikes of the Bengal infantry. His foot-soldiers\* with their match-locks were useful only behind the defences. They could not be led to charge enemy lines fronted by a row of huge

<sup>(109)</sup> translates it "The Bengal army besieged Sher Khan in a mud fort for a length of time without success till Ibrahim Khan found it necessary to raise the siege and send for reinforcements!"

<sup>\*</sup>The Portuguese writers have mentioned that in 1536 A. D. when Sher Khan appeared before Gaur by marching through Jharkhanda he had 2,00,000 infantry and 40,000 horsemen with him. (History of the Portuguese in Bengal, by Campos). These were the armed peasantry of Bihar whom Sher Khan organized into peasant-milita during his administration of the parganas in the lifetime of his father. These were probably the ancestors of the Baksariyas

elephants; so his only chance of victory lay in seeking a decision with his cavalry by engaging with that of the enemy unsupported by the rest of their army.

Sher Khan sent his vakil to Ibrahim Khan, intimating to him his intention to fight on the morrow a pitched battle in the open field. Ibrahim Khan accepted the challenge and gave necessary instructions to his lieutenants. (See Abbas in Elliot, iv. 341). "When one watch of the night was yet remaining. Sher Khan arrayed his forces, and towards the dawn he called a secret council of his chiefs to reveal to them the plan of the battle; he said to them, 'I will draw up the greater part of my forces behind the cover of that height which we see vonder, but will retain for attack a small number of experienced and veteran horsemen who after discharging one flight of arrows shall retreat.' When the army of Ibrahim Khan was descried. the horsemen, according to their instructions, coming up to the Bengal army discharged one volley of arrows and then turned about. The Bengal cavalry, supposing the enemy to be flying, broke their ranks as Sher Khan had anticipated and pursued

who were renowned as match-lockmen throughout the medieval times down to Sirajuddaullah's attack upon Fort William. Irvine's Army of the Indian Mughals, p. 168). Sher Khan probably armed them with match-locks after his return from Babur's service, to remove to some extent his need of field-artillery.

the Afghans. Accordingly, as soon as Sher Khan perceived that the Bengal cavalry had advanced and left their infantry and artillery in the rear, he appeared at the head of his force which had been lying in ambush. The Bengalis were surprised, ["Panicstruck" as given by Elliot is not a proper translation of "hairan" which means "surprised," and the Afghans who had been flying turned about, and they all (our MS. p. 99 has ekbar, i.e., simultaneously) stirrup to stirrup, after the manner of the Afghans, fell upon the hostile army. The Bengalis, however, rallied and stood their ground, and the two armies became closely engaged. After the warriors of note had fallen in the contest, the sun of victory rose in favour of Sher Khan from the horizon of the East. and the Bengal army was defeated. Ibrahim Khan exerted himself much, but he was killed." (MS. Abbas, pp. 93-100 = Elliot, pp. 441-442). The death of the general sealed the fate of his army. Thus ended the battle of Suraigarh.

Great as it was as a military achievement, it was greater in its far-reaching political result. The defeat at Surajgarh sounded the death-knell of the independent Muslim monarchy of Bengal, which dated from the middle of the 14th century | But for the victory at Surajgarh, the Jagirdar of Sasaram would never have emerged from his obscurity into

the arena of Hindustan politics to run, in spite of himself, a race for the empire with hereditary crowned heads like Bahadur Shah and Humayun Padshah!

## As uncrowned King of Bihar.

The victory of Suraigarh gave an air of legitimacy to Sher Khan's virtual assumption of the sovereignty of Bihar. Ialal Khan had placed himself in the position of a traitor to the country by his injudicious flight and unsuccessful attempt at recovering his heritage with the aid of the army of Mahmud Shah: while Sher Khan was hailed by all classes of people as their deliverer from the calamity of a foreign invasion. He had no reason to experience any opposition either from the peasantry or the soldiery in wielding supreme authority over them. The subject people had already been accustomed to obey him for several years past, as the deputy of Jalal Khan, and they were prosperous and happy under his equitable and vigorous administration. The soldiers to a man were firmly attached to Sher Khan by sentiment as well as by a sense of selfinterest. He was the popular and successful general who had twice led them to victory and gratified them by a share of the rich spoils of war. Besides, most of them enjoyed jagirs and other emoluments from Sher Khan; and they were sure to lose them if Jalal Khan

returned to power or in any other way Sher Khan lost the supreme authority. The Lohani supporters of Sher Khan, who might have resented the subversion of their tribal kingdom, were, under the existing circumstances, vitally interested in keeping him in Nobody had greater interests at stake and therefore nobody feared more, the restoration of lalal Khan and the return of their vindictive kinsmen to power than these Lohanis themselves. They had undoubtedly seized the jagirs and other possessions of their fugitive kinsmen and thereby raised themselves to a high status in the State. They stood to lose everything if Sher Khan were removed from the helm of affairs. Thus Sher Khan found himself, after the battle of Suraigarh, undisputed master of the vast tract of country on the southern bank of the Ganges, from Chunar to Suraigarh, with a contented and victorious army and an obedient and prosperous subject population in it.

After the victory over the army of Bengal under Ibrahim Khan, Sher Khan "employed himself in the improvement of his provinces so that in a short time they surpassed their previous condition and reached perfection:—for this reason that he personally superintended every business; nor did he show favour to any oppressor even though of his own relatives or dependents; and if any one entered his service he

said to him from the first:—'The stipend and the maintenance which I may agree to give you, I will pay you in full, and not diminish them by a single falus (copper-coin), but you shall not oppress or quarrel with any one. If you do I shall visit you with such a punishment as shall be an example to others.' In a short season he acquired a good reputation among the people of God, and it was everywhere known that Sher Khan paid his troops regularly and neither oppressed any one himself nor suffered others to do so." (Abbas in Elliot, iv. 343).

Apart from his activity in the reorganization of civil affairs, Sher Khan was busily engaged in collecting the Afghans together; silently and steadily he was accumulating materials for the realization of a hope which he nursed within himself since the battle of Surajgarh.

His outward garb of humility deceived his neighbours, who were destined to be the future victims of his ambition. He did not attract any notice of them, and was allowed to thrive in indifference and neglect.

I Sher Khan's status in Bihar after the battle of Surajgarh was an anomaly and his design a puzzle to his friends and foes alike. Outwardly he was a vassal of the Emperor, holding Chunar and the neighbouring parganas on condition of military

service. In Bihar he cleverly discarded all pretensions to royalty. This was a pitfall into which a less cautious man than Sher Khan might have fallen. His Afghan supporters would have surely resented such an act and he would have been branded as an usurper. They would have become distrustful of him and a reaction in favour of Jalal Khan would have set in. The throne was seemingly left vacant, the administration being carried on as before by Sher Khan.

Humayun and Mahmud Shah could not understand the full significance of the new complications which arose in the political situation of the eastern provinces after the battle of Surajgarh.

Sher Khan entertained the greatest apprehension from Humayun and rivetted his eyes upon the affairs of Delhi. Similarly another ambitious man, in the south-west of the Mughal dominion, was watching the movements of the Emperor in eager expectancy. This was Bahadur Shah the king of Gujrak Having taken advantage of the general confusion in Northern India and the absence of Humayun in the eastern provinces for the suppression of the rebellion of Biban and Bayazid, Bahadur Shah had in 937 A.H. conquered Malwa, and was since then rapidly extending his dominion. This roused the suspicion of Humayun, who in Shaban 939 A.H. took up his

residence at Gwalior,\* probably to watch the movements of Bahadur Shah.

Abul-Fazl says, "Sultan Bahadur, the ruler of Guirat, sent in 940 A.H.† experienced ambassadors bearing valuable presents to him and set in motion the process of friendship." (Akbarnama, i. 289). The ultimate object of Bahadur Shah was the subversion of the Raiput States, particularly of Mewar. His plan was hampered by the presence of the Emperor at Agra, who might interfere on their behalf and thus compel him to fight the Mughals and the Rajputs simultaneously. He earnestly wished for some political trouble to entangle the Emperor in the eastern provinces, so that his attention and energy might be diverted to that quarter, and Bahadur might thus be given a free-hand for a time to deal with this State. He scanned the eastern horizon of Hindustan and saw the clouds gathering in south Bihar, which boded ill to the Mughal Empire! 'He thought of subsidizing Sher Khan and making use of his rising power to keep the Emperor busy in that quarter; Abul-Fazl says, "Sultan Bahadur of Gujrat sent

<sup>\*</sup>Visit to Gwalior (see Gulbadan p. 116); for Khondamir in Elliot, v. 124.

<sup>†</sup> Abul-Fazl is not very correct about these early dates. We think the embassy was sent in 939, while Humayun was at Gwalior, with a view to remove the suspicion of the Emperor and make him return to Agra.

him [Sher Khan] a subsidy by the hands of merchants and summoned him to his side. Farid made the money into capital for sedition and sent excuses for not going." (Akbarnama, i. 328). The subsidy was not certainly sent in the hope that Sher Khan with his Afghans would march from South Bihar to Malwa to fight on behalf of Bahadur Shah as Abul-Fazl seems to imply. It was sent to induce him to create a diversion in favour of Bahadur Shah by an attack upon the imperial territories if the Emperor would press hard upon him in the southwest.

Sher Khan strenuously applied himself to collecting and equipping a vast army. He enlisted a large number of foot-soldiers\* whose need had been keenly felt by him in his battles with the king of Bengal. He also added a considerable number of elephants to his establishment. But he discarded the immobile field-artillery, which was rising tapidly in importance in the Indian armies, especially after the first battle of Panipat. His resources were strained to the utmost by this huge military expenditure. /He was at last driven to seize wrongfully the treasure of Bibi Fath Malika, whom he had induced to take shelter with him in 938 A.H. by a solemn

<sup>\*</sup>Their presence in Sher Khan's army is attested by the testimony of Portuguese historians.

oath and covenant promising complete safety and security. "Sher Khan took from the Bibi 300 mans of gold to equip his army and gave her two parganas. for her support (madad-i-ma'ash) besides leaving her some ready money for her immediate expenses." (Abbas in Elliot, p. 355). This is an indefensible act of spoliation of a helpless woman and deserves unqualified condemnation! Even the plea of necessity, which is so often put forward to whitewash such acts, cannot be pleaded in favour of Sher Khan; because the money was not utilized in self-defence, and the case was not one of saving himself from impending ruin and annihilation. The huge armament was being equipped solely for the purpose of carrying out ambitious designs of aggression upon his neighbours. This act is one of those few which have left indelible blots upon his character.

However his preparations were fast approaching completion, and Sher Khan was anxiously watching the disturbed state of affairs in the imperial capital and the movements of the Emperor, which alone could decide his future course of action. Humayun's repose at Delhi was disturbed by the news of the dangerous rebel Muhammad Zaman Mirza,—his half-sister Masuma Sultan Begam's husband—who had been condemned to be blinded and imprisoned in the fortress of Biana. He fled to the Court of

Bahadur Shah and sought his protection. The Emperor demanded the extradition of the political prisoner; but Bahadur Shah refused to comply with the demand. Though the conflict of interests had already strained the feeling between the two States, this refusal was the immediate cause of the outbreak of hostilities. Humayun started from the "Gola scattering garden" near Agra against Bahadur Shah on 18th February 1535, and thus the eventful struggle between the two sovereigns began.

Here was the opportunity for Sher Khan to give another push to his fortune. He sent secret instructions to his son Qutb Khan, who with his father's contingent of 500 Afghans had been sent to serve the Emperor in 938 A.H. (1532) and had accompanied him to Malwa, to fly away at the earliest convenience from the imperial camp. This recall of his son might have been a part of the secret understanding with Bahadur Shah. But Qutb Khan was in reality a hostage for his father's fidelity to the Emperor, who could make reprisals upon him for any injury done by Sher Khan. (See Abbas in Elliot, iv. 351). Qutb Khan was instructed to fly with the object of depriving the Emperor of a hold upon him.

In the beginning of May 1535, Sher Khan turned upon Mahmud Shah and began a war for the conquest of his territories on the frontier of Bihar. This came

as a complete surprise to the incapable voluptuary who disgraced the throne of mighty rulers like Husain Shah and Nusrat Shah. Sher Khan's plan of campaign was one of slow, methodical conquest and annexation. His object was to wrest all the territories from Mahmud Shah on this side of Teliagarhi. But the oppressive heat of summer and the lateness of the season,—only 11/2 months before the beginning of the rains,—compelled him to leave his work incomplete. No Persian historian gives us a detailed account of this campaign. Abbas Sarwani only says, "Having equipped an army with the money (Bibi Fath Malika's gold) he began to seize the kingdom of Bengal and took possession of all districts as far as Garhi." (Abbas MS, 126 = Elliot, iv. 356). But this is nothing more than the net result of the campaign. The Portuguese, who first touched the shore of Bengal in 1533 by landing at Chittagong under Martim Affonso de Mello, have left some valuable notices of the contemporary events. From them we know that Xercansur, (Sher Khan Sur), began a campaign against Mahmud Shah of Bengal in 1535. But they place, Sher Khan's attack upon Teliagarhi in 1536. The trend of events shows that there was a considerable interval between the two incidents. Mahmud Shah had ample time to take his measures and mature his plans. "He sought

advice from Martim Affonso de Mello-whom he had thrown into prison about a year before—as to the plan of defence and decided to send ambassadors to Nuno da Cunha, the Portuguese Governor of Goa. At this critical juncture there happened to arrive at Satgaon, Diogo Rebello, the Portuguese captain and factor of the Coromandel pearl-fisheries......Rebello sent Diogo de Spindola to the king of Gaur with a message that if he did not liberate the Portuguese prisoners he would seize his ports. He (Mahmud Shah) wrote to the Governor in Satgaon to receive Rebello well and to inform him that he was sending his ambassador to the Portuguese Governor as proof of his friendship. He asked the Portuguese for help and promised in return to grant them land to erect their factories and permission to build fortresses in Chittagong and Satgaon. The king returned twentytwo prisoners to Diogo Rebello....." (History of the Portuguese in Bengal by 1. 1. A. Campos, pp. 36-38).

All these could not have been done in the hurry and bustle of a war. The events show that there was a lull in the storm which enabled Mahmud Shah to proceed so leisurely and negotiate an alliance with the Portuguese Governor of Goa. So, it is very likely that the first attack was made in the middle of 1535 A.D., and Sher Khan had conquered some part of the Mungir district before the rainy season had set in.

Four-fifths of the district of Mungir are hilly and It contains the Kharagpur Hills and Gidhore hills within its boundary. The only considerable plain is that of the modern Bhagalpur district in the north-eastern corner, where the plain country is something like 40 miles by 15 miles. Even this also is of a broken character, interspersed with small ridges here and there. Being surrounded on three sides by inaccessible hills, and by the Ganges on the north, it is very strongly fortified by nature. The only military read by which an invader could enter this district in the first half of the sixteenth century ran, as it still runs, in a line roughly parallel to and north of the Loop Line of E. I. R. from Sahibganj to the Kiul function, close to the river, via, Suraigarh, Mungir, Jehangeerah, Bhagalpur, Colgong and Teliagarhi. The distance from the mouth of the Kiul to Surajgarh is 6 miles, Mungir is 18 miles east of Suraigarh, and Teliagarhi is 66 miles east of Mungir. The breadth of the plain country at Suraigarh is about six miles; it narrows down further east, and is the narrowest at Mungir, where the breadth is only 2½ miles. These 18 miles present the greatest difficulty to an invader. This part of the road is completely hemmed on Bahadur buth and east by the Kharagpur hills, who touch the Ganges, near Mungir. A. at Diu, set the stands the then impregnable fortres ares of the Gujrat defied siege by an enemy weak in navy and artillery.

Surajgarh, at the entrance to it, is also a strong position which could not be captured without mastery over the Ganges. No prudent and cautious general would thrust himself into this dangerous position, which is liable to attack on the north by an enemy's fleet from the river; on the east by sallies from Mungir, and most dreaded of all to surprise attacks on the south from the inaccessible recesses of the Kharagpur hills. Mir Jumla in his pursuit of Shuja (February to April, 1659) avoided this dangerous position. He made a long and troublesome but safe detour round the Kharagpur hills, reached Pialapur 40 miles east of Mungir, and compelled Shuja who had taken up a very strong position at Mungir to evacuate it. (See Sarkar's History of Aurangzib, ii. 239).

Sher Khan probably acted upon similar strategy in 1535 to compel the enemy to evacuate the tract from Surajgarh to Mungir. He may have employed an army to besiege Surajgarh and keep the enemy in play, while he himself marched some twenty-five miles up the Kiul, i.e., due southwards from its junction with the Ganges, and reached a little south it the little Mullypur (Rennell, modern Mulchpur) where the D., and She. There ingir district belief the little south are ten miles in length. Sher Khan

probably traversed this plain, and marched in a northeasterly direction to strike the Ganges several miles below Mungir.

The defenders once dislodged from Mungir and Surajgarh, an invader had no other serious natural obstacle to surmount. Sher Khan did not probably march further east than Bhagalpur before the rainy season of 1535 A.D., as the task before him was not the pursuit of any hostile general or army, of which there seems to have been none. From Bhagalpur he may have turned to the south to establish his authority over the conquered area and consolidate his gains, by punishing the hill-tribes and semi-independent zamindars of the frontier marches. The rainy season, which generally begins in Bihar in the middle of June, stopped further operations.

## On the Way to the Throne of Bengal.

At the end of the rains, Sher Khan could not himself take the field against Mahmud Shah. He had now two pieces of business to attend to simultaneously. One was to watch the movements of the Emperor; and the other to finish the work of the conquest of the remaining portion of the Mungir district. The collapse of the power of Bahadur Shah, who was at this time a fugitive at Diu, set the Emperor free from the pressing cares of the Gujrat

campaign. He might now turn upon Sher Khan, and Mahmud Shah might call in the aid of the Mughals. It was therefore all important for Sher Khan to know the Emperor's views. So he could not move towards the east till authentic news of Humayun's plan reached him. He seems to have waited studying the movements of the Emperor, till January, 1536. But his army under able lieutenants seems to have been sent in autumn (October, 1535) to carry on the war in Mungir.

Mahmud Shah would certainly have profited better by sending an ambassador to Humayun in Gujrat, who was more likely to grant him immediate help, than by clinging to the fond hope of Portuguese aid. The enemies of Sher Khan were endowed with far less political foresight and ability than what his cautious temperament attributed to them. He never under-estimated the ability and resources of his foes, and for this reason he nowhere met with a surprise either in war or in diplomacy.

When Sher Khan fully satisfied himself that there was no immediate likelihood of the Emperor's return to Agra, he set out, about the middle of January 1536, to assume the conduct of the war against Mahmud Shah in person. By this time the occupation of the entire Mungir district except the extreme north-eastern portion, was complete. The

cowardice of his adversaries made Sher Khan bold. He approached Teliagarhi to force that 'gate of Bengal'. "Meanwhile, Sher Shah was advancing and decided to enter Gaur by the passes of Teliagarhi and Sikrigali leading to the fortress of Gorij (Garhi?). To defend these passes, which were considered to be the gateways of Bengal, troops were sent in two ships, one under the command of Joao de Villalobos and the other of loao Correa. The Portuguese offered a stubborn resistance and prevented Sher Khan from taking the city of Farranduz\* which was 20 leagues from Gaur. The Portuguese historians say that these Portuguese did wonders and captured a particular elephant which king Mahmud Shah especially wanted; but Sher Shah went by another less protected way and entered Gaur with 40,000 cavalry, 16,000 elephants and 2,00,000 men with a fleet of 300 boats." (History of the Portuguese in Bengal, pp. 35-38; reference to De Barros's Da Asia, Dec. iv, Pt. II. p. 500).

<sup>\*</sup> The city of Farranduz, here spoken of, is most likely the Pointy town of Rennell's map. It is situated on the right bank of the Ganges some 10 miles north of Telingarhi and 50 miles in a straight line from the city of Gaur. If the Ganges be crossed here over to Caragola, opposite Pointy on the right bank from which a broad and convenient road ran to Gaur, the enemy cannot be checked anywhere in his triumphant march upon Gaur.

It is interesting as well as important to enquire what this less protected way was, through which Sher Khan penetrated into Bengal proper. The want of accurate geographical information of the early writers. Muslim as well as Portuguese, stood in the way of their giving us any correct notion of it. This discovery of a new route from Bihar to Bengal proved—as we shall see hereafter—the salvation of Sher Khan in 1538 A.D.; when the main army of Humayun sat down before the Pass of Teliagarhi, Sher Khan retreated by another road,—which the Persian authorities call the lharkhand route.--to Rohtas. Among the Persian histories the Tarikh-i-Daudi is the only book where we find a passage which corroborates the statement of the Portuguese historians. It says, (Tarikh-i-Daudi, Prof. Sarkar's MS., p. 174), (Sher Khan) "intending to conquer Bengal, (turning away) from the pleasant route by the skirt of the hills, entered the jungles by a path by which nobody had travelled (before), and suddenly appeared before Gaur."

Geography will clear up what history has left shrouded in vagueness. The Ganges after flowing due east from Allahabad to Sikrigali for about 512 miles (computed from Rennell's *Atlas*) takes a sudden turn to the right below Sikrigali and sweeps southward for about 80 miles to Bhagwangola, where it

again turns to the east. East of this stretch of the river lies the district of Maldah, which contains the ruins of Gaur, the far-famed capital of Bengal. Gaur was situated on the bank of the Mahananda. The shortest and most convenient road, which was also the only known road in the first half of 16th century, after passing through Mungir town, Bhagalpur and Colgong, enters the defile of Garhi (i.e., Teliagarhi) and again emerges into the plain at Sikrigali. From Sikrigali the road also, like the Ganges whose course it follows, turns southwards and runs along the western bank of the Ganges.

The fort of Teliagarhi, whose ruins are still visible, stood at the mouth of this defile, completely blocking it. On one side were the rugged inaccessible cliffs of the Rajmahal range which stretches southwards for nearly 80 miles to the Sonthal Parganas and Birbhum. On the other flowed the Ganges. It is a position which could neither be stormed nor closely invested in an age when artillery was not efficient. It was indeed the Gallipoli of medieval Gaur, whose rulers spent their best energy and resources in fortifying the pass.

Having met with a repulse at Garhi, Sher Khan marched southwards along the outskirts of the Rajmahal Hills—these hills are meant by the author of *Tarikh-i-Daudi*. Then he plunged into the hilly

country south-east of the Mungir district, which was known by the name of lharkhand. It was a veritable terra-incognita in those days. There was no road, but jungle tracks only, scarcely passable by men of the plain. Sher Khan had posted his son lalal Khan with an army before the pass to keep the army and fleet of Mahmud engaged in that quarter. This served the double purpose of concealing the strategic detour of Sher Khan, as well as the defence of the already conquered territories. The Luckeersera pass and Gagar pass, fifty miles south (on the map in a straight line) via Pantchwarry, Birkooty, Murshidabad Ithere was of course no Murshidabad in the days of Sher Khan: these names are used to trace the route in Rennell's map], were narrow and difficult passes, not fit for the passage of a large army like that of Sher Khan. We have two data to guess the possible route from: -First, the Riaz-us-salatin says, (Eng. translation by Abdus Salam p. 139), that the nobles of Gaur defended Garhi for one month, after which they were defeated. But the real fact was that after one month, when Sher Khan appeared before Gaur, the defenders deserted the fort, because the Portuguese historians do not mention any defeat; the army of Sher Khan destitute of artillery could not possibly have stormed it even in a year. One month or a little less was

the time taken by Sher Khan in performing this detour. The second is that in 1538 A.D. Sher Khan instructed his son Ialal Khan to evacuate Garhi, when "he himself had got to Sherpur and should make haste to join him." (Akbarnama, i. 334). This shows that Sher Khan had previously used the way via Sherpur to come from Bihar to Bengal. Now, there was no other probable occasion of his passing through Sherpur except in the course of the detour of 1536. Sherpur is placed by Rennell 18 miles due west of Berhampur. There was a road in Rennell's time from Murshidabad via Sherpur through the Birbhum district, Doomka district, Noony (chief city of Hindooa)\* Darra (Darna, modern map)† to Teliagarhi (48 miles N.E. of Darra.) We have the authentic account of a detour made by Mir Jumla in March 1659, when Shuja took up a very strong position at Sahibganj midway between Teliagarhi and Sikrigali. He took 12 days to perform it, though the zamindar of Birbhum was bought off by him and he had the excellent service of Rajah Bahroz as a guide who also supplied provisions and fodder to his army. He emerged from the jungles at Suri, which shows that he took a more southerly way. (History of Aurangzib, ii. 242). Sher Khan most

<sup>\* 17</sup> miles north-west of Doomka town.

<sup>† 20</sup> miles almost due north of Noony.

probably marched through the tract across which the road before mentioned runs, but it should not be forgotten that this road was non-existent in his time. He may have followed the track only. There was then no z mindar of Birbhum too. It was during his reigh that he established these zamindaries as frontier marches to keep the wild tribes off from eastward aggression. The country was unknown, the people were savages. His detour was an expedition rather for exploration than for conquest. Nearly a century and a quarter before Mir lumla, his task was a hundredfold difficult. The rivers, rushing torrents in the rains but tiny streams in a bed of sand throughout the rest of the year, probably acted as his highways from Bihar to the plains of Bengal. Following the Cherry Nulla (20 miles west of Teliagarhi) upstream, he may have reached Darra easily; marching further 20 miles he came across the upper course of the More river; probably he reached Doomka downstream, and from Doomka 10 miles east is the upper course of the Dwarka river on whose bank Sherpur is situated. Sher Khan probably took some 24 days to perform this march, at the rate of about 7 miles in a straight line, or 10 miles of actual route daily. Marching in a north-easterly direction for 30 miles he could reach the Ganges. He may have crossed the Ganges at Godagari, a few miles above

Bhagwangola. "The fleet of 300 boats" mentioned in the Portuguese account, were certainly "kosas khaluahs and rahwaras" seized from private owners to cross the river as Mir Jumla did in 1659 for a similar purpose (History of Aurangzib ii. 254); for it was impossible for Sher Khan's fleet, if he possessed any at this time, to sail down the Ganges in the face of Mahmud Shah's fleet, commanded by the Portuguesc and stationed at Teliagarhi. The passage was effected without great difficulty, as the Bengal fleet was at Pointy or near Teliagarhi, some 80 miles away. By the end of February 1536, Sher Khan was possibly able to appear before Gaur.

The brilliant strategy bore its preconceived issue. The Bengal army hastened from Teliagarhi to save the capital; Jalal Khan after one month, took possession of the evacuated passes. The boldness of the invader took the heart out of Mahmud Shah. His army was already demoralized by two successive defeats at the hands of the Afghans. But he might have easily stood a siege in his strongly fortified capital for 3 or 4 months till the beginning of the rains; Sher Khan had no artillery with him to batter down the walls of Gaur. He must have been forced to retire before the rainy season because the Jharkhand route through which he came would have been impassable in the rains, when the small mountain-

streams in those regions assume the magnitude and fury of the Ganges. Mahmud's superior fleet if commanded by his Portuguese allies could have also prevented Sher Khan from recrossing the Ganges. Sher Khan could not possibly have stayed there during the rains; if he had committed that mistake he would have fared no better than Humayun in 1539. His case would have been even worse, in an unsubdued country with an impregnable fortified capital in possession of his enemy. His most efficient troops, the Afghan cavalry, would have been at a great disadvantage in a country intersected by innumerable nullahs and rapid streams unsuitable for cavalry manœuvres. The heavy downpour of rain over head and the mud and tenacious clay beneath. were sure to render them useless in an engagement. Pestilential air would have told upon the health of the invader's troops and horses. But Mahmud Shah was not made of that heroic stuff. He quailed before the task and made up his mind to buy off the enemy. The bold Portuguese captain Martin Affonso de Mello advised him to continue the resistance, but it was of no avail. Mahmud Shah paid an indemnity or rather a ransom "amounting to 13 lakhs of gold pieces or 525,000 pardaos (4s-6d each) and made peace with him although Martim Affonso advised him

to the contrary." (History of the Portuguese in Bengal, p. 39).

Sher Khan was more than glad to accept so vast a sum of money without waiting for the chance of an uncertain siege. Mahmud Shah sowed the dragon's teeth for his own destruction, and out of every gold piece grew up an armed warrior to be arrayed against him by his enemy, only a year after. He ceded the territory from the Kiul to Sikrigali, some 90 miles in length with a breadth of 30 miles at various places.

Apart from the material gains, the moral prestige gained by this brilliant achievment was of even greater importance to Sher Khan. Hitherto the senior Afghan nobles of Sultans Bahlol and Sikandar, as they are called by Abbas Sarwani, had looked down upon Sher Khan as a "New Man" belonging to a tribe not held in sufficient repute among the Afghans. He had hitherto not only had no support of the Afghan aristocracy but also had to combat their dislikes. His followers consisted of the commonalty of the Afghan people of all tribes, who received his pay and obeyed him more as a captain than as a ruler. The old Afghan nobles flocked to Sultan Bahadur Shah of Gujrat when he conquered Malwa, and his fortune was rising by leaps and bounds in 1535 and 1534.

But by the beginning of 1536 Bahadur Shah's fortune had sunk to the lowest ebb, and he was a fugitive at Diu, while Humayun was in possession of Malwa and the greater portion of Guirat. When the sun of Bahadur Shah's fortune sank down in the Arabian Sea, that of Sher Khan arose almost simultaneously out of the Bay of Bengal and shone resplendently in the eastern horizon. The whole Afghan people turned their faces to him and their proud chiefs who had hitherto disdained to serve a lagirdar of Bihar, at last recognized the man who had the crown of Bengal though not upon his head yet within his firm grasp, as worthy of their homage. Abbas says, "Sultan Bahadur being defeated,.....all Afghans who were in his service whether chiefs or common soldiers, came to Sher Khan. Several powerful chiefs who had at first declined to enter Sher Khan's service now that they saw his fortune increasing day by day, had no other alternative than to accept his service."\*

"Accordingly Azam Humayun Sarwani and Masnad Ala Isa Khan Sahu Khail (?) and Mian Biban Sahu Khail, Qutb Khan Mochi Khail, Maruf Farmuli, and Azam Humayun, eldest son of Sultan

<sup>\*</sup> Elliot iv. 352-"Put aside their pride and volunteered to serve him."

Alam Sahu Khail (?), and in short every Afghan of high rank joined him and he assumed the title of Hazrat-i-Ala.\*" [Abbas, p. 120=Elliot, iv. 352]

<sup>\*</sup> Many of these persons were probably dead several years before. Jauhar says Biban along with Bayazid was killed in the battle of Daurah in 1531. Ma'aruf Farmuli seems to have been dead before that battle, as we find to mention of him in Jauhar. Isa Khan was not a Lodi of Sahu Khail but a Sarwani being a son of Hybat Khan Sarwani (See Dorn, p. 104). We nowhere else find any mention of Azam Humayun Lodi, eldest son of Sultan Alam (Alauddin?). His very existence is doubtful. Azam Humayun Sarwani, the son of Umar Khan Sarwani, is mentioned in Babur's Memoirs. His name was Fath Khan Sarwani. Babur took off his title of Azam Humayun and conferred the title of Khan-i-Jahan upon him.

## CHAPTER V

THE GUJRAT CAMPAIGN OF HUMAYUN.

While Sher Khan was aggrandizing himself at the expense of the effete monarchy of Bengal, the Emperor Humayun was too busy in fighting Bahadur Shah of Gujrat to take notice of his aggressions in the east. The Emperor set out against Bahadur Shah from the 'Gold-scattering Garden' near Agra on Shaban 14th 941 A.H.\* (February 18th, 1535 A.D.). He took the Kalpi road, and marching by way of Chanderi and Raisin reached Ujjain at the end of February. He refused to attack Bahadur while the latter was involved in the siege of Chitor, as that would have been disturbing a brother Muslim when engaged in subduing infields.† After, having captured Chitor, Bahadur Shah marched southwards, and the two monarchs met near Mandasore. a city in Western Malwa. The king of Gujrat though a clever statesman, was no good soldier. Led away by the advice of his commander of artillery, Rumi

<sup>\* 941</sup> A.H. begins on 13th July, 1534 A. D. Therefore, in Gul badan's Humayun-Nama, translated by Mrs. Beveridge, p. 131 the year 1534 is a misprint. Abul-Fazl's date "Beginning of Jamada 1941 H" is incorrect.

<sup>†</sup> See Jauhar, Stewart's translation, p. 4.

Khan, he entrenched himself in a fortified camp, without attacking the imperial army. This single mistake brought about his utter ruin. The Mughal archers cut off supplies from his camp and made his position untenable. After nearly two months, Bahadur Shah one night fled away from his camp, attended by a small body of troops. His huge army melted away, and his artillery fell into the hands of the Mughals. Bahadur Shah fled successively to Mandu (Southern Malwa), Champanir, Cambay and Diu, closely pursued by Humayun as far as Cambay.

Humayun showed a good deal of personal daring in climbing the wall of Champanir by driving pegs into it, in a noctural surprise of that fortress, (first week of Safar 942 A.H., August, 1535). After the rainy season, Humayun resumed his work of bloodless conquest and took possession of Ahmadabad and Pattan. He would have marched upon Diu, the retreat of Bahadur Shah, but for the arrival of the news that Mallu Khan, Bahadur Shah's Governor of Malwa, had broken into Malwa.

Having appointed his brother Mirza Askari to the viceroyalty of Gujrat, he marched southwards. On his way he took possession of Baroda, Broach, Surat and Burhanpur. Thence, he reached Mandu June. 1537) joy himself in the genial climate of Malwa,

dreaming to make Mandu his capital (Akbarnama, i.). Humayun's power and fortune reached their zenith at this time. I But a few months afterwards, his brother Mirza Askari rebelled and leaving the new conquests to their fate, hastened towards Agra to seize the vacant capital. At this news Humayun set out for Agra by way of Chitor and overtook the rebels by forced marches. He forgave them and pursued his journey to Agra. No sooner did the Mughals turn their backs upon Malwa and Gujrat than Bahadur Shah and his adherents, Mallu Khan and Miran Muhammad Faruqi, ruler of Khandesh, issued from their retreat and re-occupied those provinces.

The report of Humayun's homeward march probably kept Sher Khan inactive in Bihar in the autumn of 1536 A.D., when some new enterprise ought to have been undertaken. He feared that Humayun might march against him as he was released from the Gujrat campaign. The Emperor arrived at his capital about the middle of December, 1536. Some of his well-wishers represented to him the inadvisability of neglecting Sher Khan any more, who was rebelliously inclined and who had gathered a large force of Afghans under him. The Emperor did not take it very seriously. He contented himself with sending Mir Hindu Beg to Jaunpur, 2

of the eastern provinces, "with directions to write a full and true report about Sher Khan." [Abbas, 126.]

Sher Khan had lost\* a great patron in the person of his old master Sultan Junaid Barlas, who had governed launpur from 1532 to 1536 on behalf of the Mughal Emperor. However, he managed to gain the good will of the new Governor (Hindu Beg) also by sending magnificent presents to him and making professions of lovalty to the Emperor as become a dutiful vassal. Hindu Beg sent the following report to the Emperor: "Sher Khan is a loyal servant of your Majesty and strikes coins and reads the khutba in your name, and has not transgressed the boundaries of your Majesty's territory or done any thing since your departure which could be a cause of annoyance to you." (Abbas MS. p. 127 = Elliot, iv. 356). Even if Hindu Beg had not been propitiated with magnificent presents, he could not have written a less favourable report about Sher Khan without distorting the truth. Though Sher's enemies represented to the Emperor that he was rebelliously inclined, there was as yet no ostensible sign of it. His assumption of the title of Hazrat-i-Ala cannot be

<sup>\*</sup>Sultan Junaid Barlas died in 943 A. H. (20th June, 1536—9th June, 1537) says Ferishta (see Persian text p. 216-Briggs, II. 83).

construed as an act of rebellion, as he read the khutba and struck coins in the name of the Emperor even in his new conquests from Mahmud Shah. That he had not attacked the imperial dominions or given any other open provocation to the Emperor could not be denied. However, it is curious to notice that the Emperor nowhere complains of the flight of Sher Khan's son Qutb Khan with his contingent from Malwa. It would have been a just cause of Humayun's displeasure, but it was quite forgotten by him, through Sher Khan's good luck.

However, the Emperor seemed to have been quite satisfied with the report of Hindu Beg. Abbas says, "The Emperor on receipt of Hindu Beg's letter, deferred his journey (towards Bihar) that year." (See Elliot, iv. 356). In fact the Emperor's eyes were at this time rivetted upon the affairs of Gujrat. Bahadur Shah rapidly recovered his lost territories, and it appeared as if he would soon regain his former ascendency in Western India. But while returning from a visit to the Portuguese Governor of Goa, he was drowned in the sea (13th February, 1537).\* Humayun, encouraged by the defenceless state of Gujrat and Malwa, resolved to conquer them. Sher Khan avoided all outward activity, in order to disarm

<sup>\*</sup> Akbarnama, i. 323. The Muslim historians attribute it to the treachery of the Portuguese, who however deny the charge.

the suspicion of the Emperor. But affairs in Bengal took an unfavourable turn for him. Mahmud Shah had been carrying on negotiations with the Portuguese Governor of Goa for help against Sher Khan since 1535 A.D., when the district of Mungir was wrested from him.

Some months\* after the death of Bahadur Shah "Affonso Vaz de Britto came in a ship to Bengal from Cochin with instructions from Nuno da Cunha to bring back Martim Affonso de Mello and carry his letter in reply to Mahmud Shah's request about the help that the latter had asked for. He, however, hesitated to land at Chittagong as there was temporarily a great commotion against the Portuguese arising from a report to the king about the Portuguese Governor having murdered the king of Cambay (Bahadur Shah of Gujrat) and ransacked his property. But Antonio Menezes de Crasto having, at this juncture, arrived at Chittagong with merchandise and a letter from the Portuguese Governor explaining the Cambay affair, there was no more trouble.

<sup>\*</sup>Campos (History of the Portuguese in Bengal, p 4t) says that Affonso Vaz de Britto carne in 1538, This seems to be a misprint for 1537. On p 42 of the same book he puts the date of the coming of Vasco Peres de Sampayo also with nine ships to help Mahmud Shah, in the winter of 1538, when Mahmud Shah was besieged in Gaur. There was at least six months' interval between the visit to Bengal by the two Captains.

The prospect of Mahmud Shah's getting help from the Portuguese in the coming year (1538 A.D.) was certainly disquieting to Sher Khan's mind. So he meditated an attack upon Mahmud Shah before the Portuguese help should reach him. But the presence of the Emperor at Agra was inconvenient for his design. But delay was impossible for Sher Khan, as the chance of the arrival of the Portuguese fleet to the aid of Mahmud was very imminent.

He led a powerful army against Mahmud Shah about the middle of October, 1537, (while Humayun was yet at Agra). The Portuguese authorities tell us that a curious demand of a large sum of money by Sher Khan preceded his invasion of Gaur. "News arrived at Gaur that Sher Shah (better "Khan") was advancing with a very powerful force in order to demand another large sum of money which he declared to be his annual tribute and was due to him after the lapse of a year. Mahmud Shah who had never agreed to such a compact refused to pay the tribute, whereupon Sher invaded Gaur." (Campos, History of the Portuguese in Bengal, p. 40). This demand of tribute was only a plea for hostilities and is unjustifiable as it stands. If Sher Khan had demanded an indemnity from Mahmud Shah for violating the treaty by his hostile negotiations with the Portuguese for armed assistance against him, it would have been just and legitimate. Indeed, Sher Khan cannot be accused of unscrupulous aggression in the face of the fact that Mahmud Shah had already departed from the spirit of the treaty and that he was only waiting for the arrival of the Portuguese help to take the offensive against him. It was no fault of Sher Khan if he forestalled the design of his enemy. As a matter of unimpeachable historical fact Vasco Peres de Sampayo with nine ships actually arrived at Chittagong to help Mahmud Shah scarcely two months (in the winter of 1538) after Sher Khan's invasion

Of the actual campaigning of Sher Khan in

Bengal we know very little from Persian sources. The campaign was no doubt barren of incidents. Mahmud was too weak and cowardly to meet Sher Khan in the open field. He retired to his capital and stood a siege there. Sher Khan himself could not finish the conquest of Bengal, as the hostile attitude of the Emperor demanded his presence in Bihar. We have no information regarding the actual area overrun and occupied by the Afghans. From the Portuguese historians we learn that Sher Khan sent his lieutenants to occupy outlying districts such as Chittagong, which was captured from the Governor of Mahmud Shah by one Nogazil. At this time the Portuguese captain Vasco Peres de Sampayo who was sent by Nuno da Cunha, the Portuguese Governor of Goa, with nine ships as part of his promised aid to Mahmud Shah, was present at that place. But he offered no opposition to Nogazil, probably thinking it prudent to observe neutrality till affairs should take a favourable turn for his ally. The occupation of the surrounding country by Sher Khan prevented the Portuguese aid from reaching Mahmud Shah at Gaur. Sher Khan's chief object now became the reduction of the capital. But Gaur was a strongly fortified city and it could not be taken by storm without a reckless waste of men, which Sher Khan always

abhorred. So he closely blockaded the city, intending to starve it into surrender.

Sher Khan's invasion of Bengal changed the Emperor's attitude towards him. [lauhar]. Humayun gave up the scheme of the re-conquest of Guirat, and determined to march against Sher Khan. Abul Fazl says, "Meanwhile news came of the emergence of Sher Khan and of his commotions in the eastern provinces.....Orders were issued to make preparations for an expedition to Bengal. decided that Sher Khan should be put down and the territories of Bengal subdued" (Akbarnama, i. 326). Humayun showed great earnestness in the organization of the expedition against Sher Khan. He prepared himself for a long campaign, and put the affairs of his kingdom in such order that his absence might not ruin them. Accompanied by his two brothers Mirza Askari and Mirza Findal, and a large number of distinguished officers, including the famous Rumi Khan with his terrible artillery, Humayun set out for Chunar. He sailed in a boat down the lamuna with his chaste and veiled consorts." The Mughal soldiers proceeded gaily as if they were on a pleasure trip. Many of them took their wives and children with them. With a light heart full of high hopes and ambition, Humayun and his grand army set out from Agra, (second week of December, 1537).

The Emperor indeed formed the design of attacking Chunar\* in an opportune moment when Sher Khan was away in Bengal, busy with the siege of Gaur. The distance between Agra and Chunar is about 300 miles, while Gaur is 464 miles (Rennell) away from Chunar. The Emperor had also the advantage of travelling downstream† (down the Jamuna and the Ganges). There was, as it were, a race for Chunar,—which contained the treasure and families of the Afghans—between the two rivals. Sher Khan, learning of the hostile intention of the Emperor, left the conduct of the siege of Gaur in the hands of his son Jalal Khan and another commander named Khawas Khan‡ while he himself returned hurriedly towards Chunar.

<sup>\*</sup>Riaz us Salatin says "Sultan Mahmud (of Bengal) being van quished in the field (by Sher Khan in 1537) entrenched himself in the citadel (of Gaur), and sent a message to the Emperor Humavun in Delhi (more correctly at Agra) seeking help". But Humayun certainly decided upon the march before Mahmud's message reached him.

<sup>†</sup> Rennell says that in the winter season the usual rate of going downstream is 40 miles in a day of 12 hours

<sup>‡</sup> Abbas Sarwani, Nizamuddin Dorn and our MS Makhzan sav that Sher Khan himself did not lead the army against Mahmud Shah but sent Jalal Khan and Khawas Khan conquer Gaur From Jauhar we learn (MS p 20 Stewart p 8) that before Humayun left Agra for Chunar (second time), he was informed by his nobles that Sher Khan had been then engaged in the siege of Gaur. This shows that he must have been present there personally. The

He was thrown into a critical situation by the sudden declaration of hostilities by the Emperor. His position in Bengal was by no means secure. The Portuguese fleet was still at Chittagong. There was no knowing whether the Emperor would not march into Bengal to the relief of Mahmud Shah and compel Sher Khan to withdraw his armies from that province. If Mahmud Shah succeeded in regaining his mastery over his dominions, the Portuguese were sure to join him. Then Sher Khan would have to cope single-handed with the combined strength of the Emperor, Mahmud Shah and the Portuguese. The Emperor's formidable military strength alone was enough to crush him. Bahadur Shah's fate demonstrated that the Mughals had not lost their military efficiency. The military strength of Humayun had not been impaired in any way in his long Guirat campaign. On the other hand it was greatly enhanced by the admission of a large number of Bahadur Shah's troops and officers into his service. The terrible artillery of Bahadur Shah with its commander Rumi Khan, the greatest military engineer of his day, had passed into the service of the Emperor.

Portuguese authors (see Campos p 40) also say that Sher Khan personally invaded Gaur. The truth seems to be that Sher Khan himself marched against Mahmud.

With such heavy odds against him, Sher Khan was called to the combat unaided. Elphinstone justly remarks, "But Sher Khan was well aware of the danger of his situation and laid his plans for averting it with a foresight and combination of which we have no previous example in the history of India. His plan was to gain time to complete the conquest of Bengal before he was disturbed by a new enemy. For this purpose he threw a strong garrison into Chunar and provided it with all the means of retarding the advance of Humayun by an obstinate defence." (History of India, 6th edn., p. 446). "Sher Khan left Ghazi Sur and Sultan Sarwani, who was the commandant of Chunar, in that fortress and removed his family and those of his Afghan followers to the fortress of Bahrkunda."\* (Abbas MS. p. 130 =Elliot. iv. 357).

Humayun reached the neighbourhood of Chunar in the first week of January, 1538 A.D. It is said

<sup>\*</sup> Situation of Bahrkunda in the modern maps is 24° 34" N. lat. 83° 34" E. long. Rennell writes Burcoodah. Our MS. Abbas always writes Mahrkunda in place of Bahrkunda of Elliot. This latter is the correct form. This Bahrkunda is not a mistake for Jharkhand by which name the jungle tract of Chota Nagpur and Birbhum was known. Our MS. Abbas clearly writes Jharkhand when that region is properly meant. The fort of Bahrkunda is placed 27 miles south of Chunar in Rennell's map which is incorrect. In modern maps its distance from Chunar is not less than 50 miles.

that he called a council of war and invited the opinion of his nobles as to how operations should begin. The Mughal officers\* urged that the campaign should begin with the reduction of Chunar, while the Hindusthani nobles (Abbas says Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail, who is a doubtful personality) urged that the Emperor should march at once upon Gaur and prevent its fall into the hands of Sher Khan. But the Emperor accepted the advice of the Mughal nobles and determined upon the siege of Chunar. Herein' he committed a deplorable mistake in strategy, which doomed the campaign to failure. He played into the hands of his artful enemy, who meant Chunar as a pitfall for him. The reduction of Chunar could not in any way have brought Sher Khan down to his knees as he had already removed from this fort the families of the Afghans, whose safety concerned him most. A march upon Gaur was sure to undo his work of conquest and reanimate his prostrate enemy Mahmud Shah. This is the very thing which Sher Khan feared most; but, to his great relief, his fear was not realized. Elphinstone says, "As Humayun marched along the Ganges and made use of that river to convey his guns and stores, it was necessary

<sup>\*</sup>Bengal was an unknown region to them. They naturally dreaded another march of 464 miles, encumbered as they were with their families

for him to begin with the siege of Chunar." (P. 476). But as the military situation then stood, the siege of Chunar was not even a remote necessity. The fortress of Chunar no doubt commanded the entrance into south Bihar, by the southern bank of the Ganges. But this could not prove a hindrance to the Emperor who was in firm possession of the territories on the northern bank, through which he could with greater ease march past Chunar and invade Sher Khan's possessions by crossing the Ganges, either at Benares or at Buxar, at his pleasure. Nor can it be contended that Chunar in the hands of the Afghans, could in any way obstruct the safe passage of the Emperor's fleet down the Ganges along the northern coast. It was not a necessity but a tremendous mistake which cost Humayun his empire.

### Siege of Chunar.

Jauhar says:—".....His Majesty the Emperor reached within 5 kos of Chunar on the Shab-i-barat day." The formal siege probably began on the next day, 16th Shaban 944 A.H. (9th January. 1538.)\* "Chunar, a fortress of considerable natural

<sup>\*</sup>Our MS does not mention the year (see p. 21). Stewart's Jauhar commits a mistake by giving 945 A H. as the year. (Stewart's translation of Jauhar, p. 9), as has been shown by Elphinstone (History of India, 6th edition, p. 446), who proposes

strength is situated on a low range of hills about 150 feet high on the right bank of the Ganges, which forms (better "formed") a considerable angle at this point at the direct distance of 18 miles from Benares; its greatest length is about 850 feet (yards?) and its breadth varies from 300 to 100 feet (yards?)" (History of the Rise and Progress of the Bengal Army, by Captain Arthur Broome, i. 487). The natural strength of this fortress must have been rendered all the more terrible by artificial defences devised by the military genius of Sher Khan, who had been in possession of it for 7 years. The capture of such a place by storm was next to impossible.\* The Mughal army comfortably encamped at the foot of Chunar. The majority of the soldiers had little duty as the task of the reduction of the fortress was left to Rumi Khan with his artillery. But Chunar, defended by a brave

<sup>(15</sup>th Shaban date of the festival) of 944 A. H. as the probable year. Dowson (Elliot, iv. 359, footnote I) supports this date as the most probable one. This date also fits in with our calculation based on data supplied by Gulbadan's book. There cannot be any doubt that Elphinstone's date (January 8th, 1538 A. D.) is the correct one.

<sup>\*</sup>In November, 1764 Major Pemble's storming party consisting of Europeans and Sepoys suffered a repulse with heavy loss at the hands of the garrison of Shuja-ud daulah of Oudh. "Large masses of stone were rolled down...these, bounding along with great velocity, crushed to pieces all those on whom they fell and thus the assailants were swept down by tens and twenties and hurled bruised and stunned to the bottom". (Captain A Broome 489).

garrison, baffled all the skill of the great engineer; so the siege was protracted for months.

# Achievements of Sher Khan.

From his mountain retreat at Bahrkunda. Sher Khan did not in any way give trouble to the besiegers. He had no wish to disturb the repose of the Emperor, as he had enough of other work in hand. Besides he was encumbered with a large number of women and children of his own and of his followers whom he found it difficult to accommodate in a small stronghold like Bahrkunda. He had no chance of recovering his freedom and celerity of movement till he could deposit these families,—impediment of success in a campaign,—in a more suitable place. He sent a letter with valuable presents to the Rajah of Rohtas. stating his distressful condition and asking for quarters for the families of the Afghans within his fortress. He also sent secretly a large sum of money to Churaman, the Naib of the Rajah, to use his influence with his master in securing his consent. The Rajah at first consented to admit the Afghan families, and when this was communicated to Sher Khan he took them to the neighbourhood of Rohtas, but the Rajah afterwards changed his mind and retracted his promise. Sher Khan next sent a larger sum of money (Abbas says six maunds of gold) to Churaman to

V.1

intercede for him once more. At the same time he threatened to make war upon the Rajah, after having made peace with the Emperor, in case of his persistent refusal. Churaman, if we are to believe Abbas Sarwani, having failed to persuade the Rajah by argument, at last threatened him with committing suicide:—"If you do not admit his families into the fort I will take poison and die at your doors." Churaman was a Brahman; out of the superstitious fear of incurring the sin of a Brahman's death the Rajah yielded at last. (See Abbas MS. pp. 130-132, Elliot, iv. 157-158).

But Sher Khan meditated treachery at heart. When he heard the news, he procured twelve hundred litters. Instead of placing women in them he put young and active Afghans, in some of them; while in the others some old women were placed. The litters containing the old women were started foremost. The sentries at the gate of the fort examined some of these doolis and found them containing only old women. "Sher Khan then sent a message to the Rajah to represent that the Rajah having now satisfied himself that there were only women in the litters and as it was highly indecorous to expose them to the gaze of the sentries, the search ought to be discontinued. The Rajah forbade his men to look into the litters. When all

the doolis entered the fort, the Afghans seizing their swords, some of them advanced towards the Rajah's house and some of them took their post at the gate. Sher Khan who was ready with his troops outside, entered the fort through the gate. The Rajah after a short resistance fled away through the back door and Sher Khan easily became master of the fortress," (Nizamuddin, Ferishta and the MS. Makhzan). Abbas Sarwani says, "The commonly received report that Sher Khan put Afghans into doolis and sent them into the fort as women is altogether erroneous and false." (Elliot, iv. 361). But he fails to tell us clearly by what other means the Afghans made their entrance into the fort. He gives the following account: "Sher Khan had given orders to his men that none should go out who once went in. After this Sher Khan himself went in, examined the fort and thanked God for giving this fortress into his possession. And he said to the guards of the fort 'You better go to the Rajah and say that you cannot remain in the same place with the Afghans, or it will be the worse for you'. And he ordered his own men if the guards did not obey the order to leave the fort, to eject them by force." (Abbas MS, p. 135=Elliot, iv. 360), All this is too incoherent to be believed. This shows that the writer who disbelieved "the commonly received report" fails to give a more credible explanation.

V.1

dooli story.

Abbas says, "I said to Khan-i-azam Muzaffar Khan, the son of Jalal Khan, the son of Haibat Khan, 'It is commonly said that Sher Khan took Rohtas by introducing the Afghans in covered litters, and you contradict this story. I do not know whom to believe.' He replied, 'You know I was with the followers of Masnad-i-ala Isa Khan and my family was in Rohtas, (and) I accompanied Sher Khan to the hills'." (Elliot,\* iv. 361-362). On this denial of Muzaffar Khan, Abbas Sarwani disbelieved the story. But to us it seems to have been rejected on very insufficient ground. Khan-i-azam's evidence cannot be credited as he was possibly one of the persons who participated in the adventure. It was not unlikely that the noble Khan himself shaved his beard and moustaches, exchanged his turban for the veil of his Bibi, and was conveyed

The rejection of the dooli story does not in any way acquit Sher Khan of the charge of treachery. This historian, without meaning it, portrays the crime rather in a deeper colour though without evincing any feeling of aversion. The hypocritical

in a litter to play the wolf inside the fort. So we are unable to accept Abbas Sarwani's refutation of the

<sup>\*</sup> Our MS. (p. 33) altogether omits this.

profession of obligations which Abbas puts into the mouth of Sher Khan makes Sher Khan's action more unpleasant. We feel all the more inclined to blame Sher Khan when we remember that he owed a debt of gratitude to the Rajah of Rohtas, for a former act of kindness. When he and his brother Nizam were driven away from Sasaram, by the troops of Muhammad Khan Sur, it was this Rajah of Rohtas who gave shelter to Nizam and his families, within this fortress, and entertained them with kind hospitality for 18 months. Sher Khan's present act was certainly not a fair return for the Rajah's good services. However, Sher Khan was faced with some practical difficulties which drove him to act in this way. It would have been the height of folly to entrust the safety of Afghan families to a weakminded, vacillating Rajah who was completely under the influence of an unscrupulous, corrupt and greedy Brahman Naib like Churaman, Churaman might have handed over the refugees to Humayun for a higher price, or the Rajah himself might have felt inclined to buy the safety of his fortress and possessions by surrendering them to the Mughals, if they had attacked him. Even if the Rajah had good intentions, he had no power to defend them against the Emperor; nor was he likely to admit the Afghans as his auxiliaries to aid him in the defence.

Rohtasgarh is situated on the upper course of the river Son in an extremely hilly and inaccessible region. Its position in the map is 83° long, and 24° lat. It is possibly the largest and strongest hill-fort in India. Ferishta remarks, "Although the author (Md. Qasim Ferishta) has seen many hill forts in India he has seen none to compare with that of Rohtas." (Pers. text, p. 226.)

It is a monument of great Hindu antiquity. Tradition carries its origin back to the days of Rajah Harish Chandra and his son Rohitashwa: the fort is said to have derived its name from the latter. Its natural strength was such that no enemy however powerful could hope to take it by open force. The following extract from Martin will corroborate the truth of Ferishta's remark: - "(Rohtasgarh) occupies a part of the table-land about four miles from east to west and five miles from north to south; but among the natives it is usually reckoned 28 miles round, and tollowing the windings of the hill, it may be so. The area is very hilly and much of the surface consists of bare rocks...... .. A deep and wide recess, called Kariyari Kho, separates it from the table-land to the north and a branch of the recess, called Guluriya Kho, separates it from the table-land on the west, learing only between its south end and the rock that overhangs the Son, (which flows by the eastern side

of the fort) a rocky neck of about 200 yards wide. The two sides of this neck are perpendicular and the sides of the whole circumference are not only everywhere exceedingly steep, but in most places have in some part of their height, a perpendicular rock from 50 to 150 feet high......Rajaghat towards the south, which is the easiest ascent is a very steep and long hill, and even there it has been necessary for a very considerable way to ascend a perpendicular rock by means of a stair. The works even there are numerous and strong; and being scarcely visible from below, in all probability could have been little affected by cannon." (Martin's Eastern India, i. 434-435). It was a coveted prize worth securing by treachery. Sher Khan was the first Muslim conqueror of the fort; he not only secured a safe retreat for the Afghan families but also came into possession of the vast treasures which had been accumulated there for ages by the Hindu kings. This place fell into his hands probably in the beginning of March, 1538. "He left there his women and children, with his eldest son Adil Khan, and Qutb Khan and he himself wandered about from place to place." (Abbas = Elliot, iv. p. 362). Ahmad Yadgar, the author of Tarikh-i-Salatin-i-Afaghana, says, that during this time, i.e., after the capture of Rohtas, Sher Khan undertook an expedition against the Rajah of Ihar-

khand in order to get possession of a white elephant called Svam Chander (see Elliot, iv. 362, foot-note 1). Though the object of the expedition is unworthy of credence, the fact of his undertaking an expedition may be true. Iharkhand is the mountainous tract of Chota Nagpur extending eastward from the south of Rohtas up to the borders of the Birbhum district. Sher Khan probably occupied himself in leading punitive expeditions against the surrounding hilltribes, who might have otherwise felt inclined to disturb the new occupant of Rohtas. Possibly he also reconnoitred the hilly regions lying to the east of Rohtas to open another route from this fort to the south of the Mungir district, in order to secure a line of retreat for his army operating in Bengal, through the Iharkhand route to his new base, Rohtas, as the grand road along the southern bank of the Ganges was likely to be blocked by the Mughals.

# The Capture of Gaur.

Jalal Khan and Khawas Khan whom Sher Khan had left in Bengal in December 1537, went on maintaining a close blockade of the capital. They seem to have made occasional attempts at storming the fort. Khawas Khan senior was drowned in the ditch of the fort of Gaur. (Elliot, iv. 359.) The accident may have occurred in the course of one of these

attempts. When this news was conveyed to Sher Khan he appointed Khawas Khan's younger brother, Sahib Khan,\* to his brother's command and bestowed upon him his elder brother's title of Khawas Khan. At this time Rumi Khan also was making strenuous exertions to take the fort of Chunar. It was feared that the fort would not hold out longer† and there was a likelihood of the Emperor's going to Bengal after its fall. So the new commander was given urgent instructions to press the siege more vigorously and to reduce Gaur without delay.

The new general proved himself worthy of his master's choice. His arrival at Gaur was marked by a determined effort to storm the fort. Gaur had stood a siege ever since from the beginning of November, 1537 if not longer; so about this time (end of March, 1538) the besieged began to feel the pinch of scarcity. Mahmud Shah evacuated the city and retreated towards North Bihar. The Afghans entered the capital of Bengal on the 6th Zilqada 944 A.H.‡ (April 6, 1538 A.D). With it ended the independent monarchy of Medieval Bengal. Mahmud Shah was pursued very closely and com-

<sup>\*</sup> Elliot MS. has a different reading:—Musahib Khan (Elliot. iv. 359).

<sup>†</sup> This differs from Abbas; for reasons see, Tarikh-i-Sher Shahi in the appendix.

<sup>‡</sup> See Riaz-us-Salatin, English Transl., p. 139.

pelled to fight a battle in which he was defeated and severely wounded. He, however, made his escape, and sailed in a boat towards Hajipur (opposite Patna) with the intention of going to the presence of the Emperor Humayun. Jalal Khan sent an account of victory to his father and was noble-hearted enough to attribute it to his colleague Khawas Khan,\* though he had a hitch with that general at the beginning.

# Fall of Chunar and Peace-Negotiations.

Sher Khan had abundantly stocked the fort of Chunar with food-stuff and ammunition which preduded all chance of its reduction by starvation. But the garrison through their own fault—a weakness of heart—rendered their own situation untenable and caused its fall into the hands of the Mughals. They were not overcome by the valeur and skill, but deceived by an artifice of Rumi Khan. The following story of the capture of the fortress, as told by lauhar, bears the stamp of truth. He says:—"Rumi Khan then debated with himself how he could find out the exact condition of the fortress, what bastion (burj) he ought to attack and on which side he should mine. He had a slave named Khalalat (our MS.

<sup>\*</sup>From this time onward he had a brilliant military career under Sher Shah whose right-hand man and best general he became. There was indeed "none like him for intrepidity and liberality" among the Afghans, as Abbas says. (See Elliot, iv. 360).

writes Kalanat) whom in furtherence of his plan he so flogged that the weals were visible upon his body. He then directed him to go into the fortress and say that he was the slave of Rumi Khan and that his master had so beaten him without any cause that he had fled and sought protection with them. So, he was to find out the particulars of the fort and return. When the Afghans saw his condition and the marks of chastisement plainly visible on his body, they believed him and strove to heal his wounds. One day the slave proposed to the Afghans, that if they saw no objection they should show him the defences of the fortress and he would advise as to the best means of resisting the guns Rumi Khan had planted. so that the garrison might be safe. The Afghans did so and explained to him confidently the condition of the fort in every place in order to know what steps should be taken for proper defence and remedy. After staying for a few days in the fortress and making observations, the slave made his escape and returned to his master whom he acquainted with the exact condition of the fortress. He advised him to attack the bastion on the river side and construct a mine on that side. Rumi Khan brought his largest gun (deg kalan) and planted it against the bastion of the river side and destroyed it, surrounded the fort and placed various officers in charge of other trenches.

Then Rumi Khan sought His Majesty's permission to construct a lofty tower (on boats) by means of which he proposed to cut the garrison off from the water and prevent them from being able to maintain The royal order was given to him to do what he deemed expedient. Under this authority he employed himself for some months\* in constructing a battery upon three boats so high that it over-topped the whole fort. When the battery was completed, he sought the royal authority to move his battery and bring it close to the fortress; and to order the soldiers to attack the fort from four quarters and keep up the fight till victory would be gained. Permission being given, the battery was pushed to the side of the fort and a general attack was delivered on all sides; the battle raged till midnight. Although seven hundred Mughals were killed and in spite of their utmost effort, the fort was not captured. The opponents by their fire smashed one portion of the battery. Early in the morning the battery was again put together. The Afghans saw that the assailants were resolute and vigorous, and the Emperor and his nobles would take the fort to-day or to-morrow; so they proposed terms of peace. They consented to surrender the fort if the Emperor gave his word, (promising the safety of

<sup>\*</sup> Stewart's Jauhar (p. 10).

the garrison). The Emperor gave them assurance of safety and took possession of the fort."\* (MS. pp. 21-24; see Elliot, v. 139-140.)

But the sanctity of the Emperor's promise of safety was violated by Rumi Khan who cut off both hands of three hundred gunners. They fell a victim to Rumi Khan's professional jealousy, as Elphinstone (p. 447) says "either to disable them for the future or in revenge for the loss they had occasioned."

### Humayun's march upon Bahrkunda

A few days after the fall of Chunar, the Emperor ordered a march towards Benares, and encamped in the neighbourhood of that city. By this time Sher

<sup>\*</sup> Elliot's extracts from Jauliar (v. 139) say, that the attack was kept up till mid day while our MS says Midnight" Stewar says. The floating battery was impelled during the night across the river " (P 10) The night attack is more probable as our MS says All Persian historians including Jauhar are unanimous in saying that Chunar fell after a siege of six months. The siege began as Jauhar himself says on the 8th or 9th of January 1538. So according to them it should have fallen at the end of June. But this leaves no time for subsequent negotiations with Sher Khan, Humayun's march towards Bengal and his stay of nine months there (as Jauhar says) 21/2 months' waiting at Chaunsa before the battle of Chaunsa (June 27th 1539) So this round figure of 6 months cannot be true According to our calculation this event cannot happen later than the middle of May, 1538 Abbas Sarwani's statement that Chunar fell before the capture of Gaur by the Afghans 14 absolutely wrong So we have rejected it

Khan too had returned from Rohtas and Jharkhand to Bahrkunda with the object of studying the intentions of the Emperor. When Humayun heard that Bengal had already been conquered by Sher Khan, he decided upon a march against Bahrkunda and Rohtas. (Jauhar MS. p. 25 = Stewart's trans., p. 11.)

lauhar says\* "When the imperial army reached the neighbourhood of the fort of Bahrkunda, His Majesty sent Fazl Husain Turkoman as an envov commanding Sher Khan 'to send the chattar (royal ur ibrella), throne and treasure of Bengal to the imperial presence, and to give up the kingdom of Bengal and the fort of Rohtas to the servants of his Imperial Majesty.' Sher Khan was promised in return the fort of Chunar, Jaunpur or any other place he liked. Sher Khan did not agree (to give up Bengal) and said 'I have laboured hard for five or six years to conquer Bengal; many soldiers of mine have been killed there. How can I give up Bengal? In the meantime a dutiful letter came from the king of Bengal, representing that His Majesty should march stage by stage to Carhi. No sooner did His Majesty

<sup>\*</sup> Jauhar (MS. pp. 25.26 Stewart p. 11) commits a geographical error by saying that the Emperor reached the river Son, on his way to Bahrkunda. The river Son is nearly 70 miles east of the river Karmanasha, to the west of which Bahrkunda lies. The river Son is here undoubtedly a mistake for the Karmanasha.

hear (the contents) of the letter than he began his march. Mian Fazl Husain returned and told His Majesty that Sher Khan refused to obey the farman and was marching behind the hills towards Bengal." [Jauhar MS. p. 26=Stewart's trans., pp. 11-12.]

Abbas Sarwani gives a different version of the negotiations: "Sher Khan said to the envoy....... 'If the Emperor will abandon all designs upon Bengal, I shall surrender Bihar unto him and make it over to whomsoever he will depute and shall agree to the same boundaries of Bengal as existed in Sultan Sikandar's time; and I shall send all the ensigns of royalty, as the umbrella, throne, etc. to the Emperor, and shall yearly send him ten lakhs of Rupees from Bengal. But let the Emperor return towards Agra.' The envoy came back to Humayun and reported what Sher Khan had proposed. Humayun gave a horse and a splendid special khilat to the envoy for delivery to Sher Khan; and directed him to say that his proposals were accepted and he should not delay in putting them to execution. The vakil came back to Sher Khan and gave him the horse and dress and told him what the Emperor had said.

"But three days after this despatch the envoy of Sultan Mahmud, ruler of Bengal, came to the Emperor's presence and made the following communication:—'The Afghans have seized the fort of Caur but most of the country is yet in my possession; let not your Majesty trust to Sher Khan's promises, but march towards these parts and before they have established and strengthened themselves, expel them from the country and altogether suppress this revolt. I also shall join you and they are not powerful enough to oppose you.' As soon as he heard this request of Sultan Mahmud, the Emperor ordered his victorious standards to be set in motion towards Bengal, and afterwards he ordered Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail. the Barlas chiefs and some other nobles to go on in advance and with their force in battle array to move towards the hills of Bahrkunda where Sher Khan was. When Sher Khan heard this news he entirely gave up all trust in the promises and faith of Humayun.....he gave the envoy of Humayun a parting present and dismissed him. The force he had with him Sher Khan sent to Rohtas and he himself with a few horsemen, in order that he might not be traced, set off from that place towards Gaur secretly." (Abbas MS. 138-143 = Elliot, iv. 362-364.)

These two passages are in substantial agreement, though Abbas makes the Emperor's act of injustice more glaring. But even Jauhar's statement points out the unfair dealing of Humayun. Accepting Jauhar's version of the affairs, we see that before the

envoy returned and made known to him the result of the negotiations Humayun began his march towards Bengal, instantly on getting the letter of Mahmud Shah. So, at the time of his march he did not know that Sher Khan had refused his terms. When he actually made this hostile move he left no room for a compromise even if Sher Khan had submitted to his extravagant demands. Sher Khan's refusal had little to do with Humayun's change of mind. So the Emperor was undoubtedly responsible for making a compromise impossible. The essential truth of the statement of Abbas is borne out by the testimony of Gulbadan who says, "His Majesty was considering this (Sher Khan's asking for a place) when the king\* of Gaur Bangala came wounded and a fugitive. For this reason he gave no attention (to Sher Khan), but marched towards Gaur Bangala." (Humayun-Nama, p. 133.) Here also we find that Humayun refused to come to a reasonable compromise, and at least cancelled an understanding, even if he did not perfidiously act contrary to his plighted word, as Abbas Sarwani represents him to have done.

#### Its fatal consequence.

Indeed the Emperor acted most unwisely by

<sup>\*</sup> This is not true. Mahmud Shah met Humayun at Muner on the Son.

recklessly throwing away the chance of ending, by an honourable peace, a quarrel which he himself had provoked. It was evident that he was unequal to the task of subduing his bold and cunning adversary. No sooner did he threaten to deprive Sher Khan of Chunar than his adversary established himself in a far more impregnable position. Humayun could not force a pitched battle on him to make his military superiority felt. There was no capital or other important base of the enemy, the reduction of which would humiliate him; it appeared as if Sher Khan was ready to retreat to the farthest end of the inaccessible hilly region which stretches as far as Central India. In spite of his loss of Chunar, he had the advantage on the whole.

Sher Khan certainly deserves high praise for his moderation and submissive attitude to the Emperor. We see that he yielded every point except the cession of Bengal. He consented to surrender the umbrella and the throne of the king of Bengal, to give up Bihar—this meant the surrender of his valuable acquisition, Rohtas, as demanded by the Emperor. As regards Bengal, he proposed the fairest possible conditions. He consented to hold it as a vassal of the Emperor and to pay tribute for it. In short, Sher Khan was ready to retire beyond the farthest limit of the Emperor's dominion and had as yet no

intention of declaring independence of the Emperor. The cause of Sher Khan's submissive attitude was his diffidence in his own power. He naturally entertained an awe for the imperial power and a terror of Mughal armies. He was never willing to come into conflict with the Emperor if he could help it.

With Humayun's march towards Bengal, the struggle between him and Sher Khan assumed a different character. Hitherto the Emperor had some justice on his side and no one could blame him very much for his attempt at the subjugation of an overpowerful vassal, which was necessary in the interests of the safety of his Empire. That object was accomplished as soon as Sher Khan consented to surrender every inch of ground which the Emperor could claim as his own by the utmost stretch of the word "dominion". There cannot be a more perfect submission of a vassal than his willingness to surrender his fief altogether, as Sher Khan proposed to do. If Sher Khan had tried to set himself up as an independent ruler in Bengal, the Emperor might have had some excuse for carrying on further hostilities to dislodge him from that place. But Humayun's determination to expel him from that province in spite of his submission and his uncompromising attitude\*

<sup>\*</sup> Humayun's impatience to wait for the result of his embasev

show that the Emperor aimed at nothing short of the absolute annihilation of Sher Khan. So the fact stands out that Sher Khan continued the war with his liege lord, not for aggression or independence but for self-defence, when driven at bay by his uncompromising sovereign.

But for Humayun's march upon Bengal Sher Khan would never have become the Emperor of Delhi; he would have died, at the most, an independent king of Bengal. When he was once forced to contend with his august suzerain, he found himself the abler man and pushed his advantage to the utmost. This eventful march probably began in the first week of June, 1538.

# Race for the capital of Bengal.

"Sher Khan, on receiving the information of this expedition [of Humayun, towards Bengal] sent the greater part of his troops towards Rohtas. He himself with about five hundred cavalry slipped away in the direction of Gaur." (Dorn, p. 112.) Humayun, sent a pursuing column to chase him, but Sher Khan by making a detour evaded pursuit and placed himself behind his pursuers. He remained concealed in the hills of Sasaram, and sent spies to the camp of

shows that he was reluctant to abide by his own terms even 'the giving Chunar, Jaunpur, etc. to Sher Khan.

the Emperor in order to ascertain the movements of the Emperor. The pursuing column thinking that Sher Khan was still flying before them, dashed forward till they reached Muner on the Son. Here they halted for the arrival of the rest of the army under the Emperor. The Emperor soon reached Muner where the fugitive Bengal king Mahmud Shah met him. The unfortunate monarch was comforted by the princely magnanimity and courtesy of Humayun, who promised to restore him to his kingdom."\* (Jauhar MS. p. 27.)

# Dispositions of the Mughal army at Muner.

As the regions east of Muner (on the Son) had not been previously traversed by Mughal armies and the whereabouts of Sher Khan were unknown to them, extreme caution was required in further advance. Several distinguished nobles such as Muyyid Beg, Jahangir Beg, etc. were ordered to

<sup>\*</sup>Abbas Sarwani (Elliot, iv 354) says, "(At Muner) they brought Sultan Mahmud to the Emperor, who did not receive him kindly or pay him the respect he had anticipated, so that Sultan Mahmud repented that he had come and shortly afterwards died from extreme grief." Dorn, (p. 112) echoes the words of Abbas. But they are not just. Humayun though a bad king was undoubtedly a good man-He was incapable of such discourtesy. As a matter of fact Mahmud.

\* 'the of grief after hearing the news of the slaughter of his sons

march in advance with a division of 3,000 horsemen. They were always to keep themselves seven or eight kos ahead of the main body under the Emperor. Mirza Hindal was made to cross the Ganges [at Muner?] with his division and to move on to Hajipur (opposite Patna) marching along the opposite bank (Elliot, iv. 363). By such dispositions the Emperor probably expected to intercept the retreat of the Afghan army from Bengal. In this manner the Mughal army moved on eastward as far as Patna, without being molested by Sher Khan.

Sher Khan had all along been coming behind the imperial army without showing himself. The careful dispositions of the Mughal army at Muner convinced him of the necessity of his reaching Bengal before the Emperor and extricating his army from its difficult situation. So he marched rapidly through the interior of the country to put himself ahead of the Mughal advanced guard. A few miles east of Patna. the Mughal advanced guard received an alarm by the reported presence of Sher Khan. "When the Emperor reached Patna, and the division which was seven kos in advance of him had not yet reached their halting ground, their scouts came to a village where they saw some horsemen in a garden. They asked of one of the villagers whose those horsemen were? He replied, "It is Sher Khan himself." "The

advanced party, when they heard the name of Sher Khan, became so alarmed that they never examined what amount of force he had with him but returned and told Muyyid Beg that "Sher Khan was encamped at such and such a village." Muyyid was of opinion that Sher Khan was there to offer battle and sent to the Emperor to ask for orders, and encamped where he was, sending out a party of scouts to bring intelligence. But in the meanwhile Sher Khan left the village and rode away towards Mungir. When the scouts returned with their news, "it was nearly evening and on this account they delayed the pursuit of Sher Khan." (Abbas MS. pp. 144-145 = Elliot, iv. 365.)

Sher Khan had indeed a narrow escape on that day. The Mughals emboldened by the discovery of the smallness of his followers, kept up a hot pursuit from the next day. The distance between the pursuer and the pursued diminished daily. At one time he was saved by the heroism and self-sacrifice of an Afghan chief named Saif Khan Acha-Khail Sarwani and his three hundred tribesmen, who are said to have held up the Mughal pursuing column for several hours at a place called Gugarghar.\* "Sher Khan,

<sup>\*</sup>We are unable to identify the place. The context shows that the place is to the west of Mungir.

V.1

taking with him the families of Saif Khan, made his way to Mungir. Here he ordered Shujaat Khan to evacuate Mungir and retire to the fort of Gidhore [Elliot's MS. reads Ghari], while he himself embarking in a swift sailing boat went down the river towards Gaur." (Abbas MS. p. 149=Elliot, iv. 367.) Niamatullah (Dorn's History of the Alghans, p. 113) says that the voyage lasted two nights. The distance between Mungir and Maldah is 148 miles, by inland navigation, according to Rennell. The usual rate of going with the stream (the Ganges) from the beginuing of lune to the end of October is from 50 to 70 miles in a day of twelve hours." (Rennell.) So it is very likely that in 36 hours—the first night\* and the perit day and night--he reached Gaur (end of lune, 1538), supposing the boat travelled at the rate of 5 miles an hour, for 30 hours—6 hours being added. for cooking food, etc. by the boatmen. Having arrived at Gaur, Sher Khan despatched his son Jalal Khan, Haji Khan Batni, and several other nobles at the head of several thousand troops to defend the Pass of Teliagarhi. "Sher Khan gave particular instructions to both commanders, to block up that defile; and to post guns upon a height from whence

<sup>\*</sup> Sher Khan must have embarked in the darkness of the night, to conceal his movement.

The Mughal army reached Colgong\* (Kahalgram. lauhar MS., p. 28), in pursuit of Sher Khan. The Emperor pitched his camp at that place and sent forward Jahangir Quli Beg and several other officers to capture Garhi (or Teliagarhi). The Mughal advanced party, found Jalal Khan already occupying Garhi with a strong force. Several days having passed in vain without any impression being made upon this place, the Mughals encamped in front of the pass. "lalal Khan proposed to attack it (the Van of the Emperor's army), but other chiefs dissuaded him, saying that he had not been sent by Sher Khan to risk an engagement, and that he ought merely to hold the pass. | lalal Khan did not assent to their counsel but leaving 1,000 horse to hold Garhi and advancing himself with 6,000 men attacked the imperialists and after a sharp action defeated them." (Abbas MS. p. 150 = Elliot, iv. 167.)

Niamatullah says that this victory was gained by making a sudden sally upon the Mughals. "The

<sup>\*</sup>Situated on the southern bank of the Ganges It is 23 miles west of Teliagarhi.

next morning the Mughals, according to their custom. ran their horses and retreated to their tents where they put off their armour and unsaddled their horses; the privates went out to forage, whilst the officers reposed on the cushion of idleness. Noon had approached when the Afghans, opening the gate in the defile sallied forth.\* A few only of the Mughals whose horses were near at hand mounted and put themselves in an attitude of defence; but the greater part being disconcerted in the extreme turned their faces to flight. The action nevertheless proved to be of the most obstinate nature......The whole camp of the Mughals, luggages, horses and elephants etc. fell into the hands of the victors: and all, with the exception of a very small number (of the Mughals), were slain." (Dorn's History of the Afghans, p. 115.)

This first victory over the Mughals was gained in defiance of the command of Sher Khan. But its moral effect was immense. The Afghans were animated with courage and self-confidence, at the

<sup>\*</sup>Jauhar (Stewart's trans. p. 12), says "while they were endeavouring to find a passage through the hills Jalal Khan made a sally, surprised the camp and killed a number of brave officers and men." It is very likely that Jalal Khan fell upon the Mughals while they were searching for another route through the Rajmahal hills. Our MS, does not say so. The passage is confusing (MS, p. 27)

initial success of their arms. The prestige of the Mughal arms was lowered and the awe of the Emperor's might diminished somewhat in the hearts of the Afghans.

Jauhar says, "When this news reached the Emperor he became sad; several nobles who escaped in safety arrived at Kahalgram before His Majesty, who ordered a march (towards Garhi). By the grace of God, the rain began to pour,\* but several hours after it ceased; various kinds of tents (chadars, khimas and sarapardas) were laid out (in the new encampment before Garhi). The Emperor appointed Haji Muhammad Beg to procure intelligence about Garhi and Jalal Khan." (Jauhar MS. p. 28.)

Mirza Hindal, who with his division had been marching along the porthern bank might have been able to compel Jalal Khan to retire from Garhi and Sher Khan from Gaur by a bold and strategic move upon the capital by the road along that bank. But Humayun had unwisely withdrawn that division and sent away Mirza Hindal to Agra from Mungir. (*Tabakat-i-Akbari*, Elliot, v. 201, Pers. text p. 200.)

Jalal Khan strongly fortified the pass of Garhi and kept back the imperial army. In the meanwhile Sher Khan completed his arrangements for the

<sup>\*</sup>Abbas also says, "Ihe night after the action it rained hard."

the return of autumn, he began a campaign which shook the Mughal Empire in India to its very foundations. He determined to compensate himself for the loss of Bengal by wresting the province of Jaunpur from Humayun and to draw him off from Bengal by threatening the safety of his imperial capital Agra. He laid his plan with consummate forethought. Khawas Khan was sent towards Bihar and Mungir to re-establish his authority in those regions, but mainly for the purpose of watching the movements of the imperor in Bengal, fearing that Humayun might ome suddenly upon him while engaged abroad.

Sher Khan himself crossed the Ganges and beged Benares. "He soon took it and put to death a Fazli, the governor." (Akbarnama, i. 336.) ar Khan was actuated by the bitterest feelings of it mity towards the Mughals; his conduct towards my combatants is marked from this time onwards nerciless fury. He was after all a Pathan who is a forgets and forgives an injury. He bore in the amputation of the hands of his gunners at lar despite the Emperor's promise of safety. He tall the sword the greater part of the Mughals found mares\* (see Abbas, Elliot, iv. 368).

Jauhar says Sher Khan killed seven hundred Mughals (MS) but he does not say where Probably they were slaughtered

From Benares he went to Jaunpur and laid siege to it. Baba Beg Jalair, the governor of Jaunpur,\* strongly fortified the place and bravely held out. He sent messengers to Agra and Gaur asking for relief. "Sher Khan sent Haibat Khan Niazi, Jalal Khan Jalu, Sarmast Khan Sarwani and other chiefs against Bahraich† and they drove out the Mughals from those parts." (Abbas, Elliot iv. 368.) Without waiting for the reduction of Jaunpur, Sher Khan overran the whole country as far as Qanauj. Abbas says, "Every governor on the part of the Emperor Humayun, throughout the whole country, who offered any opposition was killed or was defeated

\*Abbas says that the Governor of Jaunpur was killed This is not true Baba Beg Jalair joined Humayun at Chaunsa (See Gulbada i's Humayun-Nama, trans. by Mrs. Beveridge, p. 135) Abbas probably confounds "Yusuf Beg son of Ibrahim Beg Cabuq" with Paba Beg, Yusuf Beg another Mughal chief, was killed by Jalal Khan in a fight in the neighbourhood of Jaunpur (see Akbarnama, i. 336).

†Bahraich is an important place in Oudh, 70 miles north of Faizabad, and lies in 82° long, 27° 5" lat. This detachment was sent to overrun Oudh districts and probably North Bihar also Abbas says that this detachment reached as far as the city of Sambhal, 80 miles east of Delhi, situated in 78° 8" lat. But as a matter of fact the Afghans never penetrated so far. The imperial ists admit that Sher Khan took possession of the country as far as Qanauj and there is no reason to doubt its truth. Besides it is not also very likely that the same detachment of troops marched east ward as far as Bahraich, and then turning westward, reached Sambhal by making so wide a curve.

## V.) EMPEROR'S AUTHORITY CEASES BEYOND DOAB 177

and driven out of the country." (Elliot, iv. 368.) Here and there, a few Mughal commandants held out, but they were in a state of siege and their reduction was only a question of time. The authority of the Emperor on the whole came to an end beyond the Doab. Sher Khan, without carrying on indiscriminate plunder of the whole country, conducted himself like a legitimate sovercign and appointed officers in the country who "collected the revenue of both the autumn and spring harvests of these parts."\* (Elliot. iv. 368.) This was undoubtedly a novel and humane way of enriching himself without wasting the country. A lew cities may have been plundered by his lieuterants, but the peasantry was spared. The wrath of Sher Khan raged furiously against the Mughals and not against the humble peasantry who had perforce submitted to then; 'For', said he 'the cultivators are blameless, they submit to there in power." (Elliot, iv. 422 MS, Abbas, p. 262.) Two important places, Chunar and Jaurpur, only held out against Sher Khan; both these places were besieged closely. The Mughals were panic-struck; those who were at Agra gave the Emperor up for lost, and those who were in Bengal realized that their happy abode of

<sup>\*</sup>Sher Khan was in possession of the whole country from the beginning of October, 1538, to the end of February, 1539 The instalments of revenue-collection usually fall within this period.

pleasure and revelry was turned into a prison from which there was little chance of escape. Sher advanced towards Agra, but the news of Humayun's departure from Gaur held him back.

Humayun having made his entry into Gaur (middle of July, 1538) repaired and cleansed the city. and obliterated the ravages of war. The opiumeating monarch took a special fancy for it and renamed it linnatabad (Tabakat-i-Akbari in Elliot, v. 201) or the 'Paradisc City.' He divided the province of Bengal among his nobles. During his stay of nine months in Bengal he indulged in such repose and idleness that after one month nobody could see him. He always kept himself confined in the harem. (Jauhai MS. p. 29 = Stewart p. 13.) The great officers and the soldiers imitated their royal master, and gathering materials of enjoyment and pleasure set to regale themselves. Valour oozed out of the hardy veterans of Central Asia in the moist plains of Bengal, as Capua sapped the energy of the fiery Africans of the great Hannibal.

About the end of December, 1538 A.D., the news of Sher Khan's victories disturbed the repose of the Emperor. He woke up, but could not believe his ears. "When His Majesty heard this news, he did not believe at all that affairs could take such a turn; (he asked) "how could Sher Khan dare so

(lauhar MS. 29.) However, the Emperor showed some inclination to return to his capital. He called a private assembly of his nobles to decide to whom the charge of Bengal should be given. "His Majesty said 'Zahid Beg\* often urges me to promote him. It will be better if he be made the governor of Bengal'.....Zahid Beg in that very assembly said: -'What, could you find no other place to kill me in than Bengal?" (Jauhar MS. pp. 29-30.) Humayun was highly incensed towards him and resolved to punish Zahid Beg severely. But this made the case worse. Zahid Beg seduced Haji Muhammad Koki (?) and Zandar Beg from the path of loyalty, and these three men fled away to Agra. Having reached Agra, they tempted Mirza Hindal to assume royal prerogatives and made him kill Shaikh Bahlul, who had been sent there to urge Mirza Hindal to march to the relief of Jaunpur. Mirza Hindal read the khutba in his name, and instead of marching to the relief of nunpur, went off towards Delhi and besieged it.

The husband of a sister of his queen Bega Begam.

## CHAPTER VI

RETREAT OF HUMAYUN AND THE VICTORY OF CHAUNSA.

When the news of Mirza Hindal's rebellion and the murder of Shaikh Bahlul reached Gaur, the Emperor (about the beginning of March, 1539) finally determined to leave Bengal. "His Majesty ordered Khan-i-Khanan Lodi\* to go to Mungir and wait there for the arrival of the (main) army, coming behind." (Jauhar MS. p. 31.) Khan-i-Khanan Lodi reached Mungir, but Khawas Khan who was on the watch, surprised the fort one night, set fire to its gates and having captured Khan-i-Khanan Lodi alive, sent him to Sher Khan. (See Jauhar MS. p. 32 and Abbas Sarwani, Elliot, iv. 368). Humayun had scarcely marched out of the city of Gaur, when the news of this disaster reached his ears. Yet the Emperor could not realize the magnitude of his danger. Instead of

<sup>\*</sup> This man is wrongly identified by Abbas and Niamatullah with Khan-i Khanan Yusuf Khail (Daulat Khan Lodi, who brought Babur from Kabul). He must have been some other Afghan chief of the Lodi tribe, who received this title either from Babur of Humayun after the death of Daulat Khan Lodi, who died before the first battle of Panipat was fought. (See Babur's Memours p. 463.)

taking every available man with him, to enable him to cut his way through the lines of the enemy, he detached 5,000 troops under a brave and faithful officer, Jahangir Quli Beg, to garrison Gaur. He then sent Mirza Askari with a strong force to occupy Kahalgram (Colgong) and procure intelligence of Sher Khan. "News came that the troops of Sher Khan had besieged the fortresses of Chunar and Jaunpur, and taken possession of the whole country as far as Qanauj; and that at present he had recalled all his troops, and having concentrated them in the neighbourhood of Rohtas,\* was occupying the head of the road. Mirza Askari sent this news in a dutiful letter to the Emperor." (Jauhar MS. p. 34). Humayun had intended to march along the road on the southern bank of the Ganges, and join Mirza Askari who had been ordered to wait at Kahalgram. Probably this news made the Emperor change his route, for we find that without joining Mirza Askari at Kahalgram he marched by the road along the northern bank of the Ganges, and arrived opposite Mungir. "Here Mirza Askari came from the other bank of the river and met the Emperor. His Majesty then, summoned all the Mirzas and amirs and asked their opinion whether the Ganges should

<sup>\*</sup>A very accurate information Abbas exactly says the same thing. (See Elliot, iv. 369.)

be crossed or not. Phul Beg and Mullah Muhammad Farkh Ali, who enjoyed the confidence of the king, -and with whom most of the amirs agreed,-said 'The river should not be crossed. We should keep ourselves to the right-hand bank of the river and make our way to launpur, and at launpur we should wait till troops from that province and the region of Delhi come (to our assistance), and arms and armour reach us. Thus gathering our forces, we shall fight after the (coming) rainy season'. Muvyid Beg dissented from this view and became displeased; he said to His Majesty that Sher Khan, thinking that the Emperor out of fear did not cross the river, would become bold: so the river must be crossed. His Majesty assented to the words of Muyyid Beg, and ordered the army to crossed over (to the southern bank) His Majesty marched stage by stage towards Muner". (lauhar MS. pp. 23-25).

When Sher Khan heard the news of the Emperor's departure from Bengal, he checked his

<sup>\*</sup>Gulbadan says. "He was coming by that side of the Ganges (i.e., the left bank) opposite Mungir, when his amirs represented "You are a great king! Return by the way you came, lest Sher Khan should say: "Forsaking the road of advance he took another of retreat." The Emperor returned to Mungir (i.e., crossed over to that place) and brought many of his people and family by boat up the river as far as Hajipur—Patna". Humayun-Nama, p. 135.)

career of victory and turned back to meet the new situation. Without the least hesitation, he evacuated his new conquests and retired to South Bihar, thus perhaps giving an opportunity to the Emperor to end the guarrel and return to his capital undisturbed by merching along the porthern bank. But Muyvid Beg proved the evil genius of Humayun who was, as it were, delivered into the hands of the enemy. Sher Khan's main army was in the neighbourhood of Rohtas; except one division under Khawas Khan left (says Abbas) to act against Maharatha Chero. zemindar of Bihar. But it seems that Sher Khan's intention in posting this division was to place one part of his forces behind the Mughal army. However, the Emperor marched without any interruption as far as Muner on the Son; but as soon as he placed his feet unwisely on the soil of the Shahabad district, the very den of the 'Tiger Lord', misfortune overtook him.

The disorganized state of the Emperor's army tempted an attack from Sher Khan, who had taken up his position on the upper course of the Son (in the neighbourhood, of Rohtas). He assembled his chiefs and harangued them, dwelling on the advantage of attacking the Emperor. He asked the opinion of Azam Humayun Sarwani, Qutb Khan, Haibat Khan Niazi, Jalal Khan bin Julu, Shujaat Khan, Sarmast

Khan and others, who "unanimously declared that it was advisable to fight, for they would never have such an opportunity again. When Sher Khan perceived that the Afghans were united in his favour, and in good heart to fight the Mughals, he guitted the hills of Rohtas and marched out to meet the enemy". (Abbas MS. pp. 153-154=Elliot iv. 369-70). He put himself in the rear of the Mughals and harassed them during their westward advance from Muner. Jauhar gives us the account of the four days' march of the imperial army, from Muner to the neighbourhood of Chaunsa, and their experiences and alarms from the attack of Sher Khan's army. "One day the men of the rear-guard brought word to His Majesty, 'The Afghans are showing themselves in our rear.' His Majesty ordered it to be proclaimed that the troopers should keep armour on their persons during the march. On the second day news was brought that a skirmish had taken place with the enemy with an exchange of arrows and musketry fire. During the third day's march news came that the mountainsplitting degs (heavy siege-guns) which had destroyed the towers of Chunar, and which were (now) being conveyed in boats, had been seized by the Afghans. His Majesty ordered the soldiers to put on their armour and mount. On the fourth day, the soldiers wore their armour and mounted and, resuming the

march, reached Chaunsa, when one pas (prahar) of day was yet left, (say at 4 P.M.). The soldiers had scarcely dismounted when a thick cloud of dust was seen approaching from the "eastern" horizon. His Majesty ordered (his men) to find out whose troops they were; (a great deal of) time passed before news came of the arrival of Sher Khan himself in battle array. His Majesty asked his nobles as to what should be done. Qasim Husain Sultan said "Sher Khan has ridden about 18 or 19 kos to-day; the necks of his horses being wearied, the mounts of his troops offer battle this very day; let God give victory to whomsoever He pleases.... His Majesty agreed; but as this plan did not please Muyvid Beg, His Majesty sided with Muyvid Beg; and [changing his mind | said that the battle ought to be postponed (as) there was no necessity for hurry. When the nobles and soldiers heard these words of His Majesty they all became disheartened; the army halted and encamped. Sher Khan alighted in the vicinity and encamped opposite the imperial army. He threw earthen redoubts and sheltered his army MS. pp. 35-36.) [Stewart's translation, p. 16, is imperfect and has been rejected by me.]

There seems to be some inaccuracy in Jauhar's

description of a cloud of dust raised by the hoofs of Sher Khan's horses approaching from "the east". Sher Khan was already in touch with his rear-guard. So the appearance of an army in the east could have caused no surprise to the Emperor nor any doubt as to whose army it was. The enemy's movements on that side were sure to be promptly reported by the men of the rear-guard. Besides in such a case there was no necessity for 36 or 38 miles' ride for Sher Khan to overtake the Emperor. So mushriq (east) seems to be a mistake for mughrib (the west). The fact is that up to the third day's march Sher Khan was in the rear of the Emperor. On the fourth day he made a wide detour through the interior of the country lying to the south of the Grand Road, marched past the Emperor's army unperceived by them, and then turning round was galloping rapidly towards the Emperor's army from the west or south-west. So the cloud of dust must have appeared in the west and not in the east. The cause of the surprise of the Emperor was that he was under the impression that Sher Khan was in his rear; so the appearance of an army (in the front) seemed unaccountable to him; probably he imagined it to be a Mughal army marching to join him from Chunar or Jaunpur, which places were still held by his officers.

The original place of the encampment of the

Emperor and Sher Khan is thus noticed by Niamatullah. "Sher Khan pitched his own opposite the royal camp, at a village called Shataya (Dorn p. 118 Shuya),\* between Jhusa (Chaunsa) and Baksar (Dorn's translation does not contain this name), so that both armies were encamped on the same side of the Ganges. There was also a small stream't flowing between the two camps; the banks of the stream were so steep that it could not be crossed except at the usual ford." (Makhzan-1-Afaghana, MS. p. 312; quoted in Ellict, iv. 370, foot-note I).

There is no other stream except the Thora Nuddy (lit. the tiny stream) flowing through the tract between Chaunsa and Buxar. It runs into the Ganges 5 miles east of Chaunsa and 3 miles west of Buxar. It cut the Grand Trunk Road through which Humayun advanced. This little stream possessed, on account of its swampy nature, a military importance disproportionate to its dimensions.‡

It is very likely that the Afghans and the

<sup>\*</sup>We are unable to identify the village Shataya or Shuya either in Rennell's map or in G. S. map

<sup>†</sup> Abbas Sarwani says - "The breadth of the stream was 25 yards" (Elliot, is 370)

<sup>‡</sup> Babur in his Memoirs speaks of it thus:— "We waited (at Chaunsa) a day for a road to be managed across a smallish swamps rivulet heard to be ahead" (Babur's Memoirs, p. 660) Mrs Beveridge in the foot-note rightly identifies it with the Thora Nuddy)

Mughals encamped on its western and eastern banks respectively. This was a position from which the Emperor could neither attack Sher Khan nor continue his retreat by marching past his position without peril. Jauhar says, "Every day (during the two months) the two armies skirmished, and men were killed on both sides. After two and a half months (beginning of June 1539) the rainy season set in with great violence, and the fortified camp of Sher Khan was over-flooded."\* (Jauhar MS. p. 36).

Sher Khan abandoning the old site of his encampment moved towards the Karmanasha and encamped on the western bank† of that river. The Emperor followed him and pitched his tents at Chaunsa.

Meanwhile the distress of the Emperor had

<sup>\*</sup> The fact that Sher Khan's camp was overflooded shows that this was situated on a comparatively low ground hable to inundation. Martin says (Eastern India 1 398) that the Cangetic basin of Shahabid district to the north of the Grand Road is regularly flooded three or four times a year. So it is very likely that Sher Khan's original camp was situated very near the bank of the Canges with the purpose of watching the Emperor less he should escape by water

<sup>†</sup> The battle is said to have been fought between Chaunsa and Chupa Ghat. We do not find any such place either in Rennell's map or the Indian Survey map. Chupa Ghat was probably the name of some ford of the Karmanasha river. In the Indian Survey map, we find a place named Saer Chupurbund on the western bank of the Karmanasha river 6 miles south west of Chaunsa. Sher Khan later on probably removed his camp somewhere near it.

grown acute. There was a scarcity in his camp. (Gulbadan p. 135). It was evident that if he could not extricate himself from his present position he. with his army, must perish by hunger if not by the arms of the enemy. There was no chance of succour from his unnatural brothers; the news from that quarter was all heartening. Mirza Haidar thus describes the situation at Agra, and the attitude of his brothers and other adherents. "Repeated messengers came (from the Emperor at Ihusa).....saying that his brothers should come quickly, as it was necessary to make an end of Sher Khan, (the letters arrived, but ) the brothers were engaged in hostilities; so the enemy remained at ease. When the news of these events reached Kamran Mirza, he at once led his people with fresh courage, so that the veterans exerted themselves in affording assistance to the Emperor in Jhusa. But some perverse advisers offered different counsel saying: 'To go to Ihusa would be to release the Emperor destroy the enemy and ensnare us'. Kamran Mirza in his ignorance and child-like folly mistook this advice for wisdom and delayed in setting (Tarikh-i-Rashidi, pp. 470-471). Having

<sup>\*</sup>Gulbadan says....."There arose in him also a desire of sovereignty With 12,000 fully equipped horsemen he came to Delhi." (Humayun-Nama, p. 137)

no other remedy the Emperor stooped to sue for peace. Jauhar says, "The battle was postponed every day, till it was found advisable to make peace with Sher Khan". (Jauhar MS. p. 36.) "Shaikh Khalil, a descendant of......Shaikh Farid Shakkar Gani, was sent to Sher Khan with the object of bringing about a peace. The Shaikh went to Sher Khan and gave him much advice. Sher Khan consented to make peace on the condition that the fort of Chunar with the territories on this side (i.e., to the east) of that fortress should be given to him. The Shaikh wrote a dutiful letter to His Majesty representing: 'Sher Khan is raising objection on the point of the Chunar fort. If it pleases (Your Majesty) to grant this to Sher Khan he is ready to make peace'. The nobles of His Majesty did not think it advisable to give Chunar to Sher Khan. At length, the agreement to make peace was cancelled."\* (Jauhar MS. p. 37.)

<sup>\*</sup>But negotiations seem to have been going on, as the continued presence of the Shaikh in Sher Khan's camp suggests. Stewart's Jauhar gives a completely different version of the affair. There we find that "Humayun ultimately was obliged to comply with his insolent demands and peace was accordingly concluded". (Stewart's Jauhar, p. 17.) There is some improbability in Stewart's version that the Emperor and his nobles, who disdained even to deviate from the road of advance in the course of retreat, should have submitted to the humility of surrendering a fort in his firm possession to the chated rebel. The fact seems to be that the Shaikh continued to the

In the meanwhile Sher Khan had recalled Khawas Khan's division operating against Maharatha Chero. That general rejoined his master, and "in obedience to a suggestion of Sher Khan, circulated the report among the troops, that Maharatha (Dorn p. 120) had taken up a post which the spies could not ascertain, and that he probably intended to lull the Afghans into security, and to defeat them by surprise. This belief had, by Sher Khan's exertions, pervaded the whole army, and he, under the pretext of putting his troops in motion against Maharatha, moved on every day, a distance of 3 miles (four kos, as Abbas says, is more likely), and then returning told his people that he had been disappointed in the quest of the enemy. This manœuvre he continued for 5 or 6 days (Abbas says 2 days) and succeeded so well in rendering the Mughals secure that they believed all his operations to be directed against another enemy". (Dorn p. 120.)

reside in the camp of Sher Khan even after Humayun's refusal to give up his contention about Chunar, which only stood in the way of the conclusion of the treaty. Whether peace was actually concluded or not is a controverted point and a great deal of untruth has gathered about this incident. The weight of authority and evidence points to the fact that the peace was not concluded, though Sher Khan might have continued to play with the offer either to extort better terms or to throw the Mughals off their guard. The story of Sher Khan's perfidy (his swearing upon the Quran, etc., as told by Badsoni), is a myth.

One morning he despatched from his camp Khawas Khan with a choice body of troops and several well-trained elephants. Nobody took much notice of it, thinking that he was going against Maharatha Chero. But this aroused the suspicion of Shaikh Khalil, who wrote a letter to the Emperor saying 'I tried to persuade Sher Khan to come to a peaceful agreement; but in the end could not secure his consent. This day about the time of the second prayer, Khawas Khan with all his troops has been given leave and has marched away from the army (of Sher Khan). Let your Majesty be present (with the imperial troops) so that no movement may be made (against you) [Hazir bashed ke harkate nashawwad]. His Majesty did not mind it at all.'\*\*

Sher Khan assembled "a little before midnight" all his chiefs in a secret council of war and harangued them, as was his custom, against the Emperor whose faithlessness rendered peace with him impossible. When all his chiefs agreed upon the advisability of war, he explained to them his secret plan. "When he dismissed his chiefs he ordered

<sup>\*</sup>Stewart's translation, as usual, gives a different version. "The king would not believe the information or that Sher Khan would be guilty of such a breach of honour or religion, and passed the night without taking any precautions." (Memoirs of Humayun, p. 17.)

them to array their men with all speed as if thev were still in alarm as to Maharatha: and when one watch of the night yet remained the whole army. according to Sher Khan's command, marched two and half kos in the direction of Maharatha's country." (Elliot, iv. 374.) Sher Khan,\* having left his camp at night, must have marched at least 7 or 8 miles southwards before he crossed the Karmanasha, to conceal his movements from the Mughal pickets, posted opposite his camp. As bridges had already been thrown at suitable places, there was no confusion in crossing the stream. From the eastern bank of the Karmanasha the Afghan army could not reach the Ganges without marching at least 25 miles in a north-easterly direction, to preserve the complete secrecy of their movement. Khawas Khant joined him on the way and led the attack. When the Afghans were actually approaching the imperial encampment, Sher Khan explained the real objec-

<sup>\*</sup>He took only the cavalry and elephants with him. Abul-Fazi says, "At length he fraudulently and deceitfully left a body of infantry and artillery in face... The royal army did not understand the craft of this trickster" (Akbarnama, i. 343) Abul-Fazi attributes the disaster to the negligence of Muhammad Zaman Mirza in keeping watch on that night.

<sup>†</sup> It is curious to notice that Abbas and Niamatullah make no special mention of the name of Khawas Khan. No departure of that general in the morning is mentioned. But according to Jauhar it was Khawas Khan who attacked the imperial camp.

tive of their march and exhorted them to fight bravely. Having drawn up his forces in order of battle, Sher Khan with all haste marched towards the Emperor's camp. In the pleasing coolness of the early dawn of June, 27th, 1539, the Mughals were slumbering carelessly, when the Afghans all of a sudden fell upon them. When they were roused by the uproar, there was no time to put on armour and array themselves in battle order. Every one therefore sought safety in flight. When the kettle-drums were beaten at the command of the Emperor, about 300 men gathered about him. With this small band he kept up a brave fight. But his example failed to rally his cowardly followers. He was wounded in the left arm and the enemy began to close upon him. To save him from capture, his soldiers seized the reins of his horse and drew him off from the field, towards the Ganges. He urged his horse into the river, but on being thrown off its back, was on the verge of drowning. He was rescued by a water-carrier named Nizam, who was crossing the river by supporting himself on his inflated leather bag.

Never was a surprise more complete: the imperial harem with a large number of other Mughal families fell into the hands of the Afghans. Erskine estimates the loss of Humayun as 8,000 in killed. About half of them fell victims to the sword, while the

rest perished in the Ganges. The conduct of Sher Khan to the helpless Mughal women and children who fell into his hands, appears in a very pleasing light. "When the Emperor Humayun's queen\* with other noble ladies and a crowd of women came out from behind the parda, he alighted off his horse and showed them every respect and consoled them.....He ordered nagibs (heralds) to proclaim in the army that no person should make captive of Mughal women and children, but bring every one of them to the saraparda (screened tent) of the Begam (the imperial consort). Dread of his authority had taken such possession of the Afghans, that no one dared to resist it, and the nagibs before night brought all the wives and families t of the Mughals to the tent of the queen. and rations were assigned to each person". (Abbas MS. 166-167 = Elliot, iv. 375-376.)

The effect of the victory of Chaunsat upon the

<sup>\*</sup>Called Haji Begam by Abul Fazl (Akbarnama, 1 343). She was most probably Bega Begam, whom Jauhar mentions in his Memoirs. (See MS. p. 30-Stewart, p. 14). She was present at Gaur with Humayun. Stewart awkwardly writes her name as "Byke Begum".

<sup>†</sup> In spite of the laudable efforts of Sher Khan some were found missing, such as "Ayisha Sultan Begam, Aquiqa Begam, Chand Bibi (who was seven months with child) and Shad Bibi and several others." The last three were of Humayun's harem. (Gulbadan's Himayun-Nama, pp. 136-137)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> The date 9th Safar 946 is given by Abul-Fazl. (Mr. Beveridge's translation of Akbarnama, 1. 344).

Mughal Empire was similar to that of Suraigarh upon the monarchy of Bengal. The horizon of Sher Khan's ambition was immensely widened. Twelve months before he would have been glad to hold Bengal as the vassal of the Emperor. Now he won. by this single stroke, launpur in addition to Bengali and Bihar, in independent sovereignty and could legitimately claim equality with the Emperor. After the victory at Chaunsa, Sher Khan sent back Khawas Khan to Bihar to complete the subjugation of Maharatha Chero, despatched Jalal Khan bin Jalu and Haji Khan Batni to Bengal, and himself undertook the task of pursuing the Emperor. (See Dorn, p. 123 and Elliot, iv. 377). Sher Khan crossed the Ganges and marched as far as Qanauj. He seems not to have been very serious about the chase of the Emperor, who could not have escaped if Sher Khan had been really intent on his capture. He mainly occupied himself in consolidating into firm possession the territories on that bank of the Ganges, which four months before had been over-run by his troops. Jaunpur and Chunar, the only Mughal outposts left unconquered before, were evacuated by their commandants who joined Humayun during his flight. We learn from Jauhar that Humayun during his retreat was helped by Rajah Birbhan of Arail (near Allahabad, on the southern bank of the Ganges),

who undertook to oppose Brahmajit Gaur (the lieutenant of Sher Khan), coming behind the Emperor. Humayun crossed over to the Mirzapur side of the Ganges through this Rajah's help and pursued his journey to Kalpi by fording the Jamuna. (See Jauhar MS. p. 41 = Stewart). Bereft of his family, followers and fortune, he returned to Agra, broken hearted and crest-fallen. (10th July, 1539.)\*

## Sher Shah the King of Bengal and Jaunpur.

Sher Khan contented himself with occupying the country as far as the modern Hardoi district opposite Qanauj. If he had any mind to advance further west, the Ganges lay in front, and the Ganges in the month of July is a formidable obstacle to any general. Indeed, his abstinence from immediate advance upon Agra was an act of consummate prudence. Mirza Kamran was there with 12,000 well-equipped horsemen, and Mirza Hindal had also an army at Alwar. On the spur of the moment, if they were allowed no time to reflect, they might have combined, and Sher Khan would have had to

<sup>\*</sup> Haidar Mirza says "In the middle of the rains the brothers came together. This occurred in Safar of the year 946" (Tankhi-Rashidi by Ellias and Ross p. 47). The month of Safar expired on July 17th, 1539. Humayun was defeated at Chaunsa on June 27th, so it is probable that he did not take more than 13 days to reach Agra.)

encounter a formidable enemy, with the Ganges in flood in his rear. Sher Khan was not a man to be carried away by success or to be depressed under defeat; he would not raise one foot till he was sure of the ground beneath the other. Having consolidated his acquisitions on the eastern bank of the Ganges, where every vestige of Mughal dominion was effaced, Sher Khan returned to Bihar,\* (about the middle of September, 1539) and halted there for some time.

His lieutenants Haji Khan Batni and Jalal Khan bin Jalu, besieged Jahangir Quli Beg at Gaur. That brave officer, with his 5000 Mughals, maintained the unequal contest for a time. Seeing that he had no hope of succour from Agra, he evacuated the city and retired to the open country, probably with a bold resolve to cut his way to Agra. But he was ultimately forced to surrender on a promise of safety.†

<sup>\*</sup> Abul-Fazl says (Akbarnama, 1 345) "He came to the extremity of Bihar and then halted there......" But Abul Fazl makes a mistake by saying that he came there direct from Chaunsa. Sher Khan waited there probably for the cessation of the rains

<sup>† (</sup>See Akbarnama, 1 345). Abul Fazl says, "He (Johangir Quli Beg) came forth thence on a false treaty and engagement" No other writer mentions the fact of surrender. Nevertheles Abul Fazl's statement seems to be correct. That Jahangir Quli Beg was a prisoner is implied by the words "Him he ordered to be put to death" of Abbas. (See Elliot, iv. 378). This was done probably in violation of the promise of his lieutenants.

When Sher Khan came to Bengal (middle of October?, 1539) he refused to abide by the promise of his lieutenants and ordered Jahangir Quli Beg with his troops to be put to death.

Another illustrious prisoner, Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail\*, - Jauhar's Khan-i-Khanan Lodi. who had been captured at Mungir, also received his death sentence (Abbas MS. p. 175 = Elliot, iv. 378). This man was accused of having done many things hostile to Sher Khan and of always inciting the Emperor to enmity with him. Other 'chiefs of Hind' who had been with the Emperor Humayun, were set at liberty (MS. Abbas p. 174). The special severity to the Khan-i-Khanan and the liberation of non-

<sup>\*</sup>This man figures in Abbas Sarwani's book as an Afghan Bibhishan (treacherous brother of Rayana) Elliot MS seems to identify him with Daulat Khan Lodi, which is a grievous error. In this passage Elliot's translation contains the following sentence. "The Khan-i Khanan Yusuf Khal wh brought Babur into India from Kabul'' and this very man-who died a natural death at Sultanpur a few days before the first battle of Panipat - is made to be killed again under Sher Khan's orders 13 years later. But for Jauhar's mention of one Khan-i-Khanan Lodi as an adherent of Humayun and a passage of our MS, every incident connected with this man should have been rejected outright. In place of the erroneous passage of Elliot's MS. ours save:

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Emperor, who had come to Gujrat, was taken by him, out of enmity, to that direction" (the rest the same as in Elliot). It is quite clear that the Emperor meant is Humayun (and not Babur). That direction signifies Bihar and Bengal.

Mughal prisoners of war were dictated by policy. In spite of his adhesion to the Mughals, the Khan-i-Khanan was held in esteem by all Afghans owing to his high social position\*. This he might at any moment turn to political advantage prejudicial to Sher Khan, as among the Afghans in India sovereignty and political pre-eminence were still associated with the name of Lodis. Descended from the royal tribe of Lodi, the Khan-i-Khanan could never compromise his sense of dignity so as to submit peacefully to the son of the Jagirdar of Sasaram, once a dependent of his house.

After the victory of Chaunsa, Sher Khan became the de facto ruler of the vast territories bounded on the west† by the bend of the Ganges from Qanauj to Chunar, on the south by the hills of Jharkhand (from Rohtas to Birbhum) and the Bay of Bengal, on the east by the hills of Assam and Chittagong, and on the north by the Himalayas. But he had no legal right to sanctify these acquisitions of his sword. He was still a private man, a rebellious though triumphant vassal of the Emperor Humayun. He had no legal

<sup>\*</sup>Sher Shah said "Every Afghan whom I have consulted has said, "He is an Afghan of consideration and it is not advisable to kill him." (Elliot, iv. 379).

<sup>†</sup> The rest of the western boundary line was probably marked by the course of the Ramganga river, which issuing from the Himalayas falls into the Ganges a few miles above Qanauj

status either at home or abroad. The people living under him could not but regard his rule as a temporary military occupation. He could claim no international recognition without the assumption of the kingly title, which alone could qualify him to treat with other potentates of India on a footing of equality. All the disadvantages which a political upstart suddenly raised to power feels, were felt by Sher Khan. The following passage, though meant to describe the peculiar position of Shivaji, is of universal application to the cases of all successful military adventurers without a hereditary claim. Shivaji Sher Khan, "so long as he was a mere private subject, could not with all his real power claim the loyalty and devotion of the people over whom he ruled. His promises could not have the sanctity and continuity of the public engagements of the head of a State. He could sign no treaty, grant no land with legal validity and an assurance of permanence. The territories conquered by his sword could not become his lawful property, however undisturbed his possessions over them might be in practice. The people living under his stray or serving under his banners, could not renounce their allegiance to the tormer sovereign of the lands, nor be sure that they were exempt from the charge of treason for their

As the rise of the Bhonslas created jealousy among the other Maratha families, so the rise of the Surs was looked upon with envy by the powerful Lodi and Farmuli tribes. They held themselves aloof from Sher Khan, whom they looked down upon as an upstart. Among the notable chiefs of Sher Khan we do not find the name\* of any Lodi or Farmuli noble. Khan-i-Khanan Lodi's faithful adherence to the Emperor and Mubarak Farmuli's death at Garhi fighting for the Mughals (Abbas in Elliot, iv. 367) show that the proud chiefs of these two tribes scorned to enter Sher Khan's service. (It became necessary to rectify his position in their eyes by the formal assumption of sovereignty, the magnificence and awe of which compensate for any defect in social status. According to the Islamic conception of sovereignty, he is the lawful sovereign in whose name the khutba is read in the mosques of the kingdom. Having broken finally with the Emperor, Sher Khan could not allow the khutba to be read, as before, in Humayun's name. Nor could the exercise of this

<sup>\*</sup>The list of nobles who joined Sher Khan after the fall of Baha-dur Shah as given in Abbas Sarwani's book is extremely faulty. Most of the persons (see Elliot, iv. 352) mentioned were already dead except the two Sarwanis.

all-important function of Islamic sovereignty be kept in abeyance, as it would have meant the suspension of an important religious rite,—the prayers of the Faithful cannot be complete without the reading of the khutba. So Sher Khan, after the victory of Chaunsa, found himself in such a situation that his open assumption of the kingly title could not be delayed.)

Sher Khan had to proceed very cautiously in carrying out his new project because his assumption of sovereignty was likely to be resented by many of his aristocratic adherents, who, owing to their proud ancestry, and their own honourable rank in the Court of the Lodis claimed a social superiority to him. The Afghans in general are ardent lovers of democratic equality and look askance at the exaltation of one of their own race above his fellows. The secret of Sher Khan's hold over his followers was that he did not command them like servants but led them as comrades, by mixed persuasion and firmness. He behaved as one of them, sharing the hard labour of his meanest soldier\* and exercising his authority

<sup>\*</sup> Badaoni (Ranking, 1 360) says that when an ambassador from Humayun reached Sher Khan's camp at Chaunsa, he found Sher Khan "with his sleeves rolled up and with a spade in hand, in spite of the heat was busy preparing the fort and entrenchment........He sat unceremoniously on the ground to receive the ambassador."

without any parade. If he were suddenly to exalt himself to kingship, discontent might arise among them. He had no pretension to a higher social status which might have commanded recognition. Besides, after the fall of Bahadur Shah, when some of the old nobles of Sultans Bahlul and Sikandar joined him (Sher), his position became more delicate. These nobles could not forget the pride of their higher social position, especially the two Sarwanis,—Azam Humayun Sarwani and Isa Khan Sarwani,-who remembered that Hassan Sur, the father of Sher Khan, was once a servant of their illustrious ancestor Umar Khan Sarwani. (These nobles might feel themselves humiliated by his assumption of sovereignty and sever themselves from him. The alienation of such nobles was sure to be followed by great discontent among the Afghans, which could not but seriously injure his position,

However, the dazzling success against the Mughals had created a new wave of enthusiasm among the Afghans. The victories of Sher Khan were looked upon as a national triumph. In the moment of national rejoicing, an opportune proposal for Sher Khan's sitting on the throne was made in the assembly of the Afghans by Masnad-i-Ala Isa Khan Sarwani, the grandson of Umar Khan Sarwani, and was received with joyful acclamation

by all the Afghans present. The Sarwani chief\*—perhaps coached beforehand—thus tactfully saved Sher Khan from an unpleasant situation.

When all the Afghans with one voice urged that there should be no delay in the celebration of the ceremony of accession to the throne, Sher Khan "ordered the astrologers to fix an auspicious moment, for his ascent to the throne". (Abbas, Elliot iv. 377.)

There were no preconceived and elaborate preparations for the inauguration ceremony, because even an hour before the victory of Chaunsa, Sher Khan could not have dreamt of such an event. In the month of December, 1539, the ceremony was performed at Gaur. In an auspicious moment, Sher Khan, then in the evening of his life,† sat on the throne of Bengal, and the "royal umbrella" of the kings of Gaur was spread over his head. Coins were struck and the khutba was read in his name, where "Khan" was displaced by "Shah". He took the additional title of Al Sultan-ul-Adil‡ or the Just

<sup>\*</sup>This Afghan chief played a part similar to that of the King of Bavaria, who in 1871 coached by Bismarck suggested in the assembly of German princes at Versailles that King William of Prussia should be given the title of German Emperor

<sup>†</sup> His age about 53 years.

<sup>‡</sup> Abbas Sarwani and other writers say that he assumed the title of Shah Alam. But it is more likely that this title was assumed after he became the sole Emperor of Hindustan. At the time of his accession to the throne he must have assumed the title of Sultan-

Monarch. Letters of victory were sent to the different provinces of the realm. Abbas Sarwani gives the following account of the festivity at Sher Shah's coronation: - 'For seven days drums were beaten in token of rejoicing. The Afghan youths came in troops from every tribe, and danced according to the custom of the Afghans. Gifts were made to these musicians, and the servants of Sher Shah sprinkled saffron and musk, mixed with rose-water, and ambergris (a rich perfume and cordial) of various colours upon the heads of the dancing youths. Delicious dishes emitting sweet scent which suggested the perennial flavour of the dinner table of heaven, and drinks, which by their sweetness of taste", reminded one of the purifying wine (sharab-itahaur)\* were distributed among the merry-makers. [MS. Abbas, p. 173; not fully trans. in Elliot.]

## **APPENDIX**

WHERE AND WHEN WAS SHER KHAN CROWNED?

Abbas Sarwani does not say when and where Sher Khan was enthroned. Dorn is also silent upon

i-Adil as his coins show. See Thomas's Chronicles of the Pathan Kings of Delhi p 394

In foreign correspondence his seal bore the title of Padshahi Bengal o Jaunpur

<sup>\*</sup> A Sufi mystic expression suggesting the intoxicating influence of Divine Love upon man.

these points. According to our MS. Makhzan, the coronation took place in Bengal. Nizamuddin (Persian text 230), Ferishta (Persian text p. 226). Badaoni (p. 461) also corroborate this. Our MS. Tarikh-i-Daudi (p. 185) says:—"In 946 A.H. Sher Khan after the victory at Chaunsa, with the consent of the Afghans, sat on the throne, spread the royal umbrella over him, read the khutba and issued coins in his name. He assumed the title of Sher Shah Alam." Elphinstone (History of India, p. 448) makes a sad mistake by saying that Sher Khan assumed the title of Shah before the battle of Chaunsa. (Place of coronation is not mentioned.) We cannot trace the original source of this error. Thomas also writes that Sher Khan assumed the title of Shah or King of Bihar in 945 A.H. before Chaunsa: (Chronicles of the Pathan Kings of Delhi, p. 393). V. Smith has repeated the mistake of Thomas and Elphinstone. He says, "Sher Khan, who had assumed the title of king (Shah or Sultan) and will henceforth be designated as Sher Shah. intercepted Humayun at Chaunsa in June, 1539". (The Oxford History of India, by V. Smith, p. 326.) By this he clearly means that the assumption of the kingly title took place before the victory of Chaunsa. On p. 329, of the same book, he gives January, 1542, as the date of "the enthronement of Sher Shah".

This date as well as the incident is a pure guess. No Persian history says anywhere that Sher Shah's accession took place after the victory of Qanauj. It stands true beyond doubt that the coronation took place at Gaur in 946 A.H., the year 946 beginning on 19th May, 1539 A.D. and ending on the 7th May, 1540 A.D.

## CHAPTER VII

## FINAL VICTORY OVER HUMAYUN.

Abortive Embassy to Malwa and Gujrat.

About the beginning of January, 1540, Sher Shah sent Isa Khan Hajjab (envoy) on a political mission to Malwa and Gujrat. The object of the mission was to induce the rulers of those countries to create a diversion in favour of Sher Shah by attacking the imperial territories about Agra, in cooperation with an Afghan army under his son Qutb Khan whom he had decided to send to Malwa for that purpose.

After the death of Bahadur Shah in 1537, Gujrat and Malwa fell into a state of confusion and impotence. At this time Mahmud Shah III, the King of Gujrat, was a minor. Two powerful nobles, Imad-ul-mulk and Dariya Khan, had been continually quarrelling for ascendancy at his Court. (Briggs, iv. 145-146). The latter had triumphed for the time and was at the head of affairs, when Isa Khan reached Gujrat. Dariya Khan, who had too many enemies to fight at home, was not in a mood to enter into a war with the Mughals to further the design of Sher Shah. He excused himself, writing

to Sher Shah that his king was a minor and the nobles of Gujrat were at enmity with one another.

Nor was the mission more successful in Malwa Three powerful chiefs were ruling in virtual independence over different parts of that kingdom. after the death of Bahadur Shah. The foremost among them was Mallu Khan, who had taken possession of Mandu, Sarangpur, Ujjain and Bhilsa. and in 1538 assumed the title of Qadir Shah, Sultan of Mandu; Puran Mall governed the districts of Chanderi and Raisin,—practically eastern Malwa—in the name of his infant nephew; and Muin Khan, son of Sikandar Khan of Hindia, ruled the territories south of the Narmada. Abbas says that these chiefs of Malwa, except Mallu Khan, agreed to assist the son of Sher Shah. But this seems to be extremely doubtful, as no motive can be found which might have impelled these petty chiefs to provoke the Emperor by which they had nothing to gain. However, the failure of the mission was openly due to an affront given to Mallu Khan, by Sher Shah, who wrote a letter to him in the form of a farman, with Sher Shah's seal affixed at the top, asking him to send his forces to ravage the imperial territories around Agra. (See Ferishta, Pers. text, p. 270, Book II). This form of epistle was an insult to a brother-king and an equal in rank.\* It cannot be

believed that so shrewd a politician as Sher Shah knowingly offered a gratuitous insult so prejudicial to his self-interest. The fact of Mallu Khan's assumption of the royal title was possibly unknown to Sher Shah, as at that time (1538) Sher Shah was only a private man, not in diplomatic correspondence with kings elsewhere; any how Qadir Shah was highly enraged at this slur upon his dignity, and returned it in kind by ordering his 'munshi' to send a letter to Sher Shah with his own seal fixed at the top.

About a month after the despatch of Isa Khan to Gujrat and Malwa, Sher Shah started from Bengal, in the beginning of February 1540, against Humayun after having made over the charge of Bengal to Khizz, Khan. Mirza Haidar says, "Sher Khan came to the bank of the Ganges and sent an army under Qutb Khan across the river to capture Kalpi and Etawah". This shows that both father and son marched together as far as the junction of the Jamuna and the Ganges, opposite Allahabad, and from this place Qutb Khan was detached from the main army to cross the

<sup>\*</sup> A superior writing to an inferior or dependent should affix the seal at the top, an inferior to a superior at the bottom, and persons of equal rank at the back of an epistle. This was the rule observed in international correspondence in medieval India. (See Briggs, iv 37!, foot-aote.)

lamuna, and pursue his march to Malwa via Kalpi. while Sher Shah shortly after crossed the Ganges and marched up stream for Qanauj. The result of Isa Khan's political mission had not become known to Sher Shah, when he sent Qutb Khan towards Malwa about the end of February, 1540. He seems to have been too sanguine about the success of his diplomatic move and thought that the appearance of an Afghan army in Malwa would facilitate the work of Isa Khan and hearten the Malwa princes to join the coalition against the Mughals. But this was not a wellcalculated step worthy of a cautious politician like Sher Shah. Herein he committed his first act of political indiscretion. His plan as a general to prevent a complete concentration of the Mughal forces, near Qanauj, by placing one of his divisions in the neighbourhood of Agra, was praiseworthy; but he committed a grievous error by presuming, on very insufficient political grounds, that the princes of Malwa and Guirat would side with him, and by deciding to send an army before the result of the negotiations was known to him. The idea of the possible failure of Isa Khan's mission did not occur to him; he made no proper arrangement to send reinforcements to his son, in case any mishap befell him, by securing a firm hold over Kalpi and keeping open the line of communication with the main army.

Outb Khan marched with his division towards Malwa. via Kalpi. After a few marches he became aware of the unfavourable attitude of the rulers of Malwa, and hastily turned back from the neighbourhood of Chanderi. Meanwhile, the news of his advance upon Kalpi was reported to the Emperor at Agra. The Mughals, who were unaware of the design of Sher Shah and the real objective of Outb Khan's march, thought that the Afghan army was advancing up the Jamuna to conquer Kalpi and Etawah. Humayun sent an army against him, under three Mughal officers, Husain Sultan, Yadgar Nasir Mirza and Iskandar Sultan. Qutb Khan encountered the Mughal army in the course of his retreat,—in the neighbourhood of Kalpi.\* Disdaining to fly before the enemy, the brave youth offered a gallant but injudicious fight and met with an honourable death.

Affairs of the Mughals after the Battle of Chaunsa.

Humayum having reached Agra tried his best to unite all his brothers and other Mughal amirs in a

<sup>\*</sup>Abbas says that the battle was fought at Chonda, which we cannot accept in defiance of so valuable an authority as Mirza Haidar. Abul Fazl (Akbarnama, 1 346). Nizamuddin (Pers. text p. 203), Badaoni (Ranking, 1 463). Mirza Haidar (Tarikh-i-Rashidi, translated by Elias and Ross p 472) are unanimous in saying that

common cause against Sher Shah. He forgave his brother Mirza Hindal and other offenders. all the brothers were assembled, they confened together upon the state of affairs. The discussion was protracted but no profitable decision was arrived at......Kamran Mirza was very anxious to return, but Humayun conceding all other representations disregarded his request on this point. Seven months were wasted in weary indecision until the opportunity was lost and Sher Khan was on the Ganges ready for war." (Tarikh-i-Rashidi, trans. by Elias and Ross, p. 472). Kamran Mirza did not wish that Humayun should triumph. He had come to Agra with 12,000 mail-clad horsemen to seize the throne of Hindustan. But for the accidental survival of Humayun in the rout of Chaunsa and his sudden appearance at Agra, he would have succeeded in his attempt. As he was baffled in his object by the arrival of Humayun at Agra, he wanted to depart with all his troops leaving his brother to his fate. "The Emperor strongly urged him to leave some of his officers and forces as auxiliaries, but Kamran Mirza on the contrary did all he could to induce those

Qutb Khan was sent against Kalpi and Etawah by Sher Shah and that he was slain in a battle with the three abovementioned Mughal officers. Nizamuddin and Badaoni expressly say that the battle was fought in the vicinity of Kalpi.

who were at Agra to go away with him, and strenuously rejected the proposal to leave his own army behind". (*Ibid*, p. 472). "Kamran Mirza himself, shamefully leaving only Iskandar Sultan with one thousand men as auxiliaries, went off to Lahore". (*Ibid*, p. 472.)

Nevertheless, Humayun gathered a force larger than that of Sher Shah and a park of artillery far more formidable and efficient. "The imperial army reached the bank of the Ganges in the best way it could. There it encamped and lay for about a month, the Emperor being on one side of the river, Sher Khan on the other, facing each other. The two armies may have amounted to more than 2,00,000 men. Muhammad Sultan Mirza.....(a pardoned rebel) having colluded with Sher Khan, deserted. A new way was thus opened. Everybody began to desert and a most surprising part of it was that many of those who deserted did not go over to Sher Khan and so could expect no favour from him. An excited feeling ran through the whole army and the cry was 'Let us go and rest in our homes'. A number of Kamran's auxiliary forces also abandoned him and fled to Lahore......As the army had taken to desert, it was judged better to risk a battle, than to see it go to ruin without fighting......Another consideration was, that if we passed the river, desertion would <sup>\*</sup> The Ganges. It was crossed several miles north of Qanauj.

The battle was fought in Hardoi district, somewhere near Bilgram.

† Not the Ganges but some other small stream.

sion that the enemy might advance upon them. The soldier-ship and bravery of these amirs may be conceived from this exhibition of courage.

"Sher Khan came out in five divisions of 1.000 men\* each, and in advance of him were 3,000 men. l estimated the whole as being less than 15,000 and I calculated the Chaghatai force at about 40,000, all counted on tipchag horses and clad in iron armour. Khan's army came out of its entrenchments, two divisions (jauk), which seemed to be equal to four divisions, drew up in that place, and three divisions advanced against their opponents. On our side I was leading the centre to take up the position which I had sel ted, but when we reached the ground we were le to occupy it for every amir and wazir in the aghatai army, whether be he rich or poor, has his p-followers (ghulam). An amir of note with his retainers and followers has 500 servants and ghulams, who on the day of battle render no assistance to their masters, and have no control over themselves. So, in whatever place there was a conflict, the ghulams were entirely ungovernable.

<sup>\*</sup> This seems to be a little inaccurate. Perhaps each division consisted of 2,000 men thus making a total of  $[(2000 \times 5) + 3000 \text{ men}]$  13000 which is a nearer approach to 15000 than  $[(1000 \times 5) + 3000]$  8000 men. The latter number is too small.

When they lost their masters they were seized with panic and blindly rushed about in terror. In shoring it was impossible to hold ground. They so pressed us in the rear that they drove the centre upon the chains stretched between the carriages, and they and the soldiers dashed each other upon them. Those who were behind so pressed upon those who were in front that they broke through the chains. The men who were posted by the chains were driven beyond them.

"Such was the state of the centre. On the right, Sher Khan advanced in battle array; but before an arrow was discharged, the camp-followers fled like chaff before the wind, and breaking the line, they all pressed towards the centre. The ghulams whom the commanders sent to the front rushed to the lines of chariots and the whole array was broken; the Mir was separated from his men and the men from the Mir. While the centre was thus thrown into disorder, all the fugitives from the right bore down upon So, before the enemy had discharged an arrow. the whole army was scattered and defeated. I had estimated the Chaghatai army as numbering 40,000 men, excluding the camp-followers (ghulams) and workmen (shagird pesha). They fled before 10,000 men and Sher Khan gained a victory, while the Chaghatai were defeated on this battle-field, where not a man, either friend or foe was wounded. Not a gun was fired and the chariots were useless.

"When the Chaghatai took to flight the distance between their position and the Ganges might be one farsakh. All the amirs and braves (bahaduran) fled for safety to the river, without a man of them having received a wound. The enemy pursued them,....... When we came out of the river, His Majesty who at midday had a retinue of 17,000 in attendance upon his Court, was mounted upon a horse which had been given by Tardi Beg, and had nothing on his head or feet.......Out of 1,000 retainers eight persons came out of the river; the rest had perished in the water. The total loss may be estimated from this fact......." (Tarikh-i-Rashidi, pp. 472-477).\* Such is the account of the battle of the Ganges (as it is called by

<sup>\*</sup>The battle can be designated as the battle of Bilgram. As the geographical situation shows, the battle was fought on the tract between the little stream Garrah and the Ganges but it is impossible to say at what distance from the modern eastern bank of the Ganges, as that river has considerably shifted westward in the course of 380 years. The fact that Humayun's camp was flooded shows that it was situated on 'the Kachh'' (low land) formed by the gradual westing of the Ganges. See Gazetteer of the Province of Oudh, 1877, Vol. 1, 315.

The battle took place as Mirza Haidar savs about a "farsakh" (about 4 miles) from the then eastern bank of the Ganges. The "Bangar" or rising ground where Sher Khan evidently entrenched himself, lies to the west of the "Kachh". Abbas says, "On the spot where he had gained his victory he built a city and called it

Mirza Haidar) commonly known as the battle of Qanauj, left to us by a renowned soldier, who too; an active part in the battle. It is marked by sincerity and truth; but it is incomplete and defective in certain respects and as such, requires to be supplemented by the accounts of Jauhar and Abbas.

It is a puzzle to us how the battle could be a bloodless one, as he says "Not a man either friend or foe was wounded." The Afghan cavalry did not gently drive them like a flock of sheep to the Ganges. Even if we are to believe that the Mughals fled without fighting, many must have been killed by the pursuing enemy in the course of the flight to the Ganges, which was four miles off. The fact is that the Mirza could not accurately observe the movements of the enemy as long as he was in his original positic. But as soon as he led forward the centre he became too much occupied with the thought of occupying his new position and had no time to observe the

Sher Sur". Our MS writes Sher Garh. But this cannot be correct. Sher Garh was the name given to the new city which was huilt on the western bank of the Ganges, by destroying Qanauj. On hocoins we find Sher Garh urf Qanauj. We find a place named Juroulee Sherpur, two miles east of Bilgram. Either Sher Sur in Elliot's MS is an error for Sherpur or the name of Shersur has, in course of centuries acquired the more convenient name of Sherpur. This place would eminently serve as the probable site of the battle of the Ganges, remembering that the river has considerably shifted its course.

whole field. So he failed to convey a full and true view of the situation to us. A spectator sees more of the game than the players.

Now let us turn to Abbas for the Afghan version of the battle. He says:—

"In the centre of the Afghan army was Sher Shah himself with Haibat Khan Niazi, Isa Khan Sarwani, Qutb Khan Lodi. Haji Khan Jaloi. Sarmast Khan, Saif Khan Sarwani, Bijli Khan and others; in the right were Jalal Khan, son of Sher Shah, Taj Khan (Kirani), Sulaiman Kirani, Jalal Khan Jaloi and others: in the left were Adil Khan, the eldest son of Sher Shah, Qutb Khan Banet, Rai Husain Jalwani and Khawas Khan\*. He ordered each chief to return to his own followers and to remain with them and he himself went through (the ranks of) the army and set it in proper array." (MS. Abbas, pp. 179-180 = Elliot, iv).

This was the first pitched battle which Sher Shah fought without employing any stratagem. The victory of Chaunsa was achieved by a clever

<sup>\*</sup> Abbas does not expressly say in what division Khawas Khan was. He says that Khawas Khan defeated Humnyun's division, perhaps implying thereby that he was in the centre. But as we know from Mirza Haidar the centre never came into conflict. It is not likely that Sher Shah entrusted Adil Khan, who was not a good soldier at all, with the command of the left. So we think Khawas Khan commanded the left as Abul-Fazl says.

might-march and that of Surajgarh by an ambuscade. Both of them undoubtedly speak highly of his generalship. But Bilgram revealed a new phase of his military genius, and Sher Shah showed himself a cool-headed tactician of no mean order. Had there been a Mirza Haidar in the ranks of the Afghan army, we would have been able to form a true estimate of Sher Shah's tactics.

There was no defect in the array of the Mughal army. It was formed on the same principles and tactics which had triumphed gloriously at Kanwa and Panipat. If Sher Shah had been as incapable a general as Ibrahim Lodi or repeated the great mistake of Rana Sanga, namely, delivering furious cavalry charges upon the enemy's centre, protected by artillery, he would have fared no better than his predecessors. He found that the Mughal centre was absolutely unassailable owing to the carriages and guns, and there was also no chance of enveloping the left wing by a clever manœuvre, owing to the stream; so he determined to throw the decisive weight upon the right flank of the enemy. Sher Shah, out of his total of about 13,000 horse, very wisely kept two large divisions, about 6,000 in all, in reserve. His plan was to overthrow the two wings of the Mughal army by delivering a succession of cavalry charges, before their formidable centre could find time to close

upon him. He sent one division under his own son Ialal Khan to charge the left wing, and another (and a more powerful one) under Khawas Khan to charge the right wing of Humayun's army. He himself stood with the reserve watching the effect of the charges, and ready to follow up an advantage, or succour the hard-pressed as becomes a true general. Both divisions galloped off and fell upon their respective objectives. The charge of Ialal Khan was not successful. Mirza Hindal\* who commanded the fore-centre took him at a disadvantage. (According to Abbas, the division of Ialal Khan was repulsed and thrown into confusion). But Khawas Khan completely broke the Emperor's right, and wheeling round drove the camp-followers and ghulams from the rear upon the Mughal centre. The Mughal centre, when they saw the Afghans approaching, moved forward from their original position; but before they could firmly occupy their new position in proper array, the fugitives from the right and the ghulams from the rear, all rushed upon the centre to seek its protection as no enemy was in front of it. The sheer weight of this mass burst the chains of the carriages and threw the centre also in confusion. In the meantime Sher

<sup>\*</sup> If Mirza Hindal, who was free to succour either wing, had chosen to attack Khawas Khan, the right wing would not have been broken.

Shah personally led a charge against the left wing of the enemy. "As Sher Shah's division moved straight on, they encountered the Mughal force which had routed Sher Shah's right; they defeated it and drove it on the Emperor's centre division. Sher Shah having driven away the Mughal force in front of his son Jalal Khan; and his left, in which were his other son Adil Khan and Qutb Khan Banet (Khawas Khan also), having repulsed the troops opposed to them, fell on the Mughal centre." (Elliot, iv. 382).

The formidable artillery of Humayun fired not a single volley as there was no enemy in the front but only the cowardly fugitives of their own army. The Mughal Centre, where the best troops of the Emperor were posted and which was a veritable tower of strength, was overthrown, not by the Afghans but by his own followers. When all order was broken, the army became a rabble which fled towards the Ganges about four miles away. The Afghans made a havoc on the back of the flying ranks of the enemy. Many of those who escaped their sword perished in the Ganges. Thus Sher Shah gained a complete victory the price of which was no less than the throne of Delhi.

Pursuit of the Mughal Emperor.

After the victory of Bilgram Sher Shah crossed

he river, reached Qanauj, and from that place "desnatched Barmajid Gaur (Brahmaditya Gaur, a Raiput officer) with a large force in advance, but directed him not to hazard an engagement with the Emperor Humayun, and he also sent another force towards Sambhal. \*\* (Abbas, Elliot, iv. 383). The unhappy Emperor made his way to Agra with his shattered ollowing. Such was the irony of fate that the very beasants of Bhangaon† rose against him, blocked the oad, and closed their market against his retinue. Having reached Agra Humayun ordered Mirza Hindal to bring out his mother (Hindal's mother, Dildar Begam), and his tamily and their domestics, Ilso the treasures and stores. (Stewart's Jauhar, p. 23). Hastily leaving Agia, he marched through Mewat for Lahore. Barmajid Gaur entered Agra, and kiled a number of Mughals, who were perhaps non-combatant stragglers from the main body of Mughal fugitives. Sher Shah, who mainly occupied himself in settling the conquered territories, reached

<sup>\*</sup>There run (Rennell's map) two large roads from Qanauj, one ding to Delhi and another to \gra through Mainpuri district.

e Emperor took the road to Agra Barmajid Gaur followed him re. One branch of the Delhi road reaches Sambhal Nasir Khan bably marched through it to occupy Delhi which was a more portant position than Sambhal.

<sup>†</sup> On the Grand Trunk Road in Mainpuri district. See Stewart's ibar. p. 23

Agra a few days later. He severely reprimanded Barmajid for his needless cruelty, and, uniting Khawas Khan with him in command, sent them off to continue the chase of the Emperor. The object of the pursuit was not to capture the Emperor, but to scare him out of Hindustan. Humayun marched twenty to twenty-four miles a day during his retreat, and in this way he reached Sarhind via Rohtak and Hissar. Mirza Hindal was stationed at Sarhind to cover the passage of the Sutlej. Humayun crossed over to Machiwara, and from that place reached lalandhar. Mirza Hindal was ordered to fall back from Sarhind to Ialandhar. Here the Mirza made another stand to oppose the enemy. When Humayun reached Lahore (about the middle of Safar 947) Mirza Hindal retired across the Sultanpur river. The Afghans reached the Sultanpur river about the beginning of Rabi I. 947 (July, 1540), when the rainy season put an end to their advance further. Khawas Khan halted at Sultanpur and waited there for about three months.\*

Sher Shah, having passed a few days at Agra, proceeded to Delhi. He appointed Haji Khan Batni to the Governorship of Mewat. At Delhi again, the

<sup>•</sup> Humayun left Lahor about the end of Jamada II. 947 (3rd October—31st October, 1540) when the Afghans crossed the river.

mplaints of the people of Sambhal about the cruelty doppression of his lieutenant reached his ears. He graded the tyrannical officer and placed over him Khan Sarwani, a man renowned for his vigour and stice. Then he proceeded towards the frontier of e Punjab to watch the proceedings of Humayun at thore.

Humayun got three months' respite at Lahore; t he could not reunite the Mughals even in the face such a great calamity. Kamran Mirza was mainly sponsible for the frustration of his exertions. ared that if Humayun were allowed to have a rmanent footing in the Punjab, he might be deprivof Kabul and Qandahar also by his brother. ought it safer to hand over the Punjab to Sher Shah, d with this purpose, entered into secret negotiations th him. (See Akbarnama, i. 358-359). Gulbadan ys, "During the three months that the Emperor was Lahore, word was brought day after day, 'Sher nan has advanced four miles', 'six miles', till he is near Sarhind......The Emperor sent him [a ırkoman named Muzaffar Begl with Oazi odullah\* to Sher Khan to say............'I have

<sup>\*</sup> Abul-Fazl says that this Qazi Abdullah was the sadar of mrah Mirza, who made the Qazi the channel of his treacherous cotiations. The Qazi informed Sher Khan of the disunion between mayun and his brothers and induced him to advance upon Lahor kbarnama, i. 358-359).

left you akshu Langah, the chief of Uch, relieved alone, aness of the Emperor, and through his help between ye crossed the river. As the Emperor\* of God, dut of the political boundary of Hindustan, you Kabishan, who had been pursuing him all along, Nama, up the pursuit from the western bank of the meelum.

Sher Shah was at Sarhind when the peace negotiations with Humayun and the secret correspondence with Kamran Mirza were being carried on. When the Afghans resumed their advance upon Lahore, he guitted Sarhind, and bestowed the district on Khawas Khan, who being away on active service, entrusted its government to his slave Malik Bhagawant, a Hindu eunuch slave as the name shows. On arriving at Lahore, he did not halt there very long, but marched hurriedly westward. When he reached the banks of the Cheenab, he heard the news of the separation of the Mughal forces into two parties, one party under Humayun marching towards Sindh and the other following Kamran Mirza to Kabul. Sher Shah proceeded on to Khushab, and despatched several officers with large armies to pursue the Mughals. "He instructed them not to engage the Emperor, but to drive him beyond the

<sup>\*</sup> Humayun reached Bhakkar on the 28th of Ramzan 947 H 26th January, 1541.

borders of the kingdom." (Abbas in Elliot, iv. 387). The Afghan army also divided itself into two parts, one division under Khawas Khan followed the Emperor, and the other party under Qutb Khan\* Banet pursued the party of Kamran Mirza. Khawas Khan followed the Emperor as far as the Punjnad river (to the west of Uch, where the waters of the Jheelum, the Sutlej, the Chinab, the Ravi and the Beas are united in one mighty stream and flow into the Inclus). Qutb Khan's party probably turned back from the Inclus, when Kamran crossed it.

The conquest of the Gakkhar country and Sher Shah's return to Bengal.

Sher Shah stayed at Khushab for some time to reorganize the administration of the conquered territories. Various Baloch chiefs, such as Ismail Khar, Fath Khan Dudai\* and Ghazi Khan Baloch,

<sup>\*</sup>This differs from Abbas, whose statement is inconsistent and contradictory. For the grounds of my deviation, see "Abbas Sarwani" in the Appendix.

<sup>\*</sup> Nizamuddin (Persian text p. 230) MS. Makhzan (p. 40) and Ferishta (Persian text, p. 226) add "Dudai" after Fath Khan. That all the three were Baloch chiefs is not to be doubted; but Dudai Lab a special significance. It was the title of the powerful Baloch chief of a particular family, and continued to be used down to the days of the Khulasat. The following quotation will make it clear:—"Westward of Multan, five kos on the further side of the river Cheenab.

came and waited on him. Fath Khan Dudai, a powerful Baloch chief, probably ruled over the Sindhsagar Doah, between the Indus and the Chinab. In the earlier half of the 16th century, Baloches were to be found even further north-west: Babur (Memoirs, p. 383) speaks of "Beluchis" located in Bhera and Khushab. Sher Shah wisely left these Baloch chiefs undisturbed in their possessions. Next he turned his attention to the reduction of the Gakkhar\* country. The mountainous tract between

\_\_\_\_\_

is the land of the Beluchis. In that country there are two chieftains; one is Dudai and has 30,000 horse and 50,000 foot soldiers of his own......" (India of Aurangaib, by Prof. J. N. Sarkar, p. 77).

<sup>\*</sup> There should not be any further confusion between Gakkhars and Khokhars as these two tribes have been clearly distinguished and their respective localities correctly ascertained by Major Raverty. He has been ably supported by another distinguished writer, H. A. Rose, I.C.S, in an article in the Indian Antiquary. We quote the following from it :-- "In an article entitled 'A history of the Gakkars," contributed to the Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal in 1871, by Mr. I. G. Delmerick, the Khokhars of the Muhammadan Historians were taken to be the Gakkhars, a tribe which is settled in the Rawalpindi district of the Punjab The late Major Raverty, however, expressed a strong opinion that the writer of the article had confused the Gakkhars with the Khokkhars, a totally distinct tribe, and a full examination of all the evidences at present readily accessible, has convinced the present writer (H. A. Rose) of the correctness of Major Raverty's position. The Khokhars were settled in the Punjab centuries before the Gakkhars, and were spread all over the central districts of the province before the Gakkhars acquired their seats in the Salt range, to which they are and have always been confined.......' (Vol. xxxvi., 1907, p. 1.)

the upper courses of the rivers Indus and Iheelum, bounded on the west by the Indus from Kalabagh to Attock, on the north by the Hazara district and the Siwalik mountains, on the east by the lheelum river down to the town of Khushab, and on the south by the Salt-range extending from Khushab to the Indus, (comprising the modern districts of Rawalpindi and Jheelum),—was inhabited by many warlike independent tribes. About 15 years before Sher Shah, when Babur visited the country the southern half, particularly the Salt-range,—"Koh-i-jud" of Babur—was inhabited by two principal tribes, Jud and Janjuha, and the northern half by Gakkhars, (Kakars of Mrs. Beveridge's translation). This tract was never fully conquered by any previous Emperor of Northern India. But the mastery over no other region has proved so essential to the safety of India as over this. It possesses great strategic value; an invader from the north-west can appear suddenly in

In the foot note of the English translation of the Tabakat-i-Nasiri, Major Raverty gives three spellings Gakkhars, Gakhars and Ghakhars. In foot-note 1, p. 1043 he ridicules Surgeon Major Bellow, C.S.I., for identifying the Kakar Afghans with the Gakkhar tribe of Indians in the north of the Punjab. (Ibid. p. 1132) Raverty writes Gakhar and not Kakar—as we find in Mrs Beveridge's translation of the Memoirs of Babur—as the turbulent people referred to by Babur—For detailed information about Khokhars and Gakkhars see the Eng. trans. of the Tabakat-i-Nasiri, p. 455n-477n.

the very heart of the Puniab by marching stealthily through this region from Attock to Rohtas. Indeed beginning with Alexander the Great, many a mighty conqueror entered India through this route and swept away dynasty after dynasty from the throne of Delhi. Sher Shah, with his soldierly instinct, at once found that the greatest danger to his new-own empire was to be apprehended through this region. Kamran Mirza, whom he had deprived of the Punjab, was at Kabul with his strength enhanced by the adhesion of the greater portion of the army and following of Humayun, and Haidar Mirza was engaged in the conquest of Kashmir. They might at any time join hands and attempt at recovering the Punjab. such a combination the Gakkhar country was the most advantageous place. Kamran could advance through the Attock-Hassan Abdal route and Haidar Mirza could descend from the mountains of Kashmir through the Baramula pass, and marching through Rawalpindi form a junction with Kamran. Thus the conquest of this region became an urgent military necessity to Sher Shah.

"Sher Shah entered this region with his army and sent a person to the chiefs of Gakkhars summoning them to come to his presence. That body (of Gakkhar chiefs) from the pride of their large following, sent several sheaves of arrows and two tiger cubs as their gifts to him, as if to say, 'We are tigers and soldiers. From us you cannot demand anything except tigers and arrows'.—Sher Shah became very angry and replied to the agents, 'Be at your ease. By the grace of God, I will thrust such a wedge in the hearts of you all that till the day of Resurrection no man shall be able to pull it out.' He then marched against the chiefs of Gakkhars and made a tour of the mountains circumadjacent, until a proper place was found, where he caused a fortress to be built called (afterwards) Rohtas." (MS. Makhzan, p. 41. Dorn p. 131). Rai Sarang Gakkhar refused allegiance to Sher Shah and kept up a brave struggle till the reign of his son Islam Shah. Sher Shah laid waste the country of Sarang Gakkhar and carried many Gakkhars into captivity.

 auxiliaries\* of Sher Khan, boldly marched out of the hill district......" (Tarikh-i-Rashidi, 485). Though Kachi Chak could not regain his throne, yet he kept the Mirza too busy to entertain any hostile projects against Sher Shah. Sher Shah, true to his principle of "Thorough", would have completed the subjugation of the Gakkhar country as far as the Indus and thus secured a scientific and a natural frontier for his Empire. But the arrival of the report of the rebellious designs of his Governor of Bengal, drew him away from his work.

Sher Shah left Haibat Khan Niazi, Khawas Khan and several other able lieutenants with 50,000 troops in the Gakkhar country, and himself marched hurriedly for Bengal about the middle of March†, 1541.

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;5 000 horsemen under the command of Husain Sarwani and Alawal Khan were sent as auxiliaries" (Nizamuddin Persian text p 616 Kashmir section) Ferishta (Pers text p 355 second book) copies Nizamuddin almost word for word. But Briggs' translation of the same passage (iv 498 499) is irritating and repulsive by its in accuracy throughout. He writes "Atchy Chuk" (1) in place of Kachi Chak and adds two elephants to Sher Shah's auxiliary forces.

<sup>†</sup> Mirza Haidar's statement that Kachi Chak obtained his auxi liaries from Sher Shah in the beginning of spring (middle of February) shows that Sher Shah was there till that season in the Punjab By his "spring" he must have meant the spring (February March) of 1541 AD, because he tells us afterwards that the defeat of this auxiliary force as well as his final conquest of Kashmir was completed on 8th Rabi II 948 (1st August, 1541) The date of Sher

## Sher Shah in Bengal.

Sher Shah had left Khizr Khan as the Governor of Bengal when he started for Oanaui to fight the Mughals in January, 1540. His continued absence for more than a year, and his busy occupation at the other extremity of India (in the Gakkhar country) encouraged his governor to think of independence. Sher Shah's authority was not firmly established in the outlying territories of Bengal and many of the officials of the fallen dynasty were probably suffered to retain their posts, on submission, in the new regime. Khizr Khan strengthened his hands by marrying the daughter of Savvid Mahmud Shah, the late king of Bengal, and thereby enlisting the sympathy of the supporters of the fallen dynasty. This marriage also imparted to his ambition a colour of legitimacy in the eyes of the people of Bengal. Though he did not openly assume the kingly title he began "To sit on the Toki" after the manner of the

Shah's departure may be a little later but by no means earlier. (See Tarikh-i-Rashidi, pp. 485 486)

<sup>\*</sup> Abbas says that 'Toki' means the roof of a house. (MS p. 205) (Bala-i-tauki ke 'aabaiat az bam-i-Khanah ast) Dowson writes (I arikh-i-Khan-i-Jahan Lodi in Elliot v. p. 115), "Sher Shah....... received the intelligence that Khizi Khan Turk, the governor of Bengal (maintained a princely household) and sat on the roof of the palace after the manner of the kings of Bengal..." But the text in our MS. Makhzan (p. 43) simply says "Khizi Khan had married

kings of Bengal." (Abbas, see Elliot, iv. 390). Khizr Khan's actions had been reported to Sher Shah by his spies. He was much annoyed at this, and wishing to avert the evil ere it could take root, set out for Bengal from the Gakkhar country about the middle of March 1541. Khizr Khan was surprised and his plans disconcerted by the sudden appearance of his master before Garhi\* towards the end of May. Having no power to resist, he came to meet Sher Shah and gave him a royal reception. Sher Shah reprimanded him for his taking in marriage the daughter of Mahmud Shah and sitting on the Toki; and he further ordered him to undergo a severe punishment and to be put in chains. went to Gaur and set about reorganizing the administration of the province of Bengal.

To keep the governors of Bengal in allegiance to the throne of Delhi had been a problem of the greatest magnitude since the beginning of Muslim rule in India. Ziauddin Barni commenting upon the chronic rebellion in Bengal, remarks:—"Shrewd and knowing people have given to Lakhnauti the name of Bulghakpur (the city of strife), for, since the time when Sultan Muizzu-d-din Muhammad Sam conquered Delhi, every Governor that had been sent

the daughter of Sultan Mahmud, the (late) king of Bengal, and was behaving towards the people in the manner of sovereigns."

from thence to Lakhnauti, took advantage of the distance and of difficulties of the road, to rebel. If they did not rebel themselves other rebelled against them, killed them and seized the country' (*Tarikh-i-Firoz Shahi* in Elliot, iii. 112).

Bengal was annexed to the Delhi Empire by Altamish, who appointed his son Nasiruddin Mahmud as the Covernor of the province in 1226. and it remained attached to the Empire till the declaration of independence by another Nasiruddin Mahmud (commonly known as Bughra Khan) the son of Balban, in 1287. During this period of 61 years, two powerful governors Izzuddin Tughral Khan (1233-1244) and Ikhtivaruddin or Mughisuddin Uzbak ((1246-1257) ruled Bengal for 11 years each in virtual independence and extended their conquests as far as launpur and Oudh. During the period of confusion which followed the death of Altamish, excluding these twenty-two years of their rule, 13 governors paying some sort of allegiance to the Delhi Emperors, ruled Bengal for 39 years at the average of three years each. The ostensible signs of obedience of these governors were no more than this that they did not assume the title of Shah\* or strike

<sup>\*</sup> Bengal was an independent monarchy with her rulers bearing the title of Shah, from 1287 to 1538 A.D. with only a break of nine years (from 1330 to 1339) preceding the founding of the Elias Shahi

coins and read the khutba in their own names. Anything more than this was very seldom realized from them. No revenue from Bengal found its way to the treasury of Delhi except some occasional gift of elephants, jewels etc., in the form of tribute when it suited the pleasure of the Governor, or was extorted by the dread of the imperial army. There was no territorial limit of their jurisdiction, which they were free to extend by conquests. They carried on wars, made peace and formed alliances with their neighbouring kingdoms in complete independence. They kept as large an army as their resources would permit or their ambition require. In internal administration they were the sole arbiters of the fate of the millions of their subjects, unrestrained by any dread of interference by their suzerains. It was but natural that a soldier with unlimited powers placed at the head of a province so vast, so rich and so distant (more than 800 miles) from the seat of the Empire, should make repeated attempts to throw off the voke of allegiance. Change of governors was no remedy for the evil which was inherent in the institution itself. Nor was any mode of governing a distant province known to the previous Muslim rulers in India except through a military Governor,

dynasty by Shamsuddin Elias For dates etc. see R. D. Banerjee's History of Bengal (in Bengali) Vol. ii.

entrusted with a full discretion in the details of the administration, and left undisturbed in his post as long as he remitted regularly the revenue due to the imperial treasury. A governorship was as large as a kingdom, and means of supervision over them were either inadequate or non-existent. Under such a system the sole bond of the union of a vassal province with the mother kingdom was the slender thread of the allegiance of one single individual. The removal of a powerful and popular provincial governor often entailed as much difficulty as the change of a dynasty, and the unhappy people of the province suffered all the horrors and miseries of a foreign invasion, at the hands of the imperial troops sent to help the new Governor in establishing his authority over it.

Sher Shah after the dismissal of his Governor Khizr Khan, changed the military character of the provincial administration and substituted a completely new mechanism, at once original in principle and efficient in working. The following are the brief outlines of his administrative reorganization of Bengal:—

(1) He considerably reduced the unwieldy bulk of Bengal which comprised territories in the west as far as Bahr (a sub-divisional town, north-east of Patna), and the river Gandak,—sometimes even

pushed to the Ghogra,—on the northern bank of the Ganges. This was the usual boundary of Bengal, whether as a province of the Delhi Empire or an independent monarchy. In 1536 Sher Shah had annexed its territories from Garhi to Bihar. In the new territorial redistribution he probably made the Kushi, which flowed into the Ganges near Pointy (Rennell's map) opposite Garhi, the western boundary of his province of Bengal on the northern, and the Rajmahal hills from Garhi\* to Birbhum on the southern bank of the Ganges.

(2) Instead of placing the whole province under one military Governor as had hitherto been the custom, Sher Shah created several smaller governorships.; The Governors placed over these

<sup>\*</sup>Abul-Fazl gives Garhi as the western limit of Bengal and he includes only the Sarkar of Purniya and not any territories to the west of it in the Subah of Bengal. Abul-Fazl based his account of the Subah of Bengal on the information derived from the revenue settlement of Todar Mall, who in 1582 A.D. "gave in it what he found to exist with regard to both divisions and revenue; for Bengal was subjugated during Jahangir's reign and properly assessed by Prince Shuja." (Blochmann's History and Geography of Bengal, 1873, pp. 6 and 7).

<sup>†</sup> The actual words used by Abbas are: "Bangala-ra muluk-i tawaef sakht." Muluk-i-tawaef is a well known phrase in Arabic Literally it means a number of petty kingdoms each ruled by a distinct king or dynasty. In Elliot iv., the passage is translated by the words "He (Sher Shah) divided the kingdom of Bengal into different provinces." This does not convey the spirit and significance of the original expression.

divisions were all equal in status, independent of one another in the admitheir respective areas. They were appointed by him and were responsible to No historian tells us into how many go Bengal was divided. As we learn from the largest administrative unit of Sher Shentral India, was a sarkar (MS. p. 249 - Elliot, iv. 41 on the north not be very far from the truth to say the frontier of time, the 19 sarkars of Bengal proper en and on the the Am-i-Akbari were constituted by Shearh Mandal.

By this single stroke of policy he suation it has very root of the evil of chronic rebellings of Indian quite unlikely that 24 men—or about ancient and number—would suddenly combine ancient and Emperor to assert their independence. Explose been non-vying with his neighbour for the far integral part sovereign, was rather likely to serve as a ortant epoch. The limited resources of had ceased to would not permit him to keep so large mannexed to mannexed to

(3) To preserve the administrative Altamish in whole province he appointed at the heathen it had Fazilat, better known as Qazi Fazihadence on the celebrated for learning, policy and of Timur's superintend the whole, to preserve unanin of Mahmud the governors, and to report to him on their

## A Short History of the Medieval Independent Monarchy of Malwa.\*

In 804 A.H. (1401-1402) one Dilawwar Khan Ghuri, Governor of Malwa, declared himself independent and established the kingdom of Malwa. His more famous son Sultan Hushang Ghuri extended his conquests as far as Gwalior and Kalpi. Sultan Hushang's son, Mahmud was dethroned by his Wazir Mahmud Khan Khilii, who established a new dynasty which ruled Malwa for about a century Sultan Mahmud Khilji (r. 1435-69) was a just. capable and energetic ruler whose glorious reign of 34 years may be reckoned as the most brilliant epoch in the medieval history of Malwa. His son Sultan Ghiasuddin (r. 1469-1500) was a great debauchee, whose sole passion in life was to procure beautiful women for his harem, where he buried himself in pleasure, oblivious of his duties as a king. Sultan Nasiruddin (r. 1500-1512), who succeeded him. though a great drunkard and a cruel-hearted man. was a vigorous ruler. His death was followed by a civil war among his sons for the possession of the

<sup>\*</sup> Authorities:—Tabakat-i-Akbari (Pers. text, pp. 534-574) Ferishta (Pers. text Book ii., pp. 234-270). The latter commits mistakes where-ever he has attempted to improve upon his original, namely, Nizamuddin; Briggs' translation (iii. 167-269) is incomplete and inaccurate.

One of them. Mahmud, succeeded in establishing himself on the throne with the help of a Rajput chief named Medini Rai, who was a good soldier and a shrewd politician. Sultan Mahmud Khilji II., though possessed of great physical strength and personal daring, was devoid of the tact and political sagacity necessary for a ruler. He suffered the whole of his Muslim nobility—though faithless they were,-to be weeded out one by one by Medini Rai, who became too powerful for a servant. The Sultan, with the aid of an auxiliary force from the king of Gujrat, drove him away from Mandu: but his further attempt to crush him altogether ended in a sad defeat and personal captivity at the hands of Rana Sanga of Mewar, who had marched against him to succour Medini Rai. Rana Sange set him free, allowing him to reign in his capital Mandu, with a small territory in the neighbourhood. The rest of Malwa passed into the hands of the Rana (1518 A.D.).

This decay of the kingdom of Malwa and the overwhelming growth of the power of Rana Sanga' destroyed the balance of power in western Indian politics. Hitherto for nearly a century and a quarter the three kingdoms, Gujrat. Malwa and Mewar, almost of equal extent ap sty titler, had preserved a

balance, a political equilibrium among themselves; and the mutual struggle of these States had been the safety of the weak monarchy of Delhi.

But the victory of Rana Sanga over the united armies of Guirat and Malwa ushered in an era of aggressive ascendancy which was extremely prejudicial to the safety of the Delhi empire, because, such a dominant power in possession of the greater portion of Malwa, was bound to conse into conflict with the rulers of Dellii. Rana Sanga, who thus established the ascendancy of Mewar, proved a standing menace to the Lodi Empire under Sultan Ibrahim Lodi, and afterwards to Babur till he was completely overthrown by the Mughal conqueror at the battle of Kanwa in March 1527. But the subversion of the ascendancy of Mewar did not restore the balance; it only prepared the way for the establishment of the dominance of Currat. Bahadur Shah invaded Malwa in 1530, captured its capital Mandu, and took Sultan Mahmud Khilii II. and his sons prisoner. Malwa was annexed to the kingdom of Gujrat and the same attitude of hostility and menace that Rana Sanga had taken up towards Babur, was maintained by Bahadur Shah till he was all but crushed by rove umayun during his Gujrat campaign (1535-36) ii. 167-265,

## Malwa after Bahadur's death.

The death of Bahadur Shah in February 1537, left Malwa without a master, as his successors, who were too weak even to keep themselves steady upon the throne of Gujrat, resigned Malwa to its fate. Taking advantage of this confusion one Mallu Khan, formerly a noble of Sultan Mahmud Khilji II, and afterwards an active partisan of Bahadur Shah, took possession of Mandu, Ujjain and Sarangpur and set himself up as an independent ruler. Nizamuddin so 23, "When His Majesty Jannat Ashyani, with the intention of roctine out the mil (Sher Khan) marched from Agra towards the country of Bengal, Mallu Khan bin Mallu Khan, having assumed the title of Qadir Shah\* took possession of the tract of country

<sup>\*</sup> This shows that the e-had been another Mallu Khan in Malwa of which we have also other independent evidence. Ferishta (Pers. text Book in., b. 270) adds. These (two Mallu Khans) were originally slaves of the Khilps, and ofterwards became their nobles." In another place (Pers text Book ii p 261 Briggs, iv 242) the same author says that one Mallu Khan was sent against a rebellious governor of Chanders in 1501 A.D. Prebably this Mallu Khan excavated the tank near Chanders where Babur halted in 1528 A.D. (See Memoirs p. 597) and this man was the father of the second Mally Kha-Mrs Beveridge (see Babur's Memoirs, p. 597, foot note 8) iden. \*\* the excavator of the tank with the son who assumed the, "to Qadir Shah. But this seems to be wrong, the excavator against been the father. Her suggestion of 1532 cr 1533 as Mallu Khan's assumption of the kingly title : ... i-derinah) "'frat-i-Sikandari says that Imadas", gre ni, of his son Qutb зсе

from the town of Bhilsa to the Narmada river and divided it among his nobles. Bhupat\* and Puran Mal, the sons of Silhady, came from Chitor and seized Raisin and the territories in its neighbourhood. Day by day the power of Qadir Shah began to increase, and the zamindars of the surrounding country began to send presents to him as the sign of their submission' (Tabakat-i-Akbari, Pers. text, p. 591; some accounts of Mallu Khan will be found in Mirat-i-Sikandari Pers. text, pp. 271-272). Besides Mallu Khan or Qadir Shah there were two other chiefs, Puran Mal of Raisin and Muin Khan of Hindia and Sewas,—(on the southern bank of the Narmada)—who ruled large tracts of country in virtual independence.

The attitude of these chiefs of Malwa, especially that of Mallu Khan, had been openly hostile to Sher Shah even before he got possession of the Empire of Hindustan. As they had now greater reason to fear his aggression and retaliation, it was but natural that they should desire Humayun back

of ie for coining (sikkah) and the title of Qadir Shah to Mallu Khan behalf of Sultan Mahmud III of Gujrat (p. 272).

menactzamuddin commits a mistake here. Bhupat was killed in the Babur, wanwa in 1527 A. D. Babur mentions him among the all but crusson Pratage, whom his uncle Puran Mal brought with campaign (1535-36) [iii. 10.

on the throne of Delhi, and a revival and continuation of the struggle between him and Sher Shah, which was the only safeguard of their liberty and political interests. So, in case of any attempt on the part of Humayun to regain the throne of Delhi, they were likely to range themselves on his side. Humayun had the design of conquering Guirat and using it as a base for the reconquest of Hindustan. His Covernor Abul Qasim Beg till now (1542 A.D.) held the important fort of Gwalior. So the adherence of other chiefs of Malwa was likely to render any such attempt of Humayun extremely dangerous on the exposed southern frontier of Sher Shah's dominions. They might call in Maldey, king of Marwar, -- who occupied the dominant position in the anti-Empire politics vacated by the death of Bahadur Shah -to establish his authority in Malwa and form a powerful confederacy against Sher Shah.

The motive of Sher Shah in his contemplated conquest of Malwa is represented by all the Persian authorities as the feeling of personal revenge. Rightly or wrongly Sher Shah believed that his son Qutb Khan's death was due to the perfidy of the rulers of Malwa. He led an army, says Abbas, "to wreak vengeance on the rulers of Mandu against whom he bore an ancient grudge (kinah-i-derinah) for their not coming to the assis; " of his son Qutb

Khan" (MS. p. 207). Sher Shah's feelings must have been specially bitter against Mallu Khan (Qadir Shah) who had added insult to injury by deliberately sending to Sher Shah an insulting letter (with his own seal at the top) which reached him after he had heard the sorrowful news of his son's death. Nizamuddin and Ferishta, in their chapters on Malwa history, attribute Sher Shah's attack upon Qadir Shah to this insult. "When the eyes of Sher Khan fell upon the farman of Qadir Shah, he tore up the seal from the paper and putting it in the sheath of his dagger (Abbas says 'in his turban'), said 'If it please God, in time he will be called upon to explain this incivility'."\*

Sher Shah may have been influenced in some degree by these personal feelings, but the real motive which actuated him to march against Mallu Khan was more political than personal. Even if Mallu Khan had not given any personal affront to Sher Shah and had rendered assistance to Qutb Khan, no prudent statesman like Sher Shah would have desisted from depriving him of Malwa. The rulers of Delhi and Malwa, being immediate neighbours, were enemies by nature. A powerful Malwa

<sup>\*</sup> Ferishia Pers. text. p. 270 Briggs, iv. 272 does not strictly follow the original printing follows that of Ferishia, self. iii. 10,1 we been injured in print).

kingdom was a thorn, a constant menace to the Delhi Empire, and a disorganized and powerless Malwa was no less so because its weakness might invite some more powerful neighbour to conquer it. Sher Shah evinced no vindictive disposition to the chiefs of Malwa when he got them in his power. So it seems that he was mainly swaved by political considerations when he determined to conquer Malwa. He had two objects in view:--

- (1) To come into direct touch with the kingdoms of Guirat and Mewar, through which the Mughals might break into Malwa and ward off the evil from afar:
- (2) To forestal the design of Maldev in Malwa and crush Maldev's prospective allies there before they could cause serious trouble.

We learn from Abbas that Sher Shah immediately after his victory at Bilgram, had sent an urgent despatch to Shujaat Khan, Faujdar of Bihar and Rohtas, to march upon Gwalior and besiege it. The object of this move was to secure the southern frontier of the Empire by taking possession of imperial territories along the banks of the lamuna and the Chambal. His division was to watch the movements of the chiefs of Malwa, but by no means to enter into hostility with them. His granted al task was, however, the reduction of Gwalior. That impregnable fortress stood—if we are to believe Abbas—a siege of nearly two years (July 1540—April 1542).

Abul Qasim Beg, the Mughal commandant at Gwalior, despairing of his master's reappearance in Hindustan, opened negotiations for surrender.

Sher Shah, with his army ready for the march. was anxiously awaiting the news from Shujaat Khap. When he received Shujaat Khan's letter intimating the conclusion of a preliminary truce for the surrender of the fort, he set out on his expedition against Malwa in the beginning of Muharram 949 A.H. (April 17, 1542\*) As soon as he reached Gwalior, Abul Qasim Beg came to pay his respects and handed over the kevs of the fort. From Gwalior Sher Shah marched in a south-westerly direction to strike at Sarangpur, one of the most important cities in the possession of Mallu Khan. He seems to have encountered no resistance from any quarter during his march through the country—at all events, our Persian authorities are silent about it. None of Mallu Khan's nobles nor any zamindars who are said to

<sup>\*</sup> Nizamuddin (Persian text p. 231), Ferishta (Persian text Book I. p. 227) MS. Makhzan-i-Afaghana (p. 43) agree in placing the expedition of Malwa and Gwalior in 949 A.H. Coins of Sher Shah from Gwalior and Ujjain mint, bearing the date 949 A.H. are extant (See pp. 84-109. Cylifogue of the Coins in the Indian Museum Calcutta, by H. Nellinia.

have been partisans of Mallu Khan, seem to have stood by him in his distress. Abbas says that when Sher Shah reached Gagron,\* Puran Mal of Raisin came there in the company of Shujaat Khan with an escort of 6,000 Rajputs, to wait upon him. Sher Shah is said to have bestowed 100 horses and 100 splendid dresses of honour on Peran Mal and allowed him to return, Icaving his younger brother, whose name was Chatur Bhuj to serve the king (Abbas in Elliot, iv. 3927).

Having conciliated the Raputs, Sher Shah assumed his much and advanced upon Sarangpur. "When Sher Shah arrived near Sarangpur, Qadir Shah became all the more terrified and despondent (at the recollection of) of the indignity (sending of a Jarman with seal at the top), which he had offered before. Saif Khan, one of his trusted servants, said, 'As you have no power to resist him, it is desirable to go quickly to Sarangpur and there meet him in peace.' Qadir Shah thinking his advice to be a good

<sup>\*</sup>An important Sarkar of Malwa mentioned in Ain-t-Akbart (Jarrett, ii. 209). In modern maps it is written Gagroni. It is situated in long. 76° 30". Iat. 24° 5" and is about 100 miles due north of Sarangpur

<sup>†</sup> If there be any truth in this story—which is mixed up with a little romance,—it becomes evident that Shujaat Khan, during his two years' stay on the borders of Malwa, hi, Nalready won over Puran Mal to his master's inteas the fief granted to

one, left Ujjain for Sarangpur" (Tabakat-i-Akbari p. 591). Sher Shah received Qadir Shah\* most graciously, exalted him by the bestowal of princely gifts and honours and soothed him by showing greater and greater kindness. He ordered that the royal guest should be given a scarlet tent and entertained with hespitality befitting his rank. (MS. Abbas. p. 209). Cadir Shah was also given an excellent bedstead, sleeping garments (jama-i-khwab) and bodding (asbab-i-toshak khana). (Ferishta, Pers. text, Book ii., p. 270 and Nizamuddin, p. 592). Having halted one day at Sarangpur, Sher Shah started for Ujjain (about 50 miles south-west of Sarangpur), the capital of Qadir Shah. "Within a few days (of Sher Shah's arrival at Ujjain) Muin Khan, the adopted son of Sikandar Khan, came of his own accord to pay homage to Sher Shah, who exalted him with the title of Sikandar Khan and the grant of proper fiefs"† (Ferishta, Pers. text, Book ii., p. 271).

<sup>\*</sup>Our MS Makhzan (p. 44) says that Mallu Khan reached Sarangpur early in the morning. But he seems to have reached Sher Shah's camp in the evening as the necessaries supplied to him show.

<sup>†</sup> To avoid confusion we shall call Sikandar Khan's son by his original name of Muin Khan as does Erskine, and not by the new title Sikandar Khan conferred by Sher Shah. Muin Khan was originally the son of oil-man. Abbas calls the ruler of Sewas Sikandar Khan Neelin by onfusing the father with the son

Malwa was apparently subjugated by Sher Shah without shedding a single drop of blood. The chiefs of Malwa voluntarily submitted to him in the hope that the new conqueror, like Bahadur Shah. would not disturb them in their old possessions but be content with receiving their allegiance. Sher Shah, a few days after his arrival at Ujjain, issued a farman to the effect that His Majesty had been pleased to confer the Sarkar of Lakshanawati (Gaur\*) in exchange of the kingdom of Malwa upon Qadir Shah, and that he should forthwith send his family and dependents to Lakshanawati while he himself should be in attendance upon the Emperor. (See Nizamuddin, Persian Text, p. 592). Sher Shah, covetous of the kingdom of Mandu, did this, contrary to the expectation of Qadir Shah (Ferishta, Book ii., p. 271).

It was indeed a surprise not only to Mallu Khan but to the other chiefs of Malwa. The real character and motive of the new conqueror were unpleasantly revealed to them. But under the circumstances one could not find a more humane policy consistent

<sup>&</sup>quot;Mewati" designating the country of Sikindar Khan as given here by Ferishta is wrong, elsewhere he does not add Mewati after the name of Sikandar, the father of Muin Khan. [The word is Satwasi.]

<sup>\*</sup> Lakshanawati by Ferishta and Nizamuddin, Kalpi by Abbas, and Lucknow by the author of Tarikh-i-Daudi, Marhera by our MS. Makhzan, has been spoken of as the fiel granted to Mallu Khan.

with self-interest. The submission of Mallu Khan caused some difficulty to Sher Shah in dealing with him; to leave him in possession of his territories in consideration of his unqualified submission would have been a magnanimous act but a great political blunder—a mere sentimental impolicy; but to confiscate the whole and imprison him without any provocation seemed too odious even to the Shah himself. His policy of removing Mallu Khan from Mandu to Bengal (or to Kalpi, as Abbas says) does great credit to his statecraft. Herein he foreshadowed the wise and humane policy of Akbar towards dethroned sovereigns.

But Sher Shah's new policy was not destined to be a success. Mallu Khan could not but regard the assignment of a fief in Bengal, as a sentence of perpetual exile and imprisonment. He brought his family and dependents out of Ujjain and encamped in a garden intervening between the city and the camp of Sher Shah, ostensibly for sending them to his new fief but really for making his escape. When he next visited Sher Shah again, his countenance betrayed the signs of disappointment. Sher Shah warned Shujaat Khan\* to watch his movements closely with-

<sup>\*</sup> Nizsmuddin says that he was given a hint before at Sarsngpur "to be careful about the dear givest" (az mehman-1-aziz khabardar bashed) amidst magnificent and warra hospitality (p. 592)

out rousing his suspicion. But one night Mallu Khan fled with his family towards Guirat. Shujaat Khan was sent in pursuit of the fugitive with a large army, but the column returned unsuccessful from the frontiers of Guirat where Mallu Khan had taken refuge. Becoming suspicious of Muin Khan's sincerity and faith, Sher Shah ordered him to be imprisoned, and confiscated Hindia and Sewas. which were given to Shujaat Khan to maintain 4,000 horse. He appointed Haji Khan and Junaid Khan fauidars of Mandu and stationed them at Dhar on the frontier of Gujrat with 12,000 troops. Abbas savs that he gave Ujjain to Dariya Khan Gujrati, and Sarangpur to Alam Khan Lodi,\* two fugitive nobles from the Court of Sultan Mahmudt king of Guirat. Having thus settled the affairs of Malwa, Sher Shah marched towards the fort Rantambhor. "He having

<sup>\*</sup> That Dariya Khan and Alam Khan Lodi fled to Sher Shah is corroborated by Ferishta (Book ii p 226). But they fled at different times. Dariya probably fled in 948 and Alam Khan in 949 A.D. The story of the flight of that two Gujrati nobles will also be found in Mirat-i-Sikandari (Persian text p. 266 and 287). Sher Shah may have shown kindness and liberality to these two men. But it is doubtful whether they were given jagirs in Malwa. No other historian mentions this Abbas also says later on (see Elliot, iv. 398) that Ujjain and Sarangpur were bestowed upon Shujaat Khan only a few months after.

<sup>†</sup> Mirat-i-Sikandari (Pers. text. p. 264) calls him Sultan Mahmud Sani (the Second); but he seems to have been the third of the name who sat on the throne of Gujrat.

sent smooth-tongued ambassadors inside the fort, got possession of it by peaceful negotiations from the officers of Sultan Mahmud Khilji." (*Tabakat-i-Akbari*, Pers. text, p. 231.) Abbas says that it was peacefully surrendered by "Usman Khan whose name was previously Abul Farra." Sher Shah made over the fort to his eldest son Adil Khan, and himself returned to Agra about the end of June, 1542.

After Sher Shah's return from Malwa his lieutenants had to fight severe battles with Mallu Khan and Nasir Khan (brother of Muin Khan). Nasir Khan collected an army from Sewas (Ferishta) consisting of 6,000 horse besides 200 elephants (Abbas). Shujaat Khan advanced to give him battle with 2,000 horse. A severe action was fought at Nilgarh;\* when the two armies were commingled together, part of Nasir Khan's and part of Shujaat Khan's force were put to flight. Three men had sworn an oath to attack Shujaat Khan with the object of capturing him alive and retaining him as a hostage for Muin Khan (Ferishta, Book II., p. 271). One of these

<sup>\*</sup>Elliot's MS has a variant "Mahal karra". Our MS, writes "Nilgarh". We have not been able to identify either of them Makhzan-i-Afaghana places it in the vicinity of Gurra (Dorn's Historiu of the Afghans p. 132.) Perhaps the battle was fought on the border of Gondwana, as we learn from Nizamuddin that Shujaat Khan marched towards Sewas and Hindia to meet Nasir Khan (Tabakat i-Akbari, p. 572).

gave Shujaat Khan a stab in the neck with a dagger. the second wounded him in the nostril with a spear thrust and broke the front row of his teeth: the third having dealt him a blow with a sabre, caught hold of his hair to take him alive before Nasir Khan. Shujaat Khan struck him with his sabre on the hand and cut it off, and so freed himself. Jujihar Khan, (Elliot reads Jajhar Khan), who was one of Shujaat Khan's own tribe, slew the second horseman and Mubarak Khan Sirini (? Sarwani) killed the third. Thus rescued, Shujaat Khan again raised up his standard which had fallen down, and his troops who had fled in the first encounter returned. and rallying round him fought till the victory was gained. (Abbas MS. pp. 214-217=Elliot, iv. 395-396).

A few days after this victory, when Shujaat Khan was still confined to his bed, covered with six wounds on the face and arms a letter arrived from Haji Khan, the jagirdar of Dhar, with the news that Sultan Qadir Shah was marching against him from Banswara\* and that a battle was expected every day. "Shujaat Khan, at once started, borne in a palki, for Dhar. At night he reached the camp of Haji Khan, woke him from sleep, and planned an attack

<sup>\*</sup> North-west of Dhar, on the Gujrat frontier, east of Ahmadabad and south of Dongarpur (long. 74°, lat 28°).

upon the enemy at that very hour. The surprise was completely successful; Qadir being defeated fled to Gujrat. (Ferishta, ii. p. 271, Nizamuddin 593).\* When Sher Shah heard the news of these victories and the devoted services of Shujaat Khan, he recalled Haji Khan to his presence and rewarded the victorious general with the governorship of the whole country of Mandu, bestowing on him a mansab of 12,000 horse.†

In the Persian authorities Muin Khan bin Sikandar Khan's fief is spoken of as Hindia Sewas. Now, Hindia (modern, Handia) is a well-known town on the schank of the Narmada (77.3 E., 22.30 N.). Sewas is evidently a mistake for Satwas, a place 20 m west of Handia, but north of the Narmada (Ind. At. 53 S.W.) Sewas cannot be a copyist's error for Dewas, because the larger town of that name is too far north-west of Hindia and too near Ujijain, while another Diwas, some six nules is of Hindia, is too small a place. Sikandar is described in the Persian MSS by the epithet Sewasi, a mistake for Satwasi, and not by Mewati. The Ain (ii. 207) gives both Dewas and Satwas as mahals of Sarkar Hindia. Can it be Seont? []. Sarkar

<sup>\*</sup>The passage in our MS as well as the translation of Elliot suggests that Haji Khan was made a twelve Hazari. But it seems to be wrong. The honour was certainly bestowed upon Shujaat Khan for his distinguished services. This conjecture is supported by a subsequent passage of Abbas himself. "Certain persons who were envious of Shujaat Khan, said that Shujaat Khan kept no troops, though he had to maintain 12,000 horses .....On hearing this news Shujaat Khan came to Kachwara......75,000 horses he passed under the brand and he said that the rest were in his districts." (Elliot, 1v. 406-407).

<sup>†</sup> Both Nizamuddin and Ferishta say that at this time Shujaat Khan had only 150 horsemen with him. In Nizamuddin we find that he met Haji Khan "in the neighbourhood of Komly Mirarso," a place difficult to identify.

## CHAPTER IX

## INIRIGUES OF MALDEV.

About a month after his return to Agra from Malwa, Sher Shah found himself in a most critical situation created by Maldev's intrigue with Humayun, and the arrival of the latter in Marwar, (17th Rabi II. 949 A.H., 31st July, 1542, Akbarnama, i. 372), with the object of reconquering his lost heritage with the help of the Rajputs. The underlying cause of Maldev's unprovoked hostility towards Sher Shah will be found in the following life-history of the Rajput prince, and the political condition of Hindustan at the date of his sending the invitation to Humayun at Bhakkar twelve months before this time.

Maldev ascended the throne of Marwar in 1532 A.D. At his accession it was a small impoverished State of second-rate importance. Within 5 or 6 years he, by his shrewd policy and incessant military activity, reconquered the whole of Marwar proper from his powerful disobedient vassals, annexed the Bikanir State (ruled by a younger branch of his house) and considerably aggrandized himself at the expense of Jesalmir, Mewar and Amber. Abu, Sanchor and Siwana on the south; Pokaran, Derawal (long. 72°,

lat. 28°) on the west; Bikanir, Nagor, Fate (long. 75°, lat. 28°) and Jhijiar (50 miles due. Delhi) on the north; Bednore, Aimir, Jahajpu Just of the Banas river, at the foot of a pass leadin f om Bundi to Mewar) on the south-east; Tonk long. 75° 31", lat. 26° 10'), Chatsu (long. , lat. 26° 36"; only 24 miles south of Jaipur city), Lalsunt (long, 76° 25", lat. 26° 36"), Toda (Bhim? long. 76° 52", lat. 26° 55') and Malrana (long. 76° 32", lat. 26° 17": about 17 miles north of Rantambhor). on the east,-marked the boundaries of his huge State. (See Tod's Rajasthan, pp. 850-851). He was endowed with greater political foresight, constructive genius and diplomatic ability than the common run of Raiput princes. He transformed a loose feudal monarchy into a compact and centralized State, and established various branches of his clan,-- "sowed the Rathor seed", as the national bard sang, throughout his conquered territories. He could muster from his own clan alone an army of 50,000 Rathors, the bravest among the brave peoples of Rajputana. By developing the salt manufacture of the Sambhar and other lakes in his dominion, he amassed a vast wealth which was spent in fortifying numerous towns throughout his kingdom. The great humiliation of Mewar, consequent on the reduction of Chitor by Bahadur Shah in 1535 A.D., exalted Marwar to the

first place among the Rajput States, and the decline of Gujrat on the deah of Bahadur Shah in 1537 A.D. established her complete ascendancy in western and central India, leaving to her no worthy rival besides the Empire of Delhi. The outbreak of war in 1538 A.D. between Humayun and Sher Shah in Bihar and Bengal opened new avenues of aggression to Maldev. The rich province of Malwa, which became a no man's land after Bahadur Shah's death, naturally attracted him. The conquest of Tonk, Toda and Malrana, which indicated unmistakably his design upon Malwa, seems to have been effected at this time. Naturally he desired nothing more than the continution of this struggle between the Mughals and Afghans without any decisive advantage to either, and possibly he would not have hesitated to throw his power into the scale against Humayun, had the forture of Sher Shah sunk too low. But in politics the friend of the days of adversity generally turns into the enemy of the days of prosperity. The victory of Bilgram automatically reversed the relation between them as Panipat had, 14 years before, made Rana Sanga and Babur implacable foes. occupant of the throne of Delhi, whoever he might be, was bound to be an object of suspicion, envy and dread to the independent rulers of Malwa and Rajputana. Maldev wished Humayun back on the

throne of Delhi and prepared himself to reinstate him there with the Rajput sword. With this object he had sent letters to Bhakkar, declaring his loyalty (to Humayun) and offering assistance in effecting the subjugation of Hindustan. (Tabakat-i-Akbari, Persian text p. 205 = Elliot, v. 211).

Humayun had reached the neighbourhood of Bhakkar on 28th Ramzan (26th January, 1541) (Akbarnama, i. 362) and resided there till he left it for besieging the castle of Sehwan (further down the Indus, between Tatta and Bhakkar) in the beginning of Jamada II. 948 (last week of September, 1541 A.D.) (Akbarnama, i. 366.) So the invitation from Marwar must have in all probability been sent between February and August, 1541 A.D. Maldev was a prudent and cautious politician, who must have had weighed very carefully the chances of success before committing himself to such an enterprise where failure meant his own ruin but little loss to Humayun. He probably opened negotiations with the Mughal Emperor in June 1541, when Sher Shah went to Bengal to counteract the rebellious designs of his Governor. That was indeed the most opportune moment for such a surprise as Maldev meditated. The greater portion of Sher Shah's army, about 50,000 troops under his best generals, was locked up in the Ghakkar country, 356 miles\* away from Agra. Another army was with him in Bengal about 700 miles† away from it. His southern and south-western frontier was sadly exposed; Gwalior still held out against Shujaat Khan, and the chiefs of Malwa were openly hostile. If Humayun had come to Marwar in the rainy season of 1541, Haji Khan (Faujdar of Mewat) and Shujaat Khan, who had not more than 25,000 to 30,000 troops, could by no means have resisted the onset of Maldev's 50,000 Rathors exclusive of Humayun's tollowing of about 3,000 Mughals.

The greatest advantage upon which Maldev could count for success was the absence of Sher Shah at a distance of 700 miles from Agra. Sher Shah's excellent arrangements for procuring news and conveying despatches might have taken to him the news of the Rajput and Mughal offensive within a week from its commencement. But it was physically impossible for him to arrive with the same haste at the scene of action with his army in the height of the

<sup>\* \</sup>gra to Delhi 84 miles and Delhi to Lahor 208 miles and Lahor Rohtas 64 miles. (See for stages and distances, *India of Aurang* by Prof J. N. Sarkar, pp. 98, 100 and 102)

<sup>†</sup> Ag to Allahabad 286 miles and Allahabad to Benares 74 miles and nares to Patna 155½ miles and Patna to Gaur 185 miles (?). For di nce and stages from Agra to Benares and Benares to Agra, see ib. pp. 111-112 and 110.

rains through muddy roads and across swollen rivers. However, the folly of Humayun proved the salvation of Sher Shah. Fortunately for him, Humayun took little notice of Maldev's representations, either because he suspected the fidelity of the infidel or doubted his chances of success. He clung to his chimmerical project of effecting the conquest of Guirat first and then attempting the re-conquest of Hindustan with Guirat as his base of operations. Having arrived at Bhakkar in the last week of June, 1541. Humayun sent an ambassador to Shah Husain Arghun, ruler of Tatta, with a message that the Emperor had been compelled to come to Bhakkar\* and Tatta from necessity, with the object of attempting the recovery of Guirat, and that he should come and wait upon the Emperor and consult with him about the conquest of Guirat. Shah Husain temporized for six months by sending complimentary messages (Tabakat-i-Akbari, Pers. text p. 203= Elliot, v. 207). Humayun left Bhakkar in August, 1541, and went to Pat't where he married Hamida Banu, Akbar's mother. This marriage displeased

<sup>\*</sup> The translation in Elliot, v. 207, omits this more important name. Humayun at that time had not gone to Tatta; the message was sent from Bhakkar. (See Tabakat-i- Akbari, Pers. text. p. 203).

<sup>†</sup> See Mr. Beveridge's Akbarnama (i. 363 foot-note 2) and Mrs. Beveridge's translation of Gulbadan's Humayun-Nama, p. 149 foot-note 3.

Mirza Hindal, who went off to Qandahar; Yadgar Nasir Mirza was about to follow him, but was induced to stay by Humayun's promise of giving the Mirza one-third of Hindustan after its recovery.

Humayun at last became convinced of the futility of his plan of conquering Gujrat with the aid of Shah Husain Arghun, whose insincere motive had row become manifest. Even at this time (September, 1541) the idea of going to Marwar and trying his lot with the aid of Maldey did not occur to him. The prospect of success in such an enterprise had not yet passed away: all the favourable conditions were almost the same as in July-Sher Shah was still absent in Bengal and Gwalier had not yet surrendered to Shujaat Khan. But instead of going to Marwar, the Emperor marched against Shih Husain to conquer Tatta from him. He wasted seven months n besigging the costle of Schwan (belonging to Shah Husein) half way between Phakker and Tatta, and at hist envelop the enterprise without achieving any success. When be retinated to Chapter he found its gates shut against him by Yadrar Nasir Mirca, who had been seduced by Shih Harrim. Nizamuddin thus describes the distress 1 condition of Humayun at this time:—"The men of Humayun's army being, in distress began to desert him by ones and twos to Mirza Yadgar Nasir.....(who) in his infamy

Humayun determined to reach Marwar by way of Uch (long. 717, lat. 297, on the eastern bank of the Chinab, about 85 miles south of Multan.) He started for it on 21st Muharram 949 A.H. (7th May, 1542) and reached that place about the end of May. 1542. Bakshu Langah, the zamindar of Uch. who had, during Humayun's flight to Bhakkar, provided boats and provisions for his party, now assumed a hostile attitude. He not only refused to supply provisions, but also prevented Humayun's men from getting them by purchase. They rambled in the neighbouring jungles (near Uch) for a month and a half (MS. Jauhar p. 76) eating fruits and the seeds of Sankar (?) trees, till they accidentally lighted upon the fort of Dilawar (Derawal of Elphinstone's map long. 71°, lat. 28°) belonging to Rajah Maldev. From Dilawar the royal party seemed to have travelled due south through the Jesalmir State. Jauhar says that Humayun, after suffering great hardships from scarcity of water, sighted a pond and a rivulet, where he halted for some time to rest his followers and allow the stragglers to join the camp. This rivulet can be no other than the stream which, issuing from the neighbourhood of Pokaran, flows into the lake Sirr, about 22 miles (in a straight line) north-east of the town of Jesalmir. From this place they reached Pokaran\* (?) by ar. casy march, and after one stage more halted at Fallodi† (long. 72°, lat. 27°). 30 miles north of Pokaran and about 120 miles north-west of Jodhpur. Humayun reached this place probably on August 1st\*, and despatched Atka Khan (on the 2nd?) as an envoy to Maldev at Jodhpur. (See Jauhar MS. pp. 76-78=Stewart pp. 36-38.)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Jauhar writes Phulur and Guibadan (see Mrs Beveridge's intislation pp 153-154) Scialmir which is 2 miles from Pokaran Maldev dismantled it to fortify Pokarar with its material (See Rajputana Gazetteer, in 263 and Lod' Rajasthan p 851) So Jauhar's Phulur is undoubtedly a mistake for Pokaran

<sup>†</sup> Stewart's foot note (p. 37) adds that Fallodi is 60 miles from Jodhpur. He certainly mistakes has for miles as the actual distance is 60 has. In Stewart's translation (p. 37) of Jauhar, we find that Humayun marched one stage more from Fallodi which would bring him to Daitchoo. 30 miles due south of Fallodi and 90 miles west of Jodhpur. This may be true. But I accept Fallodi on the combined testimony of Gulbadan and our MS. Jauhar.

<sup>\*</sup> Abul-Fazl (Akbarnama 1 372) says that Humayun encamped at a place 12 kos from Bikanir on 17th Rabi II 949 (31st July, 1542) But neither Pokaran nor Fallodi answers the description. This is, we think, the date of Humayun's entering the territory of Maldev at Satalmir.

Atka Khan reached lodhpur probably on the 5th August, bearing Humayun's lofty farman, desiring the Rajah to wait upon his imperial Majesty. Maldey owing to far-sighted political considerations did not proceed personally to welcome his procrastinating guest, who had made his appearance 12 menths after the date of invitation, without previous intimation. But Rajput hospitality was not dishonomed in him: making excuses for his inability to attend His Majesty in person, he sent to Humayun the customary sift of fruits\* and some ashrafis (gold coins) and armour with the cratifying message 'You are welcome! I give you Bikanir't. But the Mughal envoy suspected the good faith of Maldev who showed want of due respect for his master's farman by not attending him in person; his excuses appeared to him to be was ting in sincerity, and his suspicion was perhaps greatly enhanced by the absence of any sign of military activity in lodhpur, where he had

<sup>\*</sup> See Juliar's MS p 78 Stewart' trans p 38

<sup>†</sup> See Mrs. Beveridie e translation of Gulbadan's Humanum Nama p. 154. Gulbadan says that a heifts with the missage were sent t. Fallodi before Humanum's envoy left the camp for Jodhpur. Put this would suggest as if Maldev's men were awaiting Humanum's arrival at Fallodi vith the presents which was far from being the case. At Jodhpur about 150 miles away from Pokaran, Maldev scarcely got the news before the appearance of the Mughal envoyat his Court. Gifts were sent in all probability as the respectful response to Humanum's farman.

expected to see a large army fully equipped for his master's service. He communicated his own feelings to Humayun and perhaps gave him a hint to be on his guard. The gifts of Maldev probably reached Humayun on the 9th. But the secret communications of his agent and the fact of Maldev's non-attendance made Humayun suspicious of the Rajah's intentions. Jauhar says, "The above-mentioned (Maldev) made excuses and sent a small gift of fruits. But no sign of loyalty was visible which could comfort His Majesty." (MS. p. 74).\*

From his original encampment Humayun retraced his steps westward, becoming suspicious of the attitude of Maldev. Jauhar says, he "encamped at Kul-i-Yoqi (?) and anxiously waited for the news of Maldev from all quarters. In short, reliable news reached him that Maldev was bent on mischief and had no intention of seeing him; he marched from that place and halted at the pond of Sambhar."† [Hauz-i-Sambar, this seems to be an error in our MS. Jauhar: evidently Satalmir is meant] (Jauhar, MS. p. 79).

From Gulbadan ["There came here an envoy from Sher Khan, etc.", p. 154] and Nizamuddin

<sup>\*</sup> Stewart's translation (p 38) runs thus:—"We remained there for three days, without any hospitality being shown to us, or any comfort given to the distressed monarch."

<sup>†</sup> Jauhar could never mean by this the famous salt lake Sambhar on the border of Jaipur State.

(see Elliot, v. 211), we know that Sher Shah had sent an ambassador with a threatening message to Maldev and that this ambassador reached Jodhpur while Humayun's envoy was present there.

Nizamuddin says, "When Maldev was informed of the Emperor's weakness he was much alarmed; for he knew that he had not sufficient forces of his own to withstand Sher Khan. Sher Khan had sent an ambassador to Maldev, holding out great expectations; and the latter very ungenerously (az kamali-be-muravvati) promised to make Humayun a prisoner if possible, and give him over into the hands of his enemy. Nagor and its dependencies had fallen into the power of Sher Khan and consequently he was afraid lest Sher Khan should be annoyed and send a large army to his territory against Humayun."\*

<sup>\*</sup>The above passages unmistakably show that Sher Shah was well aware of Humayun's movements, and his readiness to enter into war with so powerful a prince as Maldev implies a previous course of military preparations. Humayun's envoy was at Jodhpur from 5th to 14th August. The simultaneous presence of Mughal and Afghan envoys suggests that the latter must have arrived at Jodhpur, on the 12th August at the latest. Abul Fazl savs that Humayun left Jesalmir on 10th Jamada I. (23rd August, 1542) for Amarkot From Jauhar and Gulbadan's accounts it appears that Humayun took about 5 days to pass through Jesalmir. So he must have begun his retreat from Marwar not later than 17th August, Humayun's envoy Atka Khan fled on 14th; otherwise it would not have been possible for him to reach Humayun's camp near Pokaran on the morning of 17th August.

(Tabakat-i-Akbari in Elliot, v. 211-12=Pers. text, p. 206.)

After his return to Agra Sher Shah had one month's time to organize his resources and take precautionary steps. With the possession of Rantambhor and Malwa, Agra became comparatively safe; at least a surprise from the south-west was impossible. He seems to have been mainly occupied in the Mewat and Delhi regions where his frontier came in touch with that of Maldev, and which he had had no previous occasion to visit. An alarming state of things existed there; Maldev possessed Ihujjur (long. 78, lat. 28; Tod's Jajawar, ser Rajasthan, p. 851), a town within 50 miles of Delhi. Anyhow Sher Shah was not taken by surprise when the definite news of Humayun's arrival in Marwar (31st July) reached him on the 4th August (?). He lost no time in a tedious exchange of diplomatic messages, but at once entered Maldev's territories and began to march upon Nagor.\* He made three or four marches before despatching his messenger (on the 8th August?) to Maldey, offering him the alternative of either himself

<sup>\*</sup>Nizamuddin's statement that Nagor and its dependencies had fallen into the hands of Sher Shah cannot be taken too literally. Before this incident Sher Shah had not conquered it and at this time in four marches from the frontier of Mewat, he could not reach even Didawana which is 70 miles north of Nagor. We suppose Didawana (salt lake) was the extreme point of Sher Shah's advance.

expelling Humayun from his territories or suffering it to be done by the Afghans, i.e., in plain words, giving battle.

Maldev did not accord an open welcome to Humayun, because he felt that the vigilant eye of Sher Shah was already upon him; and such a course of action would leave him no line of retreat and no plea to explain away his unfriendly act. At the time of Humayun's arrival he was not prepared for war, as he had no powerful standing army ready for immediate service. His forces consisted of the feudal levies of his clansmen, scattered throughout his wide dominions. Such an army could be mustered only with difficulty and delay. He had, moreover, given up all hope of the coming of Humayun; and with it all idea of an offensive campaign against Sher Shah. But the presence of Humayun, though at an inopporture moment. seemed once more to have roused his slumbering ambition. But to his terror and surprise, he found Sher Shah penetrating into his territories before even the Afghan envoy appeared at his Court to make a formal protest. Thus, Sher Shah forced him to make an immediate decision between peace and war. But war could not but be ruinous to Maldey, who decided to avoid it by all means. Having explained away Humayun's arrival in his dominion as accidental, he

submitted to Sher Shah's demand to expel him from Marwar. During this time, two Mughals were at Jodhpur; one was Atka Khan whom Humayun had sent there to learn Maldev's intentions, and the other was an ex-librarian of Humayun named Mulla Surkh, who had taken service with the Rana. The arrival of Sher Shah's envoy and Maldev's attentions to him very naturally caused them to suspect these proceedings as part of a treacherous plot of Maldev to entrap Humayun and hand him over to Sher Shah. So Mulla Surkh wrote to Humavun, "March at once from wherever you are, for Maldev intends to make you prisoner. Put no trust in his words. There came here an envoy from Sher Khan who brought a letter to say: 'By whatever means you know and can use, capture that King. If you will do this. I will give you Nagor (?), and Alwar, and whatever place you ask for.' " (Humayun-Nama, p. 154). Atka Khan went away without permission, to tell his master of the state of affairs at lodhpur. (Tabakat-i-Akbari in Elliot, v. 212). The departure of Atka Khan without leave, but surely with the connivance of Maldev, was accounted for as flight, and Maldev, to save appearances, had to send troops in pursuit of the Mughal envoy.

Humayun, having been warned by his agents, retreated precipitately for Amarkot through the

lesalmir territory. The following passage throws light on the fact that Maldev was not serious in arresting Humayun: "One morning three divisions of cavalry, consisting of 500 men each, were seen pursuing us in the rear......All the baggages were taken off the horses and placed on camels and the foot-soldiers were mounted upon the horses; but they numbered only sixteen troopers.........His Majesty remained behind. Shaikh Ali with seven troopers went against the enemy.......When they came near the enemy, they let fly their arrows. By the grace of God victory was obtained; upon that (i.e., the discharge of arrows) two troopers (not chiefs as in Stewart) of the enemy were severely wounded; and they fell down from their horses; whereupon the others dispersed and fled away defeated."\* (MS. pp. 80-81 = Stewart's—not very correct—p. 39.)

However, without further molestation, the Mughals entered the territory of Jesalmir, and after suffering untold miseries reached Amarkot, where they found a happy asylum.† A glimpse of joy and

<sup>\*</sup> Maldev's troops fled because, in all likelihood, they were instructed secretly not to press the fugitives hard but turn back after a show of attack which was only meant to deceive the accompanying agent of Sher Shah. So it is not strange that they fled at the first sight of blood.

<sup>†</sup> The current account of Humayun's retreat from Marwar is wholly inaccurate, owing to the wrong translation of Stewart or his

hope gladdened the heart of the unhappy prince at the birth of Hamida Banu's first child, the future Emperor Akbar.

Sher Shah and Maldev both were glad to avoid a war at that particular moment. The Afghans evacuated the territories of Maldev, who probably flattered himself for his imaginary diplomatic triumph over Sher Shah. Indeed, he descrycs credit for his great tact in freeing himself from a truly critical situation without incurring the odium of delivering Humayun into the hands of Sher Shah.

faulty MS. of Jauhar's book. A correct version of the story, as given in our MS., will be found in the appendix.

## CHAPTER X

THE CONQUEST OF RAISIN (1543.)

Sher Shah withdrew his army from Maldev's territory, about the end of September, 1542 A.D., when the news of Humayun's departure from lesalmir (for Amarkot, 10th Jamada I, 949, 23rd August, 1542 A.D., Akbarnama, i. 375), fully convinced him that there was no possibility of his return to Marwar. Abbas says, "That he went from Agra in the direction of Bihar and Bengal, and when he reached that country (Bihar\* or Bengal?) he was attacked by fever." (MS. Abbas, p. 216). But neither he nor any other Persian authority enlightens us as to the date and object of his departure, the period of his stay and his work there. Sher Shah seems to have started for Bihar about the beginning of December, 1542, with the object of effecting the administrative re-adjustment of that province. Before the days of Sher Shah there were no geographical designations such as South Bihar and North Bihar. †

<sup>\*</sup> Niamatullah (History of the Afghans, Dorn, p. 134) says that Sher Shah was attacked by illness when he reached Bihar and Patna.

<sup>†</sup> North Bihar or Tirhut was conquered by the Muhammadans two centuries after South Bihar.

Bihar meant only the tract of country on the southern bank of the Ganges, bounded on the west by the river Son, on the south by the hills of the Gaya district, on the east by the frontier of the independent monarchy of Bengal (represented by a line some 20 miles east of Bihar town) and on the north by the Ganges; -- roughly corresponding to the ancient country of Magadha. Dariya Khan Lohani's fief of Bihar-which he had held from the days of the Sharqi kings of Jaunpur, and the kingdom of Bihar under his son Bahar Khan Lohani (Sultan Muhammad) and grandson Jalal Khan Lohani, did not include a larger area than this. Sher Shah's acquisition of the Bihar kingdom added to it Sasaram and other parganas; (i.e., the Rohtas district), and his annexation of the Mungir district from Mahmud Shah of Bengal pushed the eastern frontier of Bihar to the Raimahal hills. Those districts on the northern bank of the Ganges, which now form part of the Bihar province, were in those days politically quite separate from Bihar. They were an integral part of the province-later on the kingdom-of Bengal, ruled by a governor whose head-quarters were at Hajipur. It has been already mentioned that Sher Shah excluded the districts west of the Kushi from his newly created province of Bengal in 1541 A.D. He now constituted one large province by bringing about the administrative and political union of the northern districts with those of Bihar proper; and the whole province from that date gradually came to be known as Bihar. Later on, this re-constituted province formed the Subah of Bihar\* in Akbar's reign. He found that the town of Bihar, about 16 miles away from the Ganges, was not a suitable place to be the head-quarters of the new province; so the deserted city of Patna (ancient Pataliputra) was rebuilt by him. The Tarikh-i-Daudi says, "Patna was then an insignificant town (kasba-i-muhtakar) dependent on Bihar. which was the seat of the Governor. Sher Shah came to Patna, and while standing on the bank of the Ganges, after a steady deliberation and excellent reflection said to his attendants, if a fort were built in this place the waters of the Canges could never flow far from it and Patna would become one of the greatest towns of this country, because the Ganges

<sup>\*</sup> Abul-Fazl thus defines the Subah of Bihar:—"Its length from Gadhi (Garhi) to Rohtas is 120 kos; its breadth from Tirhut (? this is a clear mistake) to the northern mountains, 110 kos. On its eastern boundary is Bengal: to the west lie Allahabad and Oudh. On the north and south it is bounded by hills of considerable elevation" (Ain-i-Akbari, Jarrett, ii. 149). The hills of Jharkhand lay to the south of Bihar, so its breadth cannot be measured from Tirhut on the northern bank of the Ganges, leaving out the greater half on the southern bank. The Newal Kishore Press edition of the Persian text commits the same mistake. (Ain-i-Akbari, third ed Book II. p. 66).

flows from the west and the river Gandak coming from the north falls into it, (lit., strikes in the waist) with a strong current. The strength of the stream (the Ganges) is broken; so it cannot advance towards the north. He therefore ordered skilful artificers and great architects to make an estimate for the construction of a fort where he stood. These experienced workmen submitted an estimate of 5 lakhs of Rupees, which was immediately lordered to be made over to trustworthy persons. The fort was completed within a very short time and became an exceedingly strong one. Bihar from that date was deserted and fell into ruin, while Patna became one of the largest cities of the Province." (MS. Tarikh-i-Daudi, p. 225, see also the extract in Elliot, iv. 477-478, which contains some errors.) He probably left Bihar about the middle of March, 1543\* for Malwa, to give the finishing touch to his conquest of that province by ousting Puran Mal from Raisin.

<sup>\*</sup> Abbas (see Elliot, iv. 397) Nizamuddin (Persian text p. 231) and Ferishta (Persian text p. 227) agree in placing the expedition against Puran Mal in 950 A.H. Prof. Dowson conjectures, (Elliot iv. 404, foot-note 1) that Sher Shah might have completed the work of the conquest in the beginning of 950 A.H. His guess is true; there is no other way of adjusting the dates of subsequent events. The Muharram of 950 begins on the 6th April 1543. Badayuni gives the date of the siege of Raisin in the following verse: "Qiyam-i-borgah bashad mubarak"—949 A.H. But he places the date of its fall in 950 A.H. (See Ranking, i. 476.)

The fort of Raisin (long. 77° 50″, lat. 23° 19″) stands on the highest hill of a detached ridge of the Vindhya mountains, stretching north and south for about 7½ miles, along the eastern bank of the upper course of the river Betwa. On the north and south two mountain streams cut off this ridge from contiguous hills, and thus add to the strength of its defence. On the east it presents a formidable front of unbroken rock wall of gradually increasing height from Balna (1722 feet, in the north) to Raisin (1760 feet). The hill upon which the fort stands juts out a little to the east.

Rai Silhady Poorbya,† father of Puran Mal, was a Chohan Rajput who first distinguished himself like Medini Rai as the adherent of Sultan Mahmud Khilji of Malwa in his struggle with his brother Sahib Khan, put forward as a claimant to the throne by his treacherous Muslim nobles. With the aid of the Rajputs, Mahmud made a clean sweep of the rebels, confiscated their fiefs, and conferred them upon the Rajput chiefs for their loyal service. Silhady received from him Bhilsa, Raisin, Ujjain and

<sup>†</sup> Our MS Makhzan-i-Afaghana (p. 47) calls Silhady Poorbya a Ghelot Rajput. Tod says that he belonged to the Tuar tribe (see Rajasthan, i. 320). But Poorbya by which Silhady was best known is a branch of the Chohan clan as Col. Tod himself says elsewhere. So it is perhaps more accurate to call him a Chohan.

pur as fief probably in 1503 A.D. on of old Muhammadan noble families and n rise of the Hindus to supreme importance · created much bad blood between the he rival creeds. The Muslims suffered oppression at the hands of the Raiput addin says. "Most of the Muslim and nobles (of Malwa) became heart-broken eading their wives and children by the hand they orsook their houses..... The wives and daughters of the Musalmans were seized by the Raiputs, made concubines and trained as dancing women." (Tabakat-i-Akbari, Persian text. 583). Soon afterwards Sultan Mahmud set about destroying the Raiput chiefs with the help of the auxiliaries sent by Sultan Muzaffar Shah II of Gujrat. But the attempt ended sadly in his defeat and captivity in a fight near Gagron, with Rana Sanga of Mewar who had come to aid the Raiputs (1512 A.D.?). Since then Silhady Poorbya, Medini Rai and other Raiput chiefs had transferred their allegiance to the Rana of Mewar. Babur\* mentions Silhady as a vassal of Rana Sanga

<sup>\*</sup>It is curious to notice that Babur calls 'Silhady' Salahu-d-din, Abbas Sarwani also calls him by the Islamic name (see Elliot, iv. 378). It cannot be said that Babur could not write the Hindu name, as we find more abstruse names spelt correctly in the Memoirs; so Silhady must have been known by his Muslim title at that time. His change of faith must therefore have taken place at a time before

who held a territory 'yielding 30,000 horse'. (Memoirs, p. 562.) Bhupat, son of Silhady fought in the battle of Kanwa, and fell on that woeful field of carnage with 6,000 horse. But the annals of Mewar brand Silhady as "the thief of Raisin" who brought about the defeat of Rana Sanga at Kanwa by deserting to Babur at a critical moment of the battle. (Tod's Rajasthan, i. 320.) Such a tradition opposed to authentic history cannot be accepted as true. Popular legend is everywhere prone to attribute great national disasters to some fictitious treachery. Bahadur Shah of Guirat, who had overthrown Sultan Mahmud Khilji II and annexed Malwa to his kingdom, attacked the possessions of Silhady and besieged Raisin in 1532 A.D. When the guns of Rumi Khan hurled destruction against the

Babur's invasion of India (1526 A.D.) This is important as it disproves the story of Silhady's conversion in the camp of Bahadur Shah as told by Nizamuddin, I erishta and Mirat i Sikandari. Beveridge (see foot-note 3 p. 305 Akbarnama) seems to countenance this error by quoting the story from Mirat-i-Sikandari. One still more glaring error is to be found in that foot-note, Bhupat, who died in the battle of Kanwa. 1527 A. D. (see Babur's Memoirs p. 573) is made to betray Mandu to Humayun in 1535 A.D. in revenge for the death of his father in 1532 A.D. (1)

<sup>†</sup> The accusation of keeping Muslim women in his harem is made against Silhady as Abbas later on accuses Puran Mal of the same crime. The same pious effort for the deliverance of Muslim women is credited to Bahadur Shah as Abbas claims for Sher Shah.

walls of the fort, the Rajputs, finding the position untenable, are said to have burnt the Muslim women along with their own families and fallen upon the foe 'to kill and die'. (For the campaign of Bahadur Shah against Raisin, see Mirat-i-Sikandari, Persian text, pp. 225-230.)

During the sack of Raisin Puran Mal, and the widow and son of Bhupat-who had married the daughter of Rana Sanga—were in Mewar. Bahadur was not satisfied with exterminating the Raiput influence in Malwa; the sack of Chitor by him in 1535 marks the climax of vindictive Muslim hatred towards the Raiputs which had originated in the early years of the reign of Sultan Mahmud Khilji of Malwa. After the death of Bahadur Shah (February, 1537 A.D.) Puran Mal was invited to Malwa by Mallu Khan, who wished to strengthen his hands by securing the adherence of the Rapputs against his Muslim rivals He wrested Raism and the neighbouring territories from the Muslims, of course with no gentle hand. He soon pushed his conquest to the neighbourhood of Chanderi, invested his nephew Pratap with the title of Rajah and laid the foundation of a powerful Rajput principality. The Muslims who had rejoiced over the fall of the infidels five years before, were now doubly aggrieved at the re-establishment of Hindu influence on the destruction of many Muslim families. It is not strange that they should pray for the coming of another Avenger of Islam.

But Sher Shah's campaign against Puran Mal towards the end of 949 A.H. (beginning of 1543 A.D.) in spite of his submission in the previous year was not undertaken out of a religious motive to punish Puran Mal for enslaving the families of the Muslims of Chanderi, as the bigoted Muslim historians fondly believed. Its real cause is to be found in Sher Shah's wise though stern policy of consolidation of his conquests in Malwa by transplanting the native chiefs from their original seats of power to some remote province if they would consent to be thus removed or by destroying them outright in case of refusal. No incentive of fanaticism was necessary, as the political object was a sufficient stimulant to move Sher Shah against Raisin. Puran Mal had been spared in the previous year because Sher Shah thought it more politic and convenient under the circumstances, -- wishing to destroy his enemies piecemeal and avoid an united opposition at the first stage of the conquest. Puran Mal's extraordinary escort of 6,000 Rajputs and Sher Shah's princely gifts to him implied mutual suspicion and insincerity. The intrigue of Maldev made Sher Shah distrustful of the Rajputs who, next to the Mughals, were his

most formidable opponents. The possessions of Puran Mal were skirted on the east by the hills of Bundelkhand, Garrah, and Gondwana, where many Raiput chiefs held sway. The majority of the native population of Malwa were Rajputs and Maldev, whose territories fringed a portion of Malwa, was a prince ambitious, powerful and diplomatic. One single fort unsubdued might overturn an Empire as Sher Shah could realize by contemplating the fate of Humayun. So he determined to safeguard himself against unknown dangers by rooting out Rajput influence in Malwa.

Sher Shah had formed the plan of campaign against Puran Mal before he left Agra for Bihar and Bengal, i.e., about December, 1542. His son Jalal Khan was ordered to take the field about lanuary. 1543 A.D. It is not definitely known under what pretext this unprovoked attack was made. We learn from Abbas that in the course of the final negotiations for the surrender of Raisin. Sher Shah offered the governorship of Benares to Puran Mal. Such an offer, or something like it for the peaceful surrender of his possessions, may have been made to Puran Mal before striking the blow.

The fate of Mallu Khan had been a sufficient warning to Puran Mal. who seems to have been well prepared for a sturdy resistance. Before Sher Shah

joined his son, the latter had conquered Puran Mal's territories as far as the town of Bhilsa. 14 miles north of Raisin. Upon his arrival, the Raiput chief retired behind the ridge of the hills on which Raisin stands and defended his new position with great bravery and obstinacy. Abbas says that Sher Shah besieged Raisin for six months, which seems too long a period to be probable; the siege may have lasted for four months, from April to July 1543. Sher Shah's operation against Raisin was not really the siege of one fort, but rather a blockade of the fortified chain of hills on one of which Raisin stands. It should not be supposed that the Rajputs were driven into the fortress and all ingress and egress of it stopped. Raisin was too small a fort to accommodate ten thousand souls, male and female, within its four walls.

No remarkable incident is mentioned in connection with the blockade except a mélee fought between 1,500 Afghans and the same number of Rajputs, in which the Afghans are said to have been victorious. (Abbas in Elliot, iv. 399-400.) But this is a mere camp-tale, as its origin shows, having no claim to authenticity. The Afghans seem to have fared ill in their surprise encounter with the Rajputs who possibly used to lure small parties of their rash enemies into the hills, as Sher Shah's strict order that

no Afghan should approach the fort shows. (Abbas MS. p. 221.) "Sher Shah then drew the artillery of other citadels to his camp; and planting it upon high scaffolds so hard pressed the fort that the garrison had scarcely time enough to breathe" (Makhzan-i-Afaghana, trans. by Dorn, p. 136). Another incident, thoroughly characteristic of Sher Shah, is mentioned by Abbas. Several heavy cannon had to be cast in the siege-camp for which Sher Shah issued an "order that copper (mas; translated in Elliot as "Brass") of every description, what they (the Afghans) possessed or on which hands could be laid. should be brought and melted into large mortars (deghs). All copper vessels such as large cookingpots (deghs) and dishes from the bazar and tents of the soldiers were procured and mortars were cast. Order was given to bombard the fort from all sides (?) simultaneously. When the balls struck the fort and holes were made. Puran Mal. alarmed at this. opened negotiations for surrender. (Abbas MS. p. 224 = Elliot, iv. 401.)

Though Puran Mal is said to have opened the negotiations, we find Sher Shah accepting with manifest eagerness and alacrity the very terms proposed by the vanquished party, viz., (1) The Rajputs with their families and belongings should be allowed to march away unmolested beyond the frontier of

Malwa; (2) Sher Shah should march back two marches from the fort before the Raiputs would come down, (3) Adil Khan (the eldest son of Sher Shah), and Outb Khan Banet should bind themselves by promises and oaths that Puran Mal's party should suffer no injury in property and person (Abbas in Elliot, iv. 401). These terms were ratified by Sher Shah without raising the slightest objection or pressing for any new condition of his own. This very compliant attitude of Sher Shah, made conspicuous by contrast with his subsequent breach of faith, is apt to make one suspect that he meditated treachery from the beginning. But on closer examination the original intention of Sher Shah is found sincere and humane. The main objects of Sher Shah's expedition were the acquisition of the fort of Raisin and the removal of Puran Mal from Malwa; these he meant to achieve with the smallest sacrifice of the lives\* of his soldiers. Puran Mal's offer to evacuate the fort satisfied all these conditions; so it is no wonder that Sher Shah accepted it readily.

The second and third points urged by Puran Mal, viz., the removal of the Afghan camp to a

<sup>\*</sup>Sher Shah's proverbial economy of his troops and tender regard for their lives, led the historian Badayuni to describe it in rather exaggerated terms, "Sher Shah would not give the head of one of his soldiers for a kingdom and to whom Afghans were far dearer than can be expressed." (Ranking's translation. Vol. I., p. 477.)

distance and pledge of faith by two nobles, were but necessary safeguards which were in no way either derogatory to the honour or prejudicial to the interests of Sher Shah. The Rajput and the Afghan encamped on the same ground were as sure to rush at each other as two rival bulls let loose in the same field. Sher Shah who knew the desperate character of both peoples, could realize the necessity of such a withdrawal in the interests of peace. The pledge of honour of powerful nobles, was quite in the nature of things in medieval India down to the latest days of Muslim rule, to reassure those who had reason to be distrustful of the sovereign. So there is nothing to warrant the conclusion that Sher Shah accepted the extravagant terms of Puran Mal without meaning to observe them. As an alternative to peaceful evacuation, Sher Shah had in his mind's eye the horrible scene of slaughter of his soldiers at the hands of the Rajputs, who would fall upon them with mad fury to find death, after the performance of Johar by their families. Four thousand Chohans were not likely to die before killing an equal number of Afghans, which meant more than double the casualties he had suffered in the battles of Chaunsa and Bilgram combined. Such a gloomy prospect was enough to deter him from driving his enemies to despair.

However, Sher Shah sent Adil Khan and Qutb Khan Banet (or Munib as in MS. Abbas p. 225) into the fort to ratify the convention upon these terms and take the necessary oath to satisfy Puran Mal. Four thousand Rajputs with their families and possessions came down from the fort and encamped at a distance of one march from the camp of Sher Shah.\*

What Sher Shah sincerely wished to avert was forced upon him by a sudden outburst of feeling in his camp. The Afghan troops had breathed for several months the anti-Rajput atmosphere in the society of their co-religionists in Malwa, who had undoubtedly suffered wrongs at the hands of these Rajputs. The minds of the Afghans were inflamed by exaggerated tales of oppression and they were hailed as the avenging host of the Lord. Sher Shah may have also heard these accusations before; but

<sup>\*</sup>Puran Mal had insisted that Sher Shah would march away two stages from the fort. A passage in our MS. Abbas p. 225, suggests that Puran Mal encamped on the spot where Sher Shah had encamped on "the previous day."

Abul-Fazl (Akbarnama, 1 399) çalls it "a dishonest treaty" and many others are inclined to concur with him, looking upon the affair as a whole. But what followed later on might not have been intended by Sher Shah in the beginning, especially when we find that he had no other end in view to be gained by perfidy. If he had determined on the annihilation of the Rajputs beforehand and been prepared to pay a heavy price in the blood of his soldiers for it, he could by pressing the siege as well have accomplished it without incurring the odium

he was not the man to suffer his political aim to be obscured by such passions and prejudices. His order forbidding the Afghans to seek battle with the soldiers of Puran Mal, and the great difficulty of access to the hills, had restrained the zeal of the Afghans. But the pent-up fury broke out with an accumulated impetus when they saw the hated infidels within their easy reach, encamped in the plain below. The clamour was probably raised on the very night the Raiputs evacuated the fort. Next morning the Rajputs seem to have begun their march unmolested. But the murmur against Sher Shah for letting the enemies of Islam.—for in no other light did the Raiputs appear to the excited fanatics,—escape with impunity grew louder. To prevail upon the Shah for allowing them to attack Puran Mal, the Afghans and the expelled Muslim lords\* of the neighbouring districts appealed to the venerable Shaikh Rafiuddin Safavi (whose great reputation for sanctity had won for him the title of Al Muqaddasa,

or the Sacred from Sultan Sikandar Lodi†), to use his influence with the king. The Shaikh, who had seen the golden age of Islam, when the Hindus could not

<sup>\*</sup>Some of these had been probably in the camp of Sher Shah from the beginning of the campaign, aiding him in his operations against Raisin by their local knowledge, in the hope of getting back their possession and retaliating their wrongs.

<sup>†</sup> See Badavuni translated by Ranking, p. 476.

get barbars to shave their beards, their bathing in the Ganges was forbidden, and their temples and idols broken by Sultan Sikandar's order,—was not the man to refuse his assistance in such a highly meritorious work. Nizamuddin says: "The ulemas, specially Amir [Mir?] Shaikh Sayyid Rafiuddin Safavi, issued a fatwa for the death of Puran Mal" (Tabakat-i-Akbari, text p. 231). There is no reason to think. that the religious code was unnecessarily twisted in the case of Puran Mal; for, we find 150 years after the Muhammadan theologians in the reign of Aurangzib issuing a similar decree Shambhuji, (the son of Shivaji), for his "having slain, captured and dishonoured Muslims and plundered the cities of Islam." (Prof. Sarkar's History of Aurangzib, iv. 403).

That Puran Mal had killed Muslims and deprived them of their possessions cannot be gainsaid. though his alleged dishonouring of their women is doubtful. The Makhzan-i-Afaghana says, "Shaikh Khalil Mir Sayyid Rafiuddin and others unanimously agreed in the point, that it was the duty of a monarch addicted to the true faith, in defiance of any treaty and oath, to extirpate and annihilate the infidels and impious." (Dorn's translation p. 137.) Sher Shah could not refuse to respect the fatwa of so eminent and holy a person without incurring the danger of a

serious discontent, verging on rebellion among the Afghans. In that frame of mind the Afghans armed with the sanction of religion, could not be restrained by any earthly terror. It appears that he was constrained to break his faith to avert a grave situation.

A division of the Afghan army with a large number of elephants marched under the command of Isa Khan Hajjab against Puran Mal. The Afghan army made a forced march in the night to overtake the Rajputs who had some twelve hours' start. At dawn they approached the camp of the Rajputs, who having been apprised of the situation, prepared for the last awful self-destruction characteristic of their race. With fire and sword the Rajputs destroyed their wives and children. With their minds thus relieved of all earthly concerns, they charged the enemy with all the fury of despair and died fighting to a man.

This act of Sher Shah has been severely condemned by all modern writers. It is regarded as the greatest blot on his character. That Sher Shah broke his word is apparent. But the act is less condemnable than the dangerous principle avowed—viz., "No faith need be kept with the infidels," which was advanced by the venerable theologian to remove the moral scruples of Sher Shah. If any one is to be blamed for this, it is Rafiuddin and not Sher Shah. It was in the power of the venerable Shaikh

to cool down the fury of the Afghans, by pointing out the immorality of such an act. Sher Shah deserves our unstinted sympathy when we consider what overwhelming moral influence and pressure of fanaticism were brought to bear upon him. The personal magnetism of a saintly scholar in oriental countries. over both the Hindu and Muslim communities, is well known; and the eagerness displayed in the 20th century by the frontier Pathans to become Ghazis and Shahids by making an attempt upon the life of a non-Muslim under most desperate circumstances, will give an idea of the fervour of their ancestors in the race for martyrdom four centuries before. To stand between Puran Mal and these fanatics crying for blood, was to stand between an infuriated tiger and its prey.

Elphinstone has stigmatized this act of Sher Shah with undue severity. He says, "No motive can be discovered for this act of treachery and cruelty. There was no example to make or injury to revenge, and the days of religious fury were long since gone by, yet there is no action so atrocious in the history of any Muhammadan prince in India except Tamerlane" (History of India, p. 456). That no motive can be discovered is sufficient to acquit Sher Shah of the accusation of treachery, unless we think that he indulged in wickedness for wickedness's sake.

Prof. Dowson brands this act as "The cold-blooded massacre of the prisoners of Raisin." (Preface Elliot's History, Vol. IV., p. viii.) But a more unjust and misleading remark than this is rarely met with in history.

The Rajputs who evacuated Raisin were not 'prisoners'. They surrendered the fort but not their persons to Sher Shah. They carried their arms, and no restraint was put upon their liberty. They were like a hostile garrison in arms allowed to march out with all honours of war. They did not die in 'coldblood' and their death was not 'a massacre'. They died in a hotly contested battle amidst heaps of their slaughtered enemies. Sher Shah's breach of faith made no alteration in the traditional mode of a Rajput's death, though it shortened the span of their earthly existence by a few days.

However, no historian will maintain that Sher Shah was incapable of treachery and perfidy—which are clearly brought to light in the case of Bibi Fath Malika and the capture of Rohtas. But the imputation of the same charge in the affair of Raisin is not historically true. Even if Sher Shah's act were one of barefaced treachery, the historian, in all fairness and justice, can only say that he was not above the morals of his age.

The Conquest of Multan and Sindh by Haibat Khan Niazi, Governor of the Punjab (949-950 H.)

The generals of Sher Shah such as Haibat Khan Niazi, Isa Khan Niazi, Habib Khan, Khawas Khan etc., who were left in the North-western frontier with 50,000 troops (MS. Makhzan-i-Afaghana, p. 41) at the time of Sher Shah's departure for Bengal in March 1541, did not get on well together and the misunderstanding between Haibat Khan Niazi and Khawas Khan had grown so serious that the latter wrote a letter to Sher Shah urging that one of them should be recalled\* to his presence. (Abbas MS. p. 217).

Haibat Khan Niazi was a good soldier and had a large tribal following. Khawas Khan though of a humble origin (a household slave of Sher Shah), proved himself the best general and was most respected by his contemporaries. He had conquered Gaur, extirpated Maharath Chero, and taken a distinguished part in the battles of Chaunsa and Bilgram. In the Punjab these two able soldiers were left with co-ordinate authority; so it is no wonder that they should quarrel. The letter of

<sup>\*</sup>MS. used by Elliot (Dowson?) seems to differ a little. In Elliot, iv. 397 we find "And requesting him to send a representative for each of them." Our MS. reads az do kas eke-ra dar takhi aqdam-i-khud talbid.

Khawas Khan reached Sher Shah's camp at Ujjain\* during his first campaign in Malwa, 949 H. (April. 1542). He nominated Haibat Khan Niazi Governor of the Puniab with the command of 30,000 horse and recalled to his side Khawas Khan, Habib Khan and Isa Khan Niazi with the remaining troops. Khawas Khan was recalled in May, 1542, and he probably took part in the military demonstration against Maldev in August, 1542. The appointment of Haibat Khan Niazi to the governorship of the Punjab was judicious, because he carried greater weight with the frontier Afghan tribes owing to his position as the chieftain of a powerful local clan. The Punjab had ever been the home of turbulent and warlike peoples who required vigorous handling. Khawas Khan, though most enterprising and valiant as a soldier, was essentially a sentimental, kindhearted man. None but an Afghan of noble descent like Haibat Khan with all the fierceness and energy of his race could keep that province well in hand. At this time the southern districts of the Punjab

<sup>\*</sup>Elliot's translation (see Elliot, iv 397) says that he received it during the siege of Raisin, 950 H. (April, 1543). The text in our MS. Abbas p. 217 is ambiguous. It says, "Sher Shah besieged Raisin; at Ujjain the letter of Khawas Khan reached him." Nizamuddin (see text, p. 231) seems to imply (by the sequence of events) that Multan was conquered by Haibat Khan Niazi in 949 H., before the expedition against Puran Mal. The incongruity of the sentence in our MS. shows that it has been misplaced.

demanded serious attention. The tract of country between the rivers Ravi and the Sutlej, from Kasur westward up to the borders of Multan, was for the most part covered with impassable jungles which were the haunts of thieves and highway robbers. This tract nearly coincides with Sarkar Dipalpur\* of the Subah of Multan (Ain-i-Akbari, ii.113, translated by Jarrett). Fath Khan Jat, a robber chief of Kabulah† after the destruction of the Lodi Empire, "had devastated the entire tract of Lakhi‡ jungle and caused such a confusion from Lahor to Delhi that complaints of his violent behaviour were without intermission brought to Court." (Dorn, pp. 134-135; see Abbas, Elliot iv. 398 and foot-note l).

<sup>\*</sup>Identical with the modern Montgomery district of the Punjab (India of Aurangzib by Prof. J. N. Sarkar, foot note p. 76).

<sup>†</sup> Elliot's (iv. 398) Kayula is wrong. Dorn (p. 137) correctly says Kol Kabula. In the modern map it is written "Kuboolah" long 73° 6", lat. 30° 11" about 7 miles north of the Sutley. This is "Kabulah" in the Sarkar Dipalpur of Abul-Fazl (Ain-i-Akbari, ii. 113) Dowson suggests Kapura (Elliot, iv. foot-note, p. 398). Kot Kapura is a town on the southern bank of the Sutley, near Firozpur, mentioned by J. D. Cunningham (History of the Sikhs, p. 280). However we are not justified in altering the unanimous reading (i.e., Kabulah) of all the authorities.

<sup>†</sup> The word Lakhi seems to have also some other significance than "A hundred thousand," for in Ain-i Akhari (ii 113) we find the name of many places in the Sarkar of Dipalpur preceded by the word Lakhi, such as Lakhi-Rahimabad, Lakhi Alampur, etc.

The anarchical state of the province of Multan\* after the extinction of the Langah dynasty attracted also at this time the attention of Sher Shah. He ordered Haibat Khan to destroy the robber chief Fath Khan Jat of Kabulah and expel† the Baloches from Multan and restore its prosperity. (See Abbas MS. p. 218 = Elliot, iv. 398.)

Khulasatu-t-Tawarikh contains some interesting information about Lakhi-jungle of Dipalpur "The Wattu. Dogar, Gujar, and other tribes live here (at Dipalpur) and are notorious for their refractory and rebellious character..... When the water (of rainy season) subsides so many jungles spring all over this land, owing to the great moisture and dampness, that a pedestrian has great difficulty in travelling. How then can a rider? For this reason the country is called Lakhi jungle (a hundred thousand forests). The wicked men of this plain, owing to the assistance of the river.... and shelter afforded by the impassable jungle (which is leagues in length and breadth) become ambuscaders, highway men and thieves. The hand of the imperial commander cannot reach the chastisement and destruction to these people. " (India of Aurangzib, p. 77.) "Lakhi Janghi" is one of the Mahals of the Sarkar of Dipalpur in Ain i-Akbari

\* For the history of Multan, see Ferishta (Pers. text. pp. 324-332, Book II) and Nizamuddin (Pers. text. pp. 638-647)

† The Dudar were expelled from Multan, (perhaps beyond the Indus) as Sher Shah commanded. They were a powerful tribe do ply to the reign of Aurangzib if not later. Khulasat-ut-Tawarikh, ampy "Vestwards of Multan five kos on the further side of the Lakhi Chinab. is the land of the Baloches. In that country there chieftains: one is Dudar and has 30 thousand horse arried on, foot-soldiers of his own: the other Hut and command armies. and 30,000 foot-soldiers. The two are always qu' Haibat Khan about their boundaries". 2. 77-78. India ained.

Multan, like other provinces of the Delhi Empire, had fallen away from it after the invasion of Timur (1398 A.D.) The people of Multan elected one Shaikh Yusuf a man of learning and high character as their king (1443 A.D). Shaikh Yusuf ruled Multan for two years; after which he was treacherously deprived of his kingdom by his father-in-law, Rai Sihar Langah the zamindar of Siwi. He ascended the throne of Multan under the title of Qutbuddin Langah, 1443 A.D. The Langahs\* who are wrongly designated as Afghans by the Decanni historian (Ferishta) and by Elphinstone who borrows from him, (History of India, p. 771), were probably an Indo-Scythian tribe converted to Islam. The Langah dynasty reigned in Multan till Babur's invasion of

J. N. Sarkar). "Rajah Todar Mal", the same history says a few lines below, "made over this country absolutely to the Baloches" They re-crossed the Indus probably during the confusion that befell the Sur Empire after the death of Salim Shah

<sup>\*</sup>For some interesting details about the Langahs, see Tod's Rajasthan, ii. 1075. He remarks that they were originally Rajputs is "They are in fact a sub-division of the Solanki or Chalook race, one by J. the four Agnikulas". "Abul-Fazl says that the inhabitants of are not e (Siwi) were of the Noomrie (fox) (Lumri?) tribe which is all the au operation adopted the distinctive term Baloch". This view is than "A hundi.

The version adopted the distinctive term Baloch". This view is than "A hundi.

cannot make one a Hindu, as Tod conjectures. It is a cannot make one a Hindu, as Tod conjectures. It word Lakhi, such as Lak.

it no local Afghan chief is mentioned as having welcomed him, though several chiefs from the Roh country are said to have come to congratulate him at Khushab. The three powerful chiefs Fath Khan Dudai, Ismail Khan Dudai and Ghazi Khan. who offered their submission to Sher Shah (to preserve what they had gained under the Langahs and the Mughals) were Baloches, and the people from whom he experienced sturdy resistance were the Gakkhars. He temporized with the Baloches by confirming them in their estates, and turned his arms against the Gakkhars. When his authority was firmly established in the interior of the province and the mountaineers were well in hand, he took to his favourite plan of transplantation of dependent chiefs of doubtful fidelity. The Baloch chiefs were a constant source of anxiety in Multan-lest they should by conjunction with the Mughals and Rajputs cause trouble.

It cannot be definitely said when Haibat Khan took the field against Fath Khan Jat and the Baloch chiefs. The campaign undoubtedly ended before the fall of Raisin (July, 1543 A.D.). It was probably begun during the winter of 1543, when the swampy region between the Sutlej and the Ravi (the Lakhi jungle) where the operations had to be carried on, was sufficiently dry for the passage of armies.

The campaign was well planned. Haibat Khan

was to advance from the east and Bakhshu Langah, the zamindar of Uch, was to cut off the retreat of the fugitives towards Sindh. On the north and west of Multan lay the territories of Sher Shah through which the enemy could not fly without being intercepted.

Haibat Khan sent the vakil of Chakkar Rind the vassal chief of Satgarh (long. 73°, lat. 31°, about ten miles off from the southern bank of the Ravi) to his master with the message, "Tell Chakkar Rind that I shall halt within his confines, and he must have his forces ready, for I will take muster of his troops."\* Chakkar Rind was reluctant to receive him hospitably. On the second day news came that Haibat Khan had reached within 12 kos of Satgarh; Chakkar Rind got afraid at this, thinking that he had neither collected troops nor made any arrangements for entertaining him. Early in the morning news came that Haibat Khan had arrived. Chakkar Rind went out to welcome him, but was in a state of great alarm. As soon as Haibat Khan saw him he said, "I shall take your new muster at Dipalpur, lest in the

<sup>\*</sup> Elliot's translation (see iv. 398) "I am going to seize Mahla" is wrong (man mahalla khwaham giraft); mahalla is not a proper name here. Abbas uses it in the sense of "muster" review of troops, as it is used several lines below where the correct equivalent "muster" is found in Elliot too—"I shall take your muster at Dipalpur". The context also brings out the mistake.

delay Fath Khan should escape". (Abbas MS. pp. 218-219=Elliot, iv. 398.)

Haibat Khan probably started from his head-quarters, Lahor, and marched along the southern bank of the Ravi for Satgarh,\* about 65 miles southwest. His object was to take Chakkar Rind† with him under a pretext so that he might not give trouble in the rear while he should push on to Multan against the Baloches or against the Jat robber chief of Kabulah.

Abbas says "Within two days (from his arrival at Satgarh) Haibat Khan arrived at Pattan of Qutb Alam Shaikh Farid Ganj-i-Shakkar‡. Fath Khan

<sup>\*</sup>Masson places Satgarli (written Satgarrah) about 65 miles south-west of Lahor on the way to Multan (See the map in Mr Charles Masson's Narrative of a Journey to Kalat, published in 1843)

<sup>†</sup> Masson says. "The great Rind tribes are included under the general denoinination Baloch. They are sub-divided into so many as forty-four branches. There are numerous Baloches in the countries east of the Indus, and they are all I believe Rinds as in Bahawalpur and the Punjab" (see Narrative of a journey to Kalat, i. 346-347). As the name Chakkar (from Sanskrit Chakra) suggests they were perhaps till then Hindus. The Baloch is a very loose term which now-a-days includes Rajput Jats and other tribes converted to Islam.

<sup>‡</sup> Pattan long. 73°, lat. 31° in the Sarkar of Dipalpur is situated 44 miles due south of Satgarh. Here lies the tomb of Shaikh Farid Ganj-1-Shakkar so often mentioned with reverence by Abbas and other Muslim writers. This Shaikh was probably an Afghan, being a descendant of Farrukh Shah Kabuli, born in Kotwal in Multan. "Inasmuch as heaps of earth were turned into sugar (shakkar) at his

fled and Haibat Khan pursued him. As Fath Khan had his family and women with him, he perceived he was unable to escape from Haibat Khan. There was near Karor and Fathpur\* a mud-fort; he took shelter in it and Haibat Khan coming in pursuit besieged the fort." (See Abbas MS. p. 219=Elliot, iv. 398.)

Fath Khan employing Shaikh Ibrahim, son of Shaikh Farid, as intermediary between them, came to the presence of Haibat Khan, who imprisoned him urging the plea to Shaikh Ibrahim, "I am a servant of Sher Shah; whatever he orders I must obey." However, when the news of the imprisonment of Fath Khan reached the garrison they destroyed their families; and three hundred resolute men, under Hindu Baloch (Our MS. Abbas says Mando Baloch, Makhzan-i-Afaghana, see Dorn p. 135, says Meedo)

blessed glance which had the effect of Alchemy—he became sur named Ganj i Shakkar (the storehouse of sugar) " (India of Aurangzib p 76, by Prof J N Sarkar)

<sup>\*</sup>Karor is written in modern maps Kuhror its situation being long 72° lat 29° 38" Fathpur written as Futtuhpoor 72° 10" long and 29° 40" lat The two places are 7½ miles and 5¼ miles north of the Sutley river Fathpur is 17 miles north east of Kuhror The mud fort in which Fath Khan Jat took shelter is very probably Mussa Kotha of modern maps which is 5 miles south east of Karor and 9½ miles south west of Fathpur Mussa Kotha seems to have been a place of some importance, it may have possessed a mud fort in the 16th century

cut their way at night through the besieging lines after heavy fighting. But Hindu Baloch fell into the hands of Bakhshu Langah who delivered him to Haibat Khan.\*

The Afghan army then advanced upon Multan. taking a northernly route. It is strange that Abbas who dwells at some length upon the capture of the robber-chief, cursorily passes over the conquest of Multan. No fight with the powerful Baloch chiefs Ismail Khan Dudai, Fath Khan and Ghazi Khan Baloch, is mentioned. Dorn's copy of Niamatullah is also silent upon this point. Our MS. Makhzan-i-Afaghana says (p. 46),\* "Haibat Khan marched against Fath Khan according to the order of (Sher Shah). A great battle ensued in which Fath Khan was defeated. Multan came into the possession of Sher Shah." He restored Multan to its former state and the inhabitants who were scattered abroad, were again collected together. "He wrote letters to Sher Shah reporting the true condition of the country, and the capture of Fath Khan [lat] and Hindu Balocht.

<sup>\*</sup> Tarikh-i-Daudi (Ms. p. 222) and Ferishta (text p 227) say the same thing in similar language. All these three writers have mainly copied from Nizamuddin, who notices it briefly (Tabakat-i-Akbari, p. 235).

<sup>†</sup> The MS. used by Elliot seems to be faulty here. He says "Bakhshu Langah and Hindu Baluch were taken prisoners by the Afghans." This is not true. Our MS. Abbas (p. 220) says the

Sher Shah was exceedingly glad and made him a Masnad-i-Ala and gave him the title of Azam Humayun. He also gave him a scarlet tent and wrote to him to re-people Multan and to observe the customs of the Langahs and not to measure the land but only take a share of the produce\*. He ordered him to put Fath Khan (Jat) and Hindu Baloch to death, to keep Bakhshu Langah or his son always with him, but to confirm his districts on him. As soon as "Azam Humayun received this order he left Fath lang Khan (the Deputy Governor of the Punjab) in Multan and came to Lahor and put Fath Khan and Hindu Baloch to death. Fath lang Khan so re-peopled Multan, and showed such benevolence to the people that Multan flourished more than it had done even in the days of the Langahs, and in the country of Multan he founded a city which he called

Afghans only captured the mud-fort and that it was Bakhshu Langah who arrested Hindu Baloch. It is corroborated by the following passage: "Medoo (Hindu Baloch) himself was seized by Buklishoo Langah and delivered alive to Haibat Khan." (Dorn, History of the Afghans, p. 135) Elliot's version (iv. 399) is contradictory, in one line he says Hindu Baloch cut his way through at night and in the next he says Hindu Baloch was made prisoner in the morning Whence did Bakhshu Langah come into the mud-fort at night to be made captive in the morning?

<sup>\*</sup>One-fourth of the produce says Makhzan-i-Afaghana (see Dorn p. 135)

Sher Garh\*." (Abbas MS. pp. 220-221 = Elliot iv. 390).

It is strange that all historians have omitted to mention the Afghan conquest of Sindh, which was also in all probability achieved by Haibat Khan. Two coins of Sher Shah are extant (in the Indian Museum Calcutta), issued respectively in 950 H., 951 H. from the mint-town, "Sher Gadh urf Sakkar-Bakkar'' (See pp. 84-109, Catalogue of Coins in the Indian Museum by H. N. Wright). The importance of this numismatic discovery cannot be exaggerated. The most minute research in old MSS, could have perhaps never given us this piece of information. Abbas, Nizamuddin, Badavuni and Abul-Fazl are silent upon it. Neither Nizamuddin (text, p. 638) nor Ferishta (text pp. 321-322, Book II) in their account of the reign of Shah Husain Arghun (from whom Sindh was conquered) mentions this incident. We miss it in the Tarikh-i-Masumi a professed history of Sindh. The following passage of that history translated by Mirza Kalich Beg in his History of Sindh

<sup>\*</sup> There is one Shah Garh, ten miles west of the Chinab and 27 miles south-west of Multan (lat is 29° 59" and long. 71 8") Another town "Sher Shah" lies 1°2 miles east (there is a railway station of that name close by) of the Chinab and 7½ miles southwest of Multan, long 71° 25", lat 30° 8". Abbas may unknowingly allude to Sher gadh urf Sakkar-i-Bakkar in Sindh.

(pp. 87-88) throws some side-light upon this Afghan conquest of Sakkar and Bakkar:—

"Two months after this (Humayun's departure for Qandahar) Bakhsho [u] Langah collected people of the tribes of Langah, Baloch, and Nahir [Ahir?] in a fort near Multan on the bank opposite Junpur, to march against the fort of Bakhar as he was informed that Shah Husain had gone to Tatta and all his governors and chiefs had assembled there under him. With that object in view he put his troops in 50 boats and sent them ahead to fall suddenly on the island length repulsed and driven back to their boats...... The next day about noon, Bakhsho[u]Langah came on beating drums hoping to see the fort already in the possession of his men. But as soon as he' approached, guns and muskets were fired at him from ramparts of the fort and he was obliged to go to Lohri where he spent about 3 days and then went back to Multan, after plundering some of the villages in the country". This event is said to have taken place on the Friday night of 14th Jumada II. 950 A.H. (14th September, 1543 A.D.); Humayun having started for Qandahar from Siwi on 8 Rabi II. (11th July, 1543; Akbarnama, i. 389).

Now, it is impossible that a petty chief like Bakhshu Langah without powerful support dared to

provoke a quarrel with Shah Husain Arghun, who had razed to the ground the fort of Uch, conquered Multan and taken the fort of Dilawar in Bahawalpur. (For a detailed account of the reign of Shah Husain Arghun, see M. Kalich Beg's History of Sindh\* Book II, pp. 71-80). This expedition was no doubt a precursor of the more effective Afghan expedition.

The conquest of Sindh was an even greater political and military necessity than that of Multan. It gave Sher Shah a firm hold over the Indian desert where no more hostile project could be hatched without his knowledge. In the case of any hostile combination by the Rajput States with the ambitious Mughal ruler of Tatta Sher Shah was in a position to interfere effectively. The primary motive of Sher Shah was to close the Qandahar route via Siwi, against Humayun by strengthening Sakkar and Bakkar, to which he gave the name of Sher Garh. The conquest was complete probably in October-November of 1543 A.D.

<sup>\*</sup> The author says the chapters on Shah Husain's reign are taken from Tarikh-i-Masumi, see ibid foot-note p. 71.

## CHAPTER XI

CAMPAIGNS IN RAJPUTANA AND BUNDELKHAND.

DEATH.

The Tarikh-i-Daudi (MS. p. 232 = Elliot, iv. 477) says Sher Shah went to Delhi after\* the conquest of Multan and began building New Delhi, two or three kos from the old city, and a small palace, afterwards known as Sher Mandal within the new city. It places this visit in 947 A.H., which is a clear error. Sher Shah probably spent seven or eight months at Delhi (July, 1543 A.D. to the end of February, 1544) after his return from the expedition against Raisin, occupied partly in building projects and partly in extending his revenue and administrative reforms to the Punjab and the districts around Delhi. His instructions to Haibat Khan, not to measure land in Multan (jarib) but receive revenue there in kind, suggest that the works of measurement of land and money-commutation of revenue were going on in other parts of the territories in charge of that chief.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;After," i.e., sequence of events in Tarikh-i-Daudi is extremely irregular and surprisingly erroneous, e.g., in the next page it says that from Delhi, Sher Shah led a punitive expedition against Gujar tribes such as Pah and Pakal (Elliot reads Pah and Pahal); at this time news reached that Sher Shah's Governor of Bengal—Khizr Khan—was scheming a rebellion and Sher Shah went there (1). In this case, however, it appears to be true.

Whatever may have been the other activities of Sher Shah at Delhi, military preparation on a grand scale was the chief of them, as he contemplated leading an expedition against Maldev at the end of the year (950 A.H.). No new cause of provocation was necessary to justify the offensive. Peace was impossible between them. No monarch of Delhi could tolerate foreign dominion within 50 miles of the imperial city. Had Maldey's ambition been confined to Raiputana, he might like his predecessors have escaped the notice of Delhi sovereigns. Mutual suspicion and hostile feelings had been seeking an outlet in open conflict from Sher Shah's acquisition of the Empire. The war had well nigh broken out in August, 1542, over the question of the arrival of Humayun in Marwar. But the extraordinary pliancy and conciliatory attitude of Maldev averted it. Sher Shah wisely abstained from attacking him till he was cut off from possible Mughal aid and well nigh enclosed within the iron chain of imperial territories

## Plan of the campaign in Rajputana.

The territories of Maldev were penetrable on two points (without the violation of neutrality of any other Rajput State),—the Ajmir district from the east by way of Rantamohor, and the Nagor district

from the north-east through Ihajjar and Sekhawati. Raiputana had been entered by previous conquerors through Aimir. Maldev had fortified his eastern frontier with special care long before Sher Shah conquered the Delhi Empire. It formed a rough triangular line of defence with Ajmir as the apex of the triangle, a line from Jahajpur to Lalsunt. about 100 miles in length (the southern half represented by the river Banas) as its base, and its two sides flanked by the territories of the Mewar and laipur States respectively. This tract was sprinkled with a good number of strong forts; "Maldev not only acquired but determined to retain his conquests, and erected numerous fortifications\* throughout the country. He enclosed the city of Jodhpur with a strong wall besides erecting a palace and adding other works to the fortress......He also erected that bastion in Garh Beetli (the citadel of Ajmir) called the Kote Boorj," (Tod's Rajasthan, p. 851). His territories to the west of the Aravalli range were sandy tracts sparsely populated and difficult of access to an enemy owing to the scanty supply of forage.

Sher Shah had to choose what portion of his enemy's territory he should attack first. Herein he was confronted by political and military problems of

<sup>&</sup>quot;'Chatsu not twenty miles away from the capital of the Kachwahas" was taken and fortified by Maldev.

a serious nature. His first object was to avoid at the outset offending or alarming the other Raiput States. so that they might not be driven into the arms of Maldev; secondly to strike a swift and decisive blow at his enemy either by capturing Jodhpur or overthrowing the Rajput army by forcing it into a general action. A loosely-knit feudal State,—such as that of Maldev was in spite of his attempt at centralization, -becomes stronger instead of being weakened, at the end of a protracted struggle and generally puts forth its full strength at the final stage\*. Slow and repeated blows of foreign invasion harden such a State into a strong compact body unless its component parts are scattered by a single blow dealt effectively at its vital point. The easiest route from Agra to Ajmir -which opens the way to lodhpur-lay through the territory of the Kachwahas. If Sher Shah should try to force his way through it, Maldev might appear there to dispute his passage, and the presence of the Rathors might hearten even the "timid Kachwahas" to gird up their loins against a common enemy. might of course attack Ajmir from Malwa via Rantambhor. But that was sure to cause greater consternation among other Raiput States, seeing in it a design of the invader upon their liberties also.

<sup>\*</sup> Austria in the 17th and 18th centuries in European history is an example in point.

Besides, his army would be exposed to surprise attack from both flanks by parties of Rathors concealed in Mewar and Kachwara. But any attempt on the part of the Afghans at dislodging the Rathors from their territories was sure to lead to the very thing which it was Sher Shah's wish to avoid. Secondly, it would open the campaign with the tedious siege of a strong fortress (Aimir). This was opposed to the tactics of Sher Shah, who never tarried to capture a fort while there were armies to be overthrown in the open field. He had grown wiser by the fate of Humayun, who lost the throne of Delhi by opening his campaign with the siege of Chunar. With an active enemy hovering around, such a siege might end in disaster. apprehended Ajmir might prove Chunar in his case. Thirdly, while the main Afghan army would be held back from Marwar by Aimir and the Aravalli, the bold Rathor horsemen might break into the Delhi and Mewat districts and spread consternation by their raids. To prevent this another Afghan army had to be locked up there, from which Sher Shah would derive no other service. So, avoiding Aimir where Maldev might be expecting him, he seemed to have formed the plan of marching upon Jodhpur by way of Nagor and Mairta.

The organization of the commissariat which was necessary to feed his army in the desert was not so

great a difficulty, as the grappling with military and political disadvantages. His rapid advance into the Nagor district in August, 1542 had also convinced him of the vulnerability of Maldev's dominions on that side. The forts were few, and they could not in any way retard the advance of the invaders who might easily march past them through the wide desert. His army in Malwa with a small reinforcement would not only be able to defend that province but also keep the enemy in play and create a diversion by feigning attack upon the trans-Aravalli regions of the enemy.

About the beginning of January, 1544 A.D.\* (middle of Shawwal, 950 A.H.) Sher Shah marched against Maldev at the head of the largest and most magnificent army that he ever commanded. The exact number of his troops is not recorded by any Persian historian.†

<sup>\*</sup> Elphinstone says 951 H Prof Dowson suggests the latter half of 950 H. Badayuni, Nizamuddin and Ferishta do not mention any date, they seem to imply that it took place in the same year with the capture of Raisin. The expedition started undoubtedly in 950 H as Abbas says.

<sup>†</sup> On this expedition Col. Tod says, "Whether he (Sher Shah) deemed his (Maldev's) exertions insufficient to secure the royal fugitive (Humayun) or felt his own power insecure with so potent a neighbour, he led 80,000 men into Marwar". (Rajasthan, p. 852). This is larger than that he led against Humayun at Bilgram, where he had only 50,000 troops (Ferishta).

Abbas is said to have heard from Khan-i-Muzaffar Khan that "In this campaign Sher Shah had so great an army with him, that the best calculators, in spite of all reflection and thought and calculation, were at a loss to number and reckon them" (Abbas in Elliot, iv. 405). Col. Tod reduces this to the modest but highly probable number of eighty thousand.

In the absence of any authentic information it is idle to guess the probable route of Sher Shah's advance. So far we can gather from the authorities. (all are unanimous on this point) part of his route lay through sandy tracts where neither trench could be dug nor redoubts thrown up. Nagor was the first district that fell into his hands\* (Abbas MS. p. 234= Elliot, iv. 406). But Abbas with his lax notion of geography, unhesitatingly says that Sher Shah started on his campaign from Agra and reached Fathpur Sikri (about 15 miles south of Agra) from which he moved forward with the utmost caution. The absurdity of this is apparent to all. It is most likely that he started from Delhi and by way of Narnol arrived at Fathpur (long. 75°, lat. 28°) a district of Maldev about 70 miles west of Narnol. This is on the eastern border of Bikanir, and from this place a road runs south-

<sup>\*</sup> After the victory over Jaya and Goha, "He left Khawas Khan-Isa Khan Niazi, and other nobles in the country of Nagor" (Abhas MS. p. 234=Elliot, iv. 406).

wards to Didwana and Nagor, and from the latter town to Mairta, Ajmir and Jodhpur.

From this Fathpur, Sher Shah might have been under the necessity of moving with extreme caution. "Wherever he halted, he dug trenches (khandag) and threw up redoubts around his When he reached sandy tracts encampment. (zamin-i-registan) and found it impossible to throw up redoubts, he ordered that the halting ground should be fortified by surrounding it with piles of sand-bags. (In this way) he reached the neighbourhood of Ajmir and remained there one month facing Maldev." (Tabakat-i-Akbari, text pp. 231-232.) Maldev may have formed the design of luring his enemy far from his base and thus making it difficult for him to procure supplies and keep open his line of communication with Delhi. Sher Shah had advanced as far as he could with safety. The sudden check shows that he was caught at a strategic point on the road to Jodhpur. In the neighbourhood (to the west) of Aimir there is no place of greater strategic importance than Mairta, where the fate of Marwar as been decided more than once. It is 70 miles n. east of Jodhpur and 42 miles west of Aimir If Mairta was once passed, the enemy could ither against Ajmir or Jodhpur at his pleasure. 'nd says, "Instead of an easy conquest, he (Sher Shah) soon repented of his rashness when the admirable dispositions of the Raiputs made him dread an action, and from a position whence he found it impossible to retreat. For a month the armies lay in the sight of each other, every day the king's situation becoming more and more critical from which he saw not the slightest chance of extrication." (Rajasthan p. 853.) This picture is overdrawn by the biassed chronicler of the Raiputs; the real difficulties of Sher Shah were to feed his army and induce the enemy to attack him. He had advanced about 260 miles (from Narnol to Mairta) into the very heart of the enemy country, destitute of cultivation and means of subsistence of an army which had to be maintained by supplies drawn from Delhi and Mewat. In this struggle Maldev had the same advantages over Sher Shah that the latter had in 1538-40 over Humayun;\* and the Raiput meant to turn the very tactics of the Afghan against him. He was, in spite of the partial loss of his territories, the master of the situation, because he could refuse or give battle to the enemy at his pleasure, and the choice of the ground and the time of the decisive action rested with him.

<sup>\*</sup> If Sher Shah had begun the campaign with the siege of Ajmir ja which his enemy expected, the parallel would have been complete and the end also as sorrowful.

The change in Sher Shah's army organization had something to do with it. In his wars against Mahmud Shah and Humayun, his army was essentially a cavalry force and he scored his success by cavalry dashes atone. Though he had artillery and elephants at Chaunsa and Bilgram, he never relied upon them; not a volley was fired from his guns in either of the two battles. But after the overthrow of the Mughals, he copied their military organization. He improved his field-artillery, raised brigades of infantry and armed them with muskets and added a large number of fighting elephants to his establishment, though the cavalry still retained its larger proportion and superior importance. As the inevitable consequence of this imitation, Sher Shah's army came to possess all the excellence and defects of the Mughal army. It could make its terrible strength felt by standing on the defensive only; it could not be led to charge an enemy with order and steadiness. By shifting tactics, a prudent general fighting with pure cavalry could render useless his opponent's elephants artillery and infantry upon which Sher Shah relied for victory over the invincible Rathor cavalry. If Maldev had committed the same error of judgment as Rana Sanga had done in the battle of Kanwa, the same woeful self-destruction would have been the consequence. (The rushing waves of Rathor horse

would have been stemmed by the rampart of sacks\* and the huge wall of the enemy's elephants.) Maldev was too strongly posted under the shelter of a fortified town, to be attacked by Sher Shah with any hope of success. The brilliant cavalry dash which won him the day at Suraigarh, Chaunsa and Bilgram could ensure him no success in this campaign, because he had no longer the decisive superiority in that arm over his enemies. In man and mount, the Bengalee trooper was distinctly inferior to the Afghan; the "tipchag horses" upon which the Mughal archers were mounted were no doubt superior animals, but the nimble Central Asian archer was no match for the heavy-built, stalwart Afghan in a close combat with the sword. But the Raiput trooper and his charger were not a whit inferior to the Afghan in bravery, strength and hardiness. As regards the number of horsemen Sher Shah had perhaps no superiority, as Maldev's cavalry numbered 50,000. No surprise attack was possible upon an equal and vigilant foe, and Sher Shah would not give open battle where he was not sure of complete success. He had had a fore-taste of Rajput valour in Malwa. which made him reluctant "to involve his army in calamity with the ignorant, boar-natured and currish

<sup>\*</sup> It was to serve the purpose of Babur's "Carts."

Hindus" as Badayuni says. (Badayuni, translated by Rauking, i. 369.) However, he had more than one string to his bow; it is not true that "He saw not the slightest chance of extrication" as Tod says. His simplest stratagem succeeded in scaring away Maldev who fled terrified at his own shadow.

Sher Shah having written forged letters in the name of Maldev's nobles, gave them to a certain person and directed him to go near to the tent of the vakil of Maldev and remain there: and when he went out, to drop the bundle of letters on his way and conceal himself. Sher Shah's agent did as he was ordered; and when the vakil of Maldev saw it lying he picked it up and sent the letters\* to Maldev. When the latter learnt from their contents that his nobles were plotting to betray him on the day of battle, he was much alarmed and ordered a retreat. When the cause of it became known, his no bles tried to remove his suspicion by taking solemn oaths of fidelity; but this had probably the contrary effect. Maldev fled at night towards lodhpur. Some of his chieftains, Jaya Chandel (?) and Goha (some writers

<sup>\*</sup> At Ajmir Aurangzib extricated himself from a more critical situation, arising out of the rebellion of his son Prince Akbar, who had joined Durgadas Rathor, by employing this very same stratagem, two hundred years after. This brings out one of the inherent defects in the Rajput character.

say Kumbha) and others separated from him with 12,000 troops, resolved upon washing the false stain upon their honour with blood. In the morning these cavaliers 'intent upon slaying or being slain,' attacked the camp of Sher Shah and carried everything before them by their impetuous charge.\* But owing to "their own stupidity† or of the superior good fortune of Islam, the infidels in a body dismounted from their horses.......and attacked the enemy with their short (?) spears (which they call barccha) and swords.

<sup>\*</sup>No wonder for they were the accessors of the enhounded hundred years after on the same held of Murta out down the gunners of Sindhua's artillery at their posts and furrowed through and through the ranks of the infantry trained by De Boigne

<sup>†</sup> This reproach was thing it the Riquits by Antargzib al His eighth instruction is after all not an unjust estimate of the Turini people and Rapputs 5 soldiers. They like firm peoplet it very expert in making charges raids night attacks and arrests They feel as suspicion despar or have wher commanded to make a retreat in the very midst of a fight which means in other word when the arrow is drawn back, and the are a hundred stores remote from the crass stupidity of the Hindustanis who would part with their heads but not leave their positions I in battle! the e-m r [Turani people] can do the necessary service, when no other tice can " (Prof. I. N. Sarkar's Anecdotes of Aurangulo pp. 3354). The Afghans being a Turko Iranian people (see Lincyclepaedia of Lilum 1 [49] posses all their qualities of the Turani people in addition () cunning promptitude and clear head in danger characteristics of the Iranian people. The Afghan in short makes an ideal modern soldier Raiput heroism is of the type of the epic period -- the divs of Mahabharat. It is an anachronism in Kali Yuga, inopportune bravery is regraded as stupidity

Sher Shah had given orders saying that if any man ventured to fight with the sword with this swinish horde his blood would be upon his own head; he accordingly ordered the elephant troops to advance and trample them down. In the rear of the elephants, the artillery [thundered] and archers gave them a taste of the bow-string' (Badayuni, Ranking, i. 479). In short, every individual of that gallant band, fell dead amidst the heaps of their slaughtered foes. Nizamuddin, Ferishta and the Tarikh-i-Daudi (MS. p. 238) unanimously say that a large number of Afghans attained martyrdom in this fight. The valour of the Rathers, the sterility of their country and his own risk and loss made Sher Shah utter the memorable words, "I had nearly destroyed the Empire of Hindustan for a handful of bajra,—barae ek musht-i-bajra badshahi Hindustan-ra barbad dadah budem." This event took place about the beginning of March, 1544 A.D.

Before the news of this heroic self-sacrifice and most awful demonstration of the innocence of his devoted fellowers could reach Maldev to reassure him and give him a chance of rectifying his mistake, Sher Shah pushed his advantage to the utmost, and by a clever manœuvre made the Rajah's position untenable even in Jodhpur. At Mairta, the Afghan army was divided into two parts; one division under

Khawas Khan, Isa Khan Niazi and some other chiefs, moved towards Jodhpur\* and the other division under Sher Shah pushed straight for Ajmir, (42 miles due east), which seemed to have been evacuated during the panic created by the flight of Maldev; because we hear of no siege, no johar and no desperate sally in connection with the fall of Ajmir. Sher Shah could not tarry long at Ajmir; the danger was not yet passed. The hilly tract from Ajmir to Mount Abu was in the possession of the enemy; the Deorahs of Sirohi were devoted to Maldev, whose mother was of the royal house of Sirohi.

When 'the gate of Rajputana' (Ajmir) was flung open to Sher Shah, streams of soldiers flowed in from Rantambhor and Delhi. From Ajmir Sher Shah led his troops against Mount Abu, probably by the route of Rohat and Pali. The wealth of Pali, one of the richest emporia of trade in western India, paid well for the troubles of the Shah, who had a week ago repented of his folly in hazarding the Empire of Hindustan for a handful of millet.

Sher Shah captured Mount Abu,† and owing to

<sup>\*</sup> Jodhpur is about 70 miles south of Mairta (see Rajputana Gazetteer, ii, 254-257)

<sup>†</sup> This is to be found in no written history. Numismatic evidence alone reveals this. A copper coin of Sher Shah tissued from the mint of Abu in 951 A.H. is extant. See Catalogue of Coins in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, by H. N. Wright, pp. 84-109.

its great strategic value he seemed to have established a strong military post there. The occupation of the tract from Ajmir to Abu by the Afghans threatened the safety of Maldev's possessions in the Looni vallev. The Afghan army was now in a position to close upon lodhpur by three separate roads from Mairta, Ajmir and Rohat (14 miles west of Pali and only 27 miles from Jodhpur). There was no serious fight and Maldev was cleverly manœuvred out of lodhpur by Sher Shah, who possibly began marching down the Looni valley, to cut off his retreat southward. Had Sher Shah succeeded in interposing himself between Maldev and the fort of Siwana, a terrible blow would have been struck at him. The Rathor army would have been killed by sheer famine; because they mainly depended for supplies on that region and through it on Guirat also. In the case of such a move on the part of Sher Shah, the or y road left open for Maldey would have been to vards Fallodi and Pokaran on the lesalmir border, where lubsistence for an army was altogether lacking. Maldev saw through his enemy's design and prudently retreated in time from lodhpur\* to Siwana, where he was left

<sup>\*</sup> Abbas says Khawas Khan conquered Jodhpur (see Abbas in Elliot, iv 406) This means no more than that he had been the first to enter the town with his division, as Maldev was compelled to evacuate it by the clever move of Sher Shah.

undisturbed by the conqueror. Sher Shah then went to visit the shrine of Khawajah Muinuddin Chishti at Ajmir, distributed sums of money to the poor of the monastery and performed all the proper ceremonies of pilgrimage. (MS. Tarikh-i-Daudi, p. 238).

Having left his troops in Rajputana, Sher Shah paid a short visit to Agra for political reasons. Various wild stories about his fate in the desert of Rajputana were not unlikely to have circulated among the credulous people. It was necessary to remove the doubt from the minds of the people by his presence. He spent a few months at Agra and rejoined his camp at Aimir about the middle of lune, 1544. From Ajmir he marched towards Chitor, which he easily acquired. Mewar was utterly prostrate; she had no more blood to shed in defence of her capital. It was one of the darkest periods in the history of Mewar. The bastard Banabir, whom the disaffected nobles of Mewar had raised to the throne, murdered the dethroned king Bikramjit and would have killed the little boy Udai Singh also, but for the noble sacrifice of his nurse Panna. Mewar had not yet recovered from the evil effects of the civil dissensions which ended with the installation of the boy king Udai Singh in 1542 A.D. (see Tod's Rajasthan, pp. 330-333).

Chitor was placed in charge of Shams Khan, a

brother of Khawas Khan, (Dorn's History of the Afghans, p. 140), Mian Ahmad Sarwani, and Husain Khan Khilji (Abbas MS. p. 235). Sher Shah secured lahajpur, a place of considerable military importance -which had been wrested from Mewar by Maldev -and from this place he marched down the banks of the Banas river. When he reached Rantambhor, his eldest son Adil Khan was given leave to stay in his fief. Sher Shah marched on to Kachwara where the Rathors possessed many strongholds. No battle was fought and no capture of fortresses is mentioned during this campaign, which was in fact a triumphant march through the Rajput States to impress them with the resistless might of the rejuvenated Empire of Delhi. Sher Shah presented Shujaat Khan with an elephant, a horse and a dress of honour and permitted him to return to his province. Malwa. (Makhzan-i-Afaghana, Dorn p. 140.)

In Rajputana Sher Shah made no attempt to uproot the local chiefs or to reduce them to thorough subjection, as he had done in other parts of Hindustan. He found the task dangerous as well as fruitless. He did not aim at the complete subversion of their independence; but their political and geographical isolation from one another, so as to make any general upheaval against the empire impossible. In

short it was something like the British occupation in the north-western frontier tribal territories, which is meant less for gain than for the safety of the Indian Empire. Sher Shah was content with holding all the important stations and lines of communication in that country, which would make his authority felt in the various States and enable him to concentrate his troops against any of them in case of emergency. His unscrupulous attack upon Chitor and Kachwara can be justified on the score of military necessity. The possession of Chitor was essential to the formation of the quadrilateral (formed by the fortresses of Ajmir, Jodhpur, Mount Abu and Chitor) designed for isolating the principal Rajput States from one another, and especially for preventing the irruption of the Rathors from their retreat at Siwana. The shortest route from Agra to Aimir lay through the territories of Jaipur, which, owing to its proximity, was never allowed to be independent of Delhi.

# Bundelkhand, (950-951 A.H.)

All Persian historians unanimously assert that from Kachwara Sher Shah marched direct for Kalinjar, without returning to Agra. The cause of Sher Shah's sudden attack upon Kalinjar is not definitely given by Abbas who simply says, "The Rajah of

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

The medieval historians who have left accounts of Sher Shah's reign may be divided into two classes according to the nationality of the writers; viz., (A) the Afghan and (B) the non-Afghan. This division is important because the former, owing to national sympathy and natural bias, are supposed to be friendly and even eulogistic to Sher Shah, while the latter are either hostile, indifferent or neutral according to the circumstances under which their works were composed.

### A AFGHAN SOURCES

The Tufah-i-Akbar Shahi (more commonly known as Tarikh-i-Sher Shahi), the Makhzan-i-Afaghana and the Tarikh-i-Daudi are the standard Afghan chronicles. But these have no better title to be called histories of Sher Shah than the Maratha bakhars have to be regarded as histories of the great Maratha hero Shivaji. Among these, the Tarikh-i-Sher Shahi of AL: - Sarwani, being the earliest and least tainted with incredible stories, occupies the place of the Sabhasad Bakhar. A comparative study of the works of the Afghan and Maratha chronicles will bring out the striking coincidence of

the mentality and moral outlook of both peoples. The materials supplied by these authors, especially by Abbas Sarwani, are of great value. But the test of severe but fair-minded criticism is necessary before they can be properly utilized so as to form the basis of a sober and scientific history.

1. The Tarikh-i-Sher Shahi of Abbas Sarwani is the earliest extant and most detailed history of the reign of Sher Shah written at the command of Akbar, about 40 years after Sher Shah's death. The date of its composition cannot be exactly ascertained; it was written probably in the ninth decade of the 16th century. The author, who was an Afghan of noble descent and whose family was connected by marriage with that of Sher Shah, had the advantage of obtaining information from many of his relatives who had served under Sher Shah. But his informants being old soldiers, his book is full of idle camp gossip. which has been uncritically accepted as true history. Wherever the current traditions differed on certain topics Abbas has believed the Afghan version, e.g., "the capture of Rohtas." Prof. Dowson calls it "a biography" not a history (Elliot, iv. 302); but we are inclined to call it rather a political drama, based, to a great extent, upon authentic history. Abbas has often introduced inaccurate details only to heighten the effect of the scenes. He has given expression to his own feelings and sentiments through the mouths of historical personages. He being a Sarwani, a persistent effort to exalt the Sarwanis is noticeable in his book. Fortunately for us, Abbas had not the skill to firmly knit together the unhistorical and inaccurate embellishments of his work, with the historical portion; so in most cases our common sense can detect his inconsistencies and contradictions.

But whatever be the defects of Abbas Sarwani. no writer has a greater claim to our gratitude than Abbas. His work alone has "preserved the means of forming a judgment of his (Sher Shah's) character and talents." (Elliot, iv. 302.) The concluding portion of the book, containing an account of Sher Shah as a person, too, is simply invaluable. We cannot agree with Sir Henry Elliot, who while admitting its great value calls it "over-laudatory." (See Elliot, iv. 302.) If any part of the work is free from exaggeration, it is the concluding portion. Abbas seems to have been in awe of his royal patron in writing this portion and enumerating the regulations of Sher Shah lest he should offend his patron by ascribing to Sher Shah the institutions some of which in his days passed for those of Akbar. Abbas Sarwani's work is the grand original from which his contemporary writers, e.g., Nizamuddin and

Badayuni, and later historians down to Khafi Khan. have directly or indirectly drawn their information regarding Sher Shah's reign. Leaving aside the translation of the work in Elliot, iv. 305-433, I have been so fortunate as to have a good manuscript of the Persian text (belonging to Prof. J. N. Sarkar) to work upon. It has enabled me to correct some grave errors committed in the English translation in Elliot. But it must be admitted that where the MS, used by the translator has not been defective, the translation in Elliot is quite faithful. I have in every case compared the translation in Elliot with the MS., and I have felt myself bound in fairness to quote long passages verbatim from Elliot where I have found the translation satisfactory, giving at the same time exact references to the Persian texts corresponding to them. It would have been a kind of plagiarism to clothe the ideas in a slightly different garb of language under the plea of literal translation and to pass them off as my own. But my own labour has been not less than if I had presented a translation of my own. I have here and there altered some words of his translation. which do not convey the right meaning of the original. The MS. used by me is defective in some passages, and here I have unhesitatingly accepted the version in Elliot. I have been as sparing as possible in quoting Persian. I think it sheer pedantry to quote

simple Persian sentences about the meaning of which there cannot be the slightest ambiguity. Where I have given the translation of some passages omitted by Elliot I have of course given the Persian original. Here and there I have given a few quotations to lend authenticity and vigour to the passages about which doubt may arise in the mind of the reader.

I have rejected many statements made by Abbas and I wish to give my reasons for such rejections, in another place. It is necessary because the purging of Abbas Sarwani's book means the removal of three-fourths of the errors and misconceptions and the purification of the very fountain head of Sher Shah's history.

2. The Makhzan-i-Afaghana of Niamatullah, translated by Dorn in his History of the Afghans (see Book II., pp. 80-142) (published in 1829 A.D.) was composed in the reign of Jahangir. The distinctive feature of this book is the genealogical account of the various Afghan tribes and their descent from the famous Khalid, who broke four teeth of Muhammad in the battle of Ohod, and who after his conversion became the greatest champion of Islam. There is another work entitled Tarikh-i-Khan-i-Jahan Lodi wa-Makhzan-i-Afaghana also admitted to be written by Niamatullah. I have consulted Prof. Sarkar's MS. of this work. Some extracts from it are also to

be found in Elliot, v. Dorn says "Another edition or perhaps the original work itself is preserved in the East India House, and entitled Tareekh Khanjehan Lodi Wa Makhzen Afghani and was also composed between 1018-1020 A.H. and upwards. The coincidence of the first part of this with ours is perfect; and they correspond almost word for word; but afterwards it frequently does not enter into such details as our work does: and mostly leaves out the speeches which so frequently occur in the translated copy." (Ibid, Preface p. x). The truth is that in the second book of the Makhzan-i-Afaghana, Niamatullah has borrowed the language of Abbas Sarwani, while in the Tarikh-i-Khan-i-lahan Lodi he has copied Nizamuddin's Tabakat-i-Akbari\* verbatim. Dr. Dorn gives Tabakat-i-Akbari (MS. No. 22, Preface xiii as one of the MSS, from which he intended to give extracts in his book. But nowhere in the appendices has he actually given any extracts from it, and I daresay, he did not even glance at the contents of his MS. Tabakat-i-Akbari. Had he done so he would not have failed to identify the common source utilized by Ferishta and Niamatullah. He says

<sup>\*</sup> I have compared Prof. J. N. Sarkar's MS. Tarikh-i-Khan-i-Jahan Lodi with the Persian text of Tabakat-i-Akbari and found in the former the very language of Nizamuddin wherever both authors have narrated the same events of Sher Shah's life.

"Neamatullah (Niamat) was contemporary with Ferishta; and commenced the history of the Afghans in the same year when Ferishta finished his work: but he is nowhere mentioned. The identity of the sources they used in compiling their respective works is evident from the extreme, often verbal coincidence of the style and thread of the history of the reigns of the Lodi race and the family of Sher Shah." (Ibid, Preface p. x.) Nizamuddin wrote his book at the Court of Akbar at least 15 years before Ferishta and Niamatullah. Lithographed copies of both Nizamuddin and Ferishta are available now. one with a little knowledge of Persian can satisfy himself about the fact that Nizamuddin's book has been copied verbatim by Ferishta. Dr. Lee's MS. of the Makhzan, from which Dr. Dorn has given many quotations in the second part of his translation, is nothing but the narrative of Nizamuddin interwoven with grotesque stories, dreams and prophecies, which are of course Niamatullah's own. I have compared Prof. Sarkar's MS. Tarikh-i-Khan-lahan Lodi-wakhzan-i-Afaghana, with the extracts given by Dun from Lee's MS. and become fully convinced that both MSS, are copies of the same work. Elliot has expressed the same opinion,-"Almost all the additions given by Dorn from Dr. Lee's copy are to be found in my copy" (of Tarikh-i-Khan-lahan Lodi) (Elliot, v. 70). Had Dr. Dorn looked at the contents of the *Tabakat-i-Akbari*, he would have been able to estimate the real nature of Lee's MS.

However, the second part of the MS. i.e., that dealing with the reign of Sher Shah and his successors,—translated by Dorn, is very valuable. Therein alone has been preserved a faithful summary of Abbas Sarwani's work, with the exception of its concluding chapter. Dorn's translation gives us some valuable hints here and there, but the book as a whole is marred by numerous errors. The queen of Humayun who was captured at Chaunsa by Sher Shah and who was afterwards sent back to Kabul. was the Haji Begam, but in Dorn's translation (page 123) she is confounded with Miriam Makani, the mother of Akbar, who was married to Humayun two years after the battle of Chaunsa. Great caution should be exercised in using this book. Idle dreams and fancies and wild stories can have no place in a modern history.

3. The Tarikh-i-Daudi of Abdullah was written in the reign of Jahangir. Some extracts from it are given in Elliot, iv. 434-513. The general character of this work has been given by Prof. Dowson in the following words, "Like all historians Abdullah is very deficient in dates and is fond of recording stories and anecdotes many of them

not a little marvellous." (Elliot, iv. 435.) Elliot iv. there are only two extracts from the reign of Sher Shah; but I have very profitably used a good MS. of Tarikh-i-Daudi belonging to Prof. Sarkar, copied from a MS. which once belonged to Mr. H. Blochmann. Abdullah has in many cases borrowed the very words of Nizamuddin. Here and there he gives valuable pieces of information. No chronological order has been preserved in the sequence of events in the narrative, e.g., the chastisement of Khizr Khan, the Governor of Bengal, is placed after Sher Shah's return from the first expedition in Malwa against Mallu Khan (MS. Daudi, pp. 222-223). Statements made in Tarikh-i-Daudi should not be rejected by reading the translation in Elliot iv. alone, without consulting the original. Either through defects in the MSS. used by the translator, or his inadvertence, some errors are to be found in the translation; \* e.g., in Elliot iv. 508, we find, "The action was fought at the stream of Suraigarh about one kos or less from Mungir and about 12 kos from Patna." Here is a great geographical error. Our MS. p. 311 gives the correct version (vide ante.)

<sup>\*</sup> There is often great divergence between the lithographed and printed texts of Persian works and their English translations in the volumes of Elliot. In the translation of Zia Barani's Tarikh-i-Firuz-Shahi one Malik Yak is mentioned as having been appointed the

#### B.—Non-Afghan Sources

Among the non-Afghan sources the Memoirs of Babur, the Tarikh-i-Rashidi of Mirza Haidar, Gulbadan's Humayun-nama, and Jauhar's Tazkirat-ul-Waqiat occupy the first place. Errors committed by Abbas have been removed through the help of these histories. Next in importance are the histories of Nizamuddin Ahmad, Badayuni, and Abul-Fazl's Akbarnama and Ain-i-Akbari. The history of Ferishta, the Tarikh-i-Rahimi, the Khulasat-ut-Tawarikh, and Khafi Khan's being mere compilations from earlier authorities with very little or no addition of their own, are of third-rate importance.

4. Memoirs of Babur translated by Mrs. Beveridge. Though the name of Sher Shah is but twice mentioned in the Memoirs, their importance cannot be too exaggerated. From this time onward we tread upon the firm ground of true history; the Memoirs have been extremely helpful to me in many indirect ways. In short, we emerge from darkness in-

Governor of Deogir by Sultan Qutbuddin Khilji son of Alauddin Khilji (Elliot, iii 215) Curiosity led me to turn the pages of the original text (edited by Sayyid Ahmad Khan for the Asiatic Society of Bengal) which I had at hand. To my great surprise I found the very reverse of the thing. The text runs thus: "the Sultan inflicted examplary punishment upon Yak Lakhi and had his nose and ears cut off." This may not be a solitary instance.

to light when these contemporary and authentic histories come to our aid.

5. Tarikh-i Rashidi of Mirza Muhammad Haidar Dughlat, translated by N. Elias and E. D. Ross. The author came to Hindustan in the retinue of Mirza Kamran only a few months before the defeat of Humayun at Chaunsa. He afterwards became one of the most intimate friends of Humayun, whom he accompanied in the next expedition against Sher Shah. He took part in the battle of Bilgram, called by him the battle of the Ganges, and fled with Humayun to Lahor, whence he parted company to lead an expedition to conquer Kashmir. Mirza Haidar wrote the records of his life, which form the second part of his history, in 1541-42 A.D. Thus he is the best authority for this period of our history. I have quoted his description of the battle of the Ganges (or Bilgram); but I found it difficult to reject the account given by lauhar who also seems to have been an eye-witness of this battle and who attributes the discomfiture of Humayun to the bad advice of Mirza Haidar to loosen the chains of the guncarriages, to allow the fugitives to pass through. (See p. 476 of Tarikh-i-Rashidi, with foot-note 1). We are indebted to Mirza Haidar for the information about Sher Shah's sending troops to Kashmir to reinstate Kachi Chak on the throne. (See p. 485.)

- 6. Humayun-nama of Gulbadan Begam translated into English by Mrs. Beveridge. Gulbadan, daughter of Babur, wrote her book at the request of Akbar, between the years 1580 and 1590 A.D. She was sufficiently grown up at the time of the death of her father, to retain the memory of those events till her old age. I have found this book very useful, especially as regards dates and the events of Humayun's life. She is generally trustworthy with the exception of a few cases. The foot-notes given by Mrs. Beveridge here as well as in her translation of Babur's Memoirs should not be as readily accepted as her translation of the text. I have in some cases pointed out her errors.
- 7. Tazkirat-ul-Waqiat of Jauhar. Jauhar was a personal attendant (aftabchi, ewer-bearer) of Humayun. He wrote down his reminiscences about 40 years later, in the reign of Akbar. I hold this work to be a highly authoritative history of the reign of Humayun, and having greater weight than that of Gulbadan even. At least I have found it to be so down to the time of Humayun's departure from Tatta to Qandahar. I have almost exclusively followed it in my chapters on the struggle of Humayun and Sher Shah, in preference to Abbas. Jauhar is an honest narrator of events, without any rancorous feeling towards the great enemy of his master.

Stewart's translation of the Memoirs of lauhar is not satisfactory owing probably to the faulty MSS. used by him. But he himself has in certain places marred the value of the original by inserting words and changing the sense for what was meant by lauhar: e.g., "In consequence of which His Majesty led his army (from Callinger) towards Jaunpur." (Stewart's translation p. 3). Now the words "from Callinger" within the brackets are inserted by Stewart. Though Abul-Fazl and some other historians say that Humayun led his army from Callinger towards launpur, Gulbadan and Abbas hold that he started from Agra. Gulbadan expressly mentions that Humavin went to Callinger before the death of Babur (Mrs. Beveridge's translation, p. 165) and she is, I think, quite right; for it is least likely that within six months of Babur's death when Kamran Mirza had seized the Punjab, Mahdi Khwajah plotted to deprive Humayun of his heritage, and Muhammad Zaman Mirza rebelled in Jaunpur,—an indolent man like Humayun found time to undertake an expedition to Callinger. But any one incautiously using Stewart's translation is liable to commit the mistake of holding that Jauhar also corroborates the statement of this writer that Humayun started for Jaunpur from Callinger. I have used a MS. of Jauhar in the possession of Prof. Sarkar, which is to a great extent free from many of the defects to be found in Stewart's translation. In page 11, line 15, though his original correctly writes Bherkund (or Bahrkunda as in Prof. Sarkar's MS.), Stewart inserts Jharkhand within brackets; this is due to his ignorance of the existence of Bahrkunda, a hill-fort, to the south of Chunar. He confounds it with the jungle-tract of Chota Nagpur, which lies about 100 miles east.

In page 15, line 14 Stewart's translation runs thus:—"He (Humayun) then ordered the Prince (Askery Mirza)............to send all the information he could obtain respecting the movements of Shyr Khan, who had now taken the title of Shah (King.)" Prof. Sarkar's MS. Jauhar does not contain any Persian equivalent of the italicised words. This has misled many historians; even Elphinstone, possibly on the strength of this passage, affirms that Sher Khan assumed the kingly title before the battle of Chaunsa. (History of India, 448.)

Stewart's proper names are invariably wrong: on p. 18, he writes the name of the Rajput General Barhmajid (t) Gaur (which is correctly and clearly written in Prof. Sarkar's MS.), as Myr Feryd Gaur: in the same passage Kitta Beg is written as Tetta Beg. and Rajah Birbhan of Arail, as Rajah Perbehan. Other instances may be multiplied.

Tabakat-i-Akbari of Nizamuddin Ahmad.\* (Lithographed edition, published by Newal Kishore Press.) This is a stupendous work containing 651 pages of a big-sized volume. This is a general history of all Muslim sultanates of India. At the beginning he gives an account of the rulers of Ghazni, and then passes to the rulers of Delhi and narrates the events down to the 39th year of Akbar's reign A.D. 1593-4 (A. H. 1002). Then he gives the history of the Deccan sultanates, of Bengal, lauppur, Malwa, Kashmir, Sindh and Multan. Nizamuddin is undoubtedly the best historian of medieval India. He is sober, impartial and judicious. Unlike Abul-Fazl he does not deify his royal master (Akbar) and is not rancorous towards the enemies of his master's house. His style has a simple elegance, natural flow and charm of its own unrivalled for many generations. The reigns of Humayun and Akbar which form the most valuable portion of the book, are translated in Elliot v. The wholesale plagiarism of Nizamuddin by Ferishta whose work is available in an English garb, has rendered a translation of Nizamuddin unnecessary to the reader and unprofitable to the translator. Nizamuddin's very language has been

<sup>\*</sup> B. De, I.C.S. has begun translating it for the Asiatic Society of Bengal.

copied by the Tarikh-i-Daudi and Tarikh-i-Khan-ilahan Lodi. At least such has been the case with their accounts of the reign of Sher Shah, which I have carefully compared. From the wide scope of the work it will be apparent that the Tabakat-i-Akbari is a masterly compilation from earlier histories of particular countries or from the works of eminent writers on particular sovereigns or dynasties. It is too much to expect scientific research or minute investigation of the details of every reign from a Persian author of the 16th century. The account of Sher Shah's reign in his book occupies 11 pages (from 222 to 232 of the Newal Kishore Press edn.). This is generally speaking summarized from Abbas Sarwani's book; the same mistakes have often been committed by both writers. But Nizamuddin has some independent value. He was a contemporary of Abbas and some fresh sources of information were available to him. The testimony of Nizamuddin in favour of Sher Shah has certainly greater weight than that of Abbas.

9. Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh of Abdul Qadir of Badayun; Vol. i. translated into English by Ranking. Badayuni was also a contemporary of Abbas. He was born, as he himself says, in the reign of Sher Shah: "I was born in the reign of the just king on the 17th Rabi-us-sani in the year 947 H. (1540 A. D.)" (Ranking, p. 473). He often writes

from personal knowledge and his account of the Sur dynasty especially of the reign of Islam Shah, is of great importance. There is a freshness and originality in his work which we miss elsewhere. His work in point of importance ranks just below that of Abbas Sarwani, as regards rare information. Having been disgusted with the policy and Court of Akbar he has thrown himself with some warmth and sympathy into the narration of the achievements of Sher Shah and Islam Shah. Badavuni was out and out a Hinduhater. He, like the Afghan chroniclers, loves to portray Sher Shah as the Avenger of Islam and the Destroyer of Infidels. Though one of the most orthodox and sincere Musalmans in private life, Sher Shah was never swayed by bigotry in public life. So the dealings of Sher Shah with the Hindus should not be viewed in the same light in which Badayuni has treated them.

10 Akbarnama of Abul-Fazl, translated into English by Mr. H. Beveridge. Abul-Fazl paints Sher Shah and Islam Shah in the same colour as the Court historians of Aurangzib, two centuries afterwards, painted the great Maratha hero Shivaji and his son. His account of the early life of Sher Shah differs from that of all other Persian writers and is wholly devoid of truth. As regards the reign of Humayun even and his struggle with Sher Shah,

Abul-Fazl is not correct. His statements should be rejected where they conflict with the assertions of Gulbadan and Jauhar. Abul-Fazl has here and there stumbled upon some truths.

In all fairness to him, it must be said that he has recognized the ability and merits of his enemies. though his tone in such passages is something like that of a good Christian in acknowledging the valour and lofty spirit of Satan. Abul-Fazl admits "In fact both father and son (that is Sher Khan and Salim) behaved properly in the management of affairs. Alas. that they should have spent their days in disloyalty and ingratitude. If these two had been servants of the sublime threshold of His Majesty the Shahin-Shah and if the home administration had been entrusted to the father, and the guardianship of the marches to the son, they would have been encompassed with royal favours." (Akbarnama. i. 618). This testimony of Abul-Fazl in favour of Sher Shah is certainly more valuable than the most fulsome eulogy of Abbas.

11. Ain-i-Akbari of Abul-Fazl, translated into English by Blochmann and Jarrett, in three volumes. There are some valuable references to Sher Shah's land settlement and revenue system. Ains v, vi, and vii, in which minute instructions are given to the

revenue officials, are based on the regulations (qanun) of Sher Shah and Islam Shah.

12. Tarikh-i-Ferishta. This history, written after the model of Nizamuddin, enjoys the widest fame in Europe through the translation of Lt. Col. Briggs. But Ferishta is by no means entitled to such a recognition. Lithographed copies of both Nizamuddin and Ferishta's works have been published by the Newal Kishore Press. Even a cursory glance over the pages of both histories startles the reader with the plagiarism of Ferishta. I was led by curiosity to compare not only the chapter on Sher Shah but also that on Humayun and the accounts of the Muslim rulers of Malwa, Sind, Multan and Kashmir, in both the histories, and I have found a strange coincidence everywhere.

In the chapter on Sher Shah (Persian text, Newal Kishore Press, pp. 220-227), Ferishta has practically nothing new to say. The tradition of the descent of the Surs from the royal house of Ghor, which is perhaps his only original contribution, is baseless. I have in almost every case used the Persian text and not Briggs' translation, which has been shown to be faulty by many competent authorities. Major Raverty, in his translation of Tabakat-i-Nasiri, has repeatedly drawn notice to the inaccuracies in Briggs' translation.

- 13. Khulasat-ut-Tawarikh, by Sujan Bhandari, edited by Mr. Zafar Hassan, B.A. This work was written late in the reign of Aurangzib; it contains a chapter on Sher Shah based on the works of Abul-Fazl and Nizamuddin. It affords no new information, but it is interesting to notice that the memory of Sher Shah was green in the minds of the people even two centuries after. This is shown by the accumulation round his personality of new legends about the strict justice of that monarch, e.g., the story that the Prince Adil Khan threw a bira of pan (betelleaf) at the wife of a shop-keeper while she was undressed and bathing herself; the shop-keeper lodged a complaint with the king who ordered the insult to be returned in kind (i.e., the shop-keeper was to throw a bira of pan, while the wife of the prince was undressed) if the prince failed to satisfy the complainant by any other means.
- 14. History written by Khafi Khan (Persian text, 2 volumes). Completed about 1734. There is a chapter on Sher Shah in the first volume of this book. He has not anything new to tell us.

Besides the general histories of the Delhi Emperors, I have searched for information in the histories of the particular countries with which Sher Shah came into contact.

- (A) Bengal:
  - (1) Riaz-us-Salatin, translated into English by Maulavi Abdus-Salam, M.A.
  - (2) Stewart's History of Bengal, an obsolete authority compiled from Riaz-us-Salatin.
- (B) Malwa:—(Chapters from Nizamuddin and Ferishta).
- (C) Kashmir:—(Tarikh-i-Rashidi, Ferishta, Nizamuddin).
  - (D) Multan: (Ferishta and Nizamuddin).
- (E) Sindh:—(History of Kalich Beg, continuation of the translation of Chachnama).
- (F) Rajputana:—Tod's Rajasthan, too legendary for historical purposes.

#### EUROPEAN WRITERS

The Portuguese under Martim Affonso De Mello first landed at Chittagong in 1533 A.D. The Portuguese Captain visited Gaur in that year when war broke out between Mahmud Shah and Sher Khan. In this war the Portuguese rendered great help to Mahmud Shah. The Portuguese historians Castanheda and others have left good accounts of the war between Mahmud Shah and Sher Khan and of the struggle of Humayun with Sher Khan. This important source of Indian history has not yet been

- utilized. Prof. Sarkar has made an excellent collection of these rare Portuguese historians. I have given references to the English summary in J. J. A. Campos's History of the Portuguese in Bengal.
- 1. Erskine's History of India, Vol. II. Within a small compass, this book contains a masterly sketch of the career of Sher Shah. His estimate of the administrative genius of Sher Shah (pp. 441-444) deserves credit.
- 2. History of India, by Elphinstone. Several persistent errors of Persian writers have been removed by him.
- 3. Thomas's Chronicles of the Pathan Kings of Delhi. (For the coinage of Sher Shah). Now obsolete.
- 4. Catalogue of the Coins in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, by H. N. Wright, Numismatics have enabled us to fix some dates with certainty. They have revealed much new information.

Besides I have consulted district gazetteers. books on travel, survey, etc., for geographical information. I have used the invaluable Rennell's Bengal Atlas (1781) and the detailed Survey of India maps drawn on a scale of 4 miles to the inch. The distances given by me are only approximate, as I have measured them in a straight line with a pair of compasses.

#### INDEX

Abbas: Geographical errors, 40: credulity, 44; dramatic embellishments, 49; defects as a historian, 428; ments of, 429-430.

Abul Qasim Beg, 251, 254.

Abul-Fazl, 2-4, 24, 70, 100, 443-444, 358 n

Adil Khan (Prince), 154, 341, 342, 409

Affonso de Melo, 113, 114, 126, 136.

Affonso vaz de Brito, 135, 136

Ahmad (Mir), 68, 71.

Ajmir, 318, 323, 330, 534.

Akbar indebted to Sher Shah for revenue and administrative system, 347: military system compared with Sher Shah's 364 n; coinage 385; architecture, 400 n; Ains, 419; injustice to Islam injurious to the growth of Indian nationality, 422; resembles the Egyptian King Akhenaten, 423-424 n; excels in idealism but yields to Sher Shah in statesmanship and purity of character, 425.

Alauddin Khilji, 361, 362, 370, 373, 393, 394

Askari (Mirza), 131, 132, 139, 181.

Baban (Biban), 51, 68, 72, 74, 75.

Babur, 34, 41-48, 51-53, 58, 61-63, 67, 71, 81.

Badayuni, 50 and note, 292n, 442-443.

Bahadur Shah (Gujrati), 76, 79, 82, 108, 109, 112, 127, 128, 130, 131, 134.

Bahar Khan Lohani, (Sultan Muhammad) 30-33, 36, 37, 53, 54, 64, 108, 109, 112, 127-131, 134

Baharkunda, 77, 142 and note

Baloch tribes and chiefs, 303 n, 404, 306-307, 309

Bakshu Langah, 230, 270, 305 n, 308, 311 and note, 314

Bayazid (Farmuli), 34, 35, 42, 51, 54-56, 72, 74, 75, 129 n

Brahmajit (Baramjid) Gaur, 197, 225 and note, 369.

Briggs (inaccurate translation of Ferishta), 39 n, 445.

Bundelkhand; campaign in 334-343.

Chakkar (Rind), 308-309 and note.

Cheroes, 12, 23.

Chunar: acquisition of 61-71; first siege by Humayun. 76-77; second siege, 144-145; fall, 153-154. recovered by Sher 196.

Chitor, 332, 334,

Dauroh, battle of 73-75

Daulat Khan Lodi (Khan-i-Khanan Yusuf Khail) 45-47 and note.

Dudu (Begam), 58, 64, 65

Elphinstone: 1, 58n, 74n, 144n, 207, 344, 346.

Farid, see under Sher Shah.

Fath Malika (Bibi), seeks protection of Sher, 79; her treasure seized by Sher 110-111; conduct condemned 299.

Ferishta; estimate of his history, 445.

Gakkhars, 232-236, 405.

Gaur: situation of 125, first attack 126, second invasion of 137-138, siege 153, race for 165, Humayun's entrance 178, cleared of Mughals, 198-199.

Gulbadan (Begam); estimate of her history, 438.

Habib Khan Kakar (Sarmast Khan), 28, 85, 183, 221.

Harbat Khan Niazi, 183, 236, 300, 301n, 307-309, 311, 313 358.

aidar Mirza, 189, 216, 220, 234, 235-236n.

assan Sur, 1-5, 9-11, 17, 25-28.

indal (Mirza), 139, 167, 172, 226.

umayun: 34, 35, 63, 67, 75, 76, 108, 112, 130, 131, 139, 162, 216, 225, 229, 266, 273, 277, 314

rahim Khan (general of Mahmud Shah), 96-98, 100, 101, 104

rahim Lodi, 24, 29, 67, 72.

rahim Sur. 1, 2, 67.

1 Khan Hajjab, 209, 211 297, 399.

3 Khan Sarwani, 77n, 204, 227, 379.

nail (Shaikh), see under Shujaat Khan.

lal Khan (Prince). 76, 79, 82, 123, 140, 153, 170, 174 n, 223, 336, 341, 342

lal Khan (Lohani), 32, 54, 58, 63-64, 86-96.

mal Khan Sarangkhani, 3-8.

naid Barlas 35, 39, 40-43, 54, 67, 68.

amran Mirza, 189, 214, 228-230, 234.

inwa, battle of, 42, 48, 74.

nalil (Shaikh) 191 and note, 192, 339.

nawas Khan (Sahib Khan), 154-155, 183, 191-193, 196, 223, 226, 230, 300, 330, 331n, 358.

d Malika, 68-71.

id-garh (Bundelkhand) 343.

aharatha Chero 183, 191-193, 196.

ahmud Lodi 35, 39, 40-43, 54, 67-68.

ahmud Shah (Bengal), 113-114, 125-126, 135, 137-138, 154, 166n.

akhdum-i-Alam, 79, 82-83, 85.

aldev, 253, 263, 272n, 273-276, 279, 317-319, 323, 327, 331.

Mallu Khan (Qadir Shah) 132, 210, 249-250, 252, 254-258, 261, 266, 414n.

Ma'aruf (Farmuli) 32, 34-35, 51, 54-56.

Muhammad Khan Sur 11, 29, 35-36, 43, 54, 56.

Nizamuddin (historian) 441-442.

Nizam (Sher's brother) 3, 25, 39-41.

Nusrat Shah 63, 65, 72, 79, 81, 83

Panipat, battle of, 30, 48.

Puran Mal, 250, 283 and note, 287-297

Qutb Khan Sur 77, 112, 152, 209, 211-213

Qutb Khan Bengali 83-85.

Rennell, 11, 80n, 100.

Rohtas (on the Son) 146-153, 366.

Rohtas (in the Punjab) 235, 405-406.

Sher Shah, ancestry and birth, 1-3; education at Jaunpur 6; marriage, 69-70, Surajgarh, 100-104; Chaunsa, 193-195; Bilgram 217-224; massacre of Raisin criticized, 294-299; siege of Kalinjar and death, 338-344; administrative machinery 351-360; army 363-367; Hindus in Sher's army 369; revenue system 371-380; coinage 380-385; commerce 386-388, roads and sarais 388-395; police, 395-398; buildings 399-406; as a statesman, 415-420; as a nation-builder 320-324, place in history, 425; ideal monarch and politician 426.

Shujaat Khan, 28, 85, 183, 253, 255, 260-262, 358

# ADDITIONS & CORRECTIONS

D	7	Line	17	for	baad	read	bad
Page	12		5	,	comprises		comprised
•••	16	••	2ĺ		soilders		soldiers
"	17	••	12	••	ba-ratat	••	ba-raiat
**	17	• •		**	aj		az
	• •	,,	••	**	be-waat		ba-wagt
11	18	**	; 5 n	**	ra'at	.,	raiat
**	39	••	12 n	omit	indirect	•	
4.5		19.		n for	Badaoni		Badayunı
••	50	17,	20 <b>α</b>		lo	add	occurrences of
••	52	••	18	after		insert	a dash
*1	57	**	10	after	army	,,,,,,,	
*1	58	• •		omit	across 1529	read	1528
	60	• •	17	for		add	1529
+1	22	••	18	after	Feb. 28th	substitute	comma after son
• •	62	• •	24	for	fullstop		itute semi-colon
**	83	• • •	19	• •		read	Habib
*1	85	• •	2.3	**	Hamid		share
**	• • •	**	9	• • •	stores	••	of a
,,		,,	23	••	and	**	
	115		11	**	read	**	road
,,	119	••	17		1,600	**	600
	129	• • •	4 1		to mention	**	no mention
.,		.,	5 n		Hybat	••	Haibat
11	174		6 n		would	**	could
••	176		6	omit	Sarwani		
	129	,,	4 r		heartening	2.	disheartening
,,	,	,,,	21	after	Emperor	add	comma
,.	192	? .,	14	for	Harkate	read	Harkat
.,	220	)	15	omit	not	<b>a</b> fter	could
	241	١.,	26	for	Bahr	read	Barh
	243	,,	14	- 11	24	11	19
,,	262	? ,,	7 r	1	75,000	••	7,500
	264		2	*,	Jhijjar	••	Jhajjar
	385		5	after	specimens	insert	of Akbar
,,	403		10n	for	Roy	read	Sen Gupta
	416		1, 15	n omit	Memoirs of	the Races	and ii
		•••					

N. B. The appendices promised on pp. 231, 279, 354 have not been printed here, but will be sent to a learned journal.